

U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 40-F

- REGISTRATION STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 12 OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.
- ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13(a) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended: December 31, 2013 Commission File Number: 001-35393

PRETIUM RESOURCES INC.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

British Columbia, Canada

(Province or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

1040

(Primary Standard Industrial
Classification Code Number)

None

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)

**570 Granville Street, Suite 1600
Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6C 3P1
(604) 558-1784**

(Address and telephone number of Registrant's principal executive offices)

**CT Corporation System
111 Eighth Avenue
New York, New York 10011
(212) 894-8940**

(Name, address (including zip code) and telephone number (including area code)
of agent for service in the United States)

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act.

Title of each class

Common Shares

Name of each exchange on which registered

New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act.

None

(Title of Class)

Securities for which there is a reporting obligation pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Act.

None

(Title of Class)

For Annual Reports indicate by check mark the information filed with this Form:

Annual information form Audited annual financial statements

Indicate the number of outstanding shares of each of the issuer's classes of capital or common stock as of the close of the period covered by the annual report:

There were 105,051,050 Common Shares, of no par value, outstanding as of December 31, 2013.

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

This Annual Report on Form 40-F shall be incorporated by reference into or as an exhibit to, as applicable, the registrant's Registration Statement on Form F-10 (File No. 333-179726) under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

PRINCIPAL DOCUMENTS

The following documents have been filed as part of this Annual Report on Form 40-F as Appendices hereto:

A. Annual Information Form

The Annual Information Form of Pretium Resources Inc. (the "Company" or "Registrant") for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 is included as Appendix A of this Annual Report on Form 40-F.

B. Audited Annual Financial Statements

The Company's audited consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, including the auditor's report with respect thereto, are included as Appendix B of this Annual Report on Form 40-F.

C. Management's Discussion and Analysis

The Company's Management's Discussion and Analysis for the year ended December 31, 2013 is included as Appendix C of this Annual Report on Form 40-F.

CERTIFICATIONS AND DISCLOSURE REGARDING CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Certifications. See Exhibits 99.5, 99.6, 99.7 and 99.8 to this Annual Report on Form 40-F.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures. The Registrant maintains disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the Registrant's filings under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). The Registrant's Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and Chief Financial Officer ("CFO"), after having evaluated the effectiveness of the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) as of the end of the period covered by this report, have concluded that, as of such date, the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Registrant in reports that the Registrant files or submits under the Exchange Act is (i) recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and (ii) accumulated and communicated to management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. However, as recommended by the SEC in its adopting release for the rules governing the disclosure and control procedures discussed above, the Registrant will continue to periodically evaluate its disclosure controls and procedures and will make modifications from time to time as deemed necessary to ensure that information is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

The Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives, and, as indicated in the preceding paragraph, the CEO and CFO believe that the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures are effective at that reasonable assurance level, although the CEO and CFO do not expect that the disclosure controls and procedures or internal control over financial reporting will prevent all errors and fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived or operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. The required disclosure is included under the heading "Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting" in the Registrant's Consolidated Financial Statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, filed as part of this Annual Report on Form 40-F in Appendix B.

Attestation Report of the Registered Public Accounting Firm. The required disclosure is included under the heading "Independent Auditor's Report" in the Registrant's Consolidated Financial Statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, filed as part of this Annual Report on Form 40-F in Appendix B.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, there were no changes in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

NOTICES PURSUANT TO REGULATION BTR

None.

IDENTIFICATION OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

The Registrant has a separately-designated standing audit committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Exchange Act. The members of the audit committee are: Messrs. Ross Mitchell, Christopher Noel Dunn and Tom S.Q. Yip.

AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT

The board of directors of the Registrant has determined that each of Messrs. Ross Mitchell, Christopher Noel Dunn and Tom S.Q. Yip, members of the Registrant's audit committee, qualify as audit committee financial experts for purposes of paragraph (8) of General Instruction B to Form 40-F. The board of directors has further determined that each of Messrs. Ross Mitchell, Christopher Noel Dunn and Tom S.Q. Yip is also independent, as that term is defined in the Corporate Governance Listing Standards of the New York Stock Exchange (the "NYSE"). The Commission has indicated that the designation of each Ross Mitchell, Christopher Noel Dunn and Tom S.Q. Yip as an audit committee financial expert does not make any of them an "expert" for any purpose, impose any duties, obligations or liabilities on them that are greater than those imposed on members of the audit committee and the board of directors who do not carry this designation or affect the duties, obligations or liabilities of any other member of the audit committee or the board of directors.

ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURE

Code of Ethics.

The Registrant has adopted a "code of ethics" (as that term is defined in Form 40-F), entitled the "Code of Business Conduct and Ethics", that applies to all of its directors, officers, employees, and consultants including its principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, and persons performing similar functions. In 2013, there were no waivers, including implicit waivers, or amendments granted from any provision of the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics.

The Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is available for viewing on the Registrant's website at www.pretivm.com.

Corporate Governance Practices.

Our corporate governance practices are consistent with all applicable current Canadian regulatory guidelines and standards. We are classified as a foreign private issuer in connection with our listing on the NYSE and are not required to comply with most of the NYSE's corporate governance standards (the "NYSE Rules") and instead may comply with Canadian corporate governance practices. However, our corporate governance practices incorporate many best practices derived from the NYSE Rules and there are no significant differences between our corporate governance practices and the NYSE Rules.

Principal Accountant Fees and Services.

The required disclosure is included under the heading "Audit Committee Information—External Auditor Service Fees" at page 27 of the Registrant's Annual Information Form for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, filed as part of this Annual Report on Form 40-F in Appendix A.

Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures.

The Audit Committee pre-approves all audit services to be provided to us by our independent auditors. The Audit Committee's policy regarding the pre-approval of non-audit services to be provided to us by our independent auditors is that all such services shall be pre-approved by the Audit Committee. All non-audit services performed by our auditors for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 have been pre-approved by our Audit Committee.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements.

The Registrant has no off-balance sheet arrangements as defined under Form 40-F.

Tabular Disclosure of Contractual Obligations.

We have no material long term debt, capital lease obligations, operating leases or any other long term obligations, other than payments related to the acquisition of certain mineral claims and a commitment for offices lease and operating costs that require minimum payments in the aggregate as follows:

Commitment	Fiscal 2014	Fiscal 2015 to Fiscal 2017	Fiscal 2018 To Fiscal 2019	Fiscal 2020 and after	Total
Office lease and operating costs	\$447,000	\$1,440,000	\$ nil	\$ nil	\$1,887,000
Mineral claims acquisition	50,000	150,000	100,000	(1)	300,000(1)
Total	\$497,000	\$1,590,000	\$100,000	(1)	\$2,187,000(1)

- (1) We have an ongoing commitment for mineral claims acquisitions of \$50,000 per year which is not included in the calculation of amounts owed in Fiscal 2020 and after or in the Total column.

Mine Safety Disclosure.

Not applicable.

UNDERTAKING

Registrant undertakes to make available, in person or by telephone, representatives to respond to inquiries made by the Commission staff, and to furnish promptly, when requested to do so by the Commission staff, information relating to: the securities registered pursuant to Form 40-F; the securities in relation to which the obligation to file an annual report on Form 40-F arises; or transactions in said securities.

CONSENT TO SERVICE OF PROCESS

Form F-X signed by the Registrant and its agent for service of process has been filed with the Commission together with Registrant's Registration Statement on Form 40-F (001-35393) in connection with its securities registered on such form.

Any changes to the name or address of the agent for service of process of the Registrant shall be communicated promptly to the Commission by an amendment to the Form F-X referencing the file number of the Registrant.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Exchange Act, the Registrant certifies that it meets all of the requirements for filing on Form 40-F and has duly caused this Annual Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: March 31, 2014

Pretium Resources Inc.

By: /s/ ROBERT A. QUARTERMAIN

Name: Robert A. Quartermain

Title: President, Chief Executive Officer & Director

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit	Description
99.1	Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, Independent Registered Public Accountant
99.2	Consents of Ivor W.O. Jones, M.Sc., CP, FAusIMM, of Snowden Mining Industry Consultants and Snowden Mining Industry Consultants
99.3	Consent of Ian I Chang M.A.Sc., P.Eng.
99.4	Consent of Kenneth C. McNaughton, M.A.Sc., P.Eng.
99.5	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the <i>Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002</i>
99.6	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the <i>Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002</i>
99.7	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the <i>Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002</i>
99.8	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the <i>Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002</i>

APPENDIX A

PRETIUM RESOURCES INC. ANNUAL INFORMATION FORM FOR THE FISCAL YEAR

ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013



ANNUAL INFORMATION FORM

(“AIF”)

of

**PRETIUM RESOURCES INC.
(the “Company” or “Pretium”)
1600 – 570 Granville Street
Vancouver, British Columbia
V6C 3P1**

Telephone: 604-558-1784

Facsimile: 604-558-4784

Website: www.pretium.com

E-mail: invest@pretium.com

For the Year Ended December 31, 2013

Dated: March 31, 2014

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PRELIMINARY NOTES	1
Effective Date of Information	1
Currency	1
Note Regarding Forward Looking Statements	1
Technical and Scientific Disclosure	3
National Instrument 43-101 Definitions	3
Cautionary Note to US Investors	5
CORPORATE STRUCTURE	6
Name, Address and Incorporation	6
Intercorporate Relationships	6
GENERAL DEVELOPMENT OF THE BUSINESS	6
Overview	6
Strategy and Objectives	6
Acquisition of the Combined Project Assets	7
NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF THE BUSINESS	7
Details of the Brucejack Project	7
DIVIDENDS	20
DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STRUCTURE	20
MARKET FOR SECURITIES	20
Trading Price	20
DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS	21
Name and Occupation	21
Shareholdings of Directors and Senior Officers	24
Cease Trade Orders, Bankruptcies, Penalties or Sanctions	24
Conflicts of Interest	25
Audit Committee Information	25
RISK FACTORS	27
LEGAL PROCEEDINGS AND REGULATORY ACTIONS	44
INTEREST OF MANAGEMENT AND OTHERS IN MATERIAL TRANSACTIONS	45
AUDITORS, TRANSFER AGENT AND REGISTRAR	45
MATERIAL CONTRACTS	46
INTEREST OF EXPERTS	46
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	46
APPENDIX I AUDIT COMMITTEE CHARTER	A-1

PRELIMINARY NOTES

Effective Date of Information

This AIF is dated March 31, 2014, and unless otherwise indicated, the information contained herein is current as of such date, other than certain financial information which is current as of December 31, 2013, being the date of the Company's most recently audited financial year end.

Currency

All dollar amounts are expressed in Canadian dollars unless otherwise indicated.

Note Regarding Forward Looking Statements

This AIF contains "forward-looking information" and "forward looking statements" within the meaning of applicable Canadian and United States securities legislation (collectively herein referred to as "forward-looking statements"), including the "safe harbour" provisions of provincial securities legislation and the U.S. Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, Section 21E of the U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and Section 27A of the U.S. Securities Act of 1933, as amended. Forward-looking information may include, but is not limited to, information with respect to our planned exploration and development activities, the adequacy of our financial resources, the estimation of mineral resources, realization of mineral resource estimates, timing of development of the Brucejack Project (as defined below), costs and timing of future exploration, results of future exploration and drilling, production and processing estimates, capital and operating cost estimates, timelines and similar statements relating to the economic viability of the Brucejack Project, timing and receipt of approvals, consents and permits under applicable legislation, our executive compensation approach and practice, and adequacy of financial resources. Wherever possible, words such as "plans", "expects", "projects", "assumes", "budgeted", "strategy", "scheduled", "estimates", "forecasts", "anticipates", "believes", "intends" "modelled" and similar expressions or statements that certain actions, events or results "may", "could", "would", "might" or "will" be taken, occur or be achieved, or the negative forms of any of these terms and similar expressions, have been used to identify forward-looking statements and information.

Statements concerning mineral resource estimates may also be deemed to constitute forward-looking information to the extent that they involve estimates of the mineralization that will be encountered if the property is developed. Any statements that express or involve discussions with respect to predictions, expectations, beliefs, plans, projections, objectives, assumptions or future events or performance are not statements of historical fact and may be forward-looking information. Forward-looking information is subject to a variety of known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause actual events or results to differ from those expressed or implied by the forward-looking information, including, without limitation, risks related to:

- the exploration, development and operation of a mine or mine property, including the potential for undisclosed liabilities on our mineral projects;
- the fact that we are a relatively new company with no mineral properties in production or development and no history of production or revenue;
- development of the Brucejack Project;

- our ability to obtain adequate financing for our planned exploration and development activities and to complete further exploration programs;
- dependency on the Brucejack Project for our future operating revenue;
- our mineral resource estimates, including accuracy thereof and our ability to upgrade such mineral resource estimates and establish mineral reserve estimates;
- uncertainties relating to the interpretation of drill results and the geology, grade and continuity of our mineral deposits;
- commodity price fluctuations, including gold price volatility;
- market events and general economic conditions;
- availability of suitable, or damage to, existing infrastructure;
- governmental regulations, including environmental regulations;
- delay in obtaining or failure to obtain required permits, or non-compliance with permits that are obtained;
- increased costs and restrictions on operations due to compliance with environmental laws and regulations;
- compliance with emerging climate change regulation;
- adequate internal control over financial reporting;
- increased costs of complying with the Dodd-Frank Act;
- potential opposition from non-governmental organizations;
- uncertainty regarding unsettled First Nations rights and title in British Columbia;
- land reclamation requirements;
- uncertainties related to title to our mineral properties and surface rights;
- currency fluctuations;
- increased costs affecting the mining industry;
- increased competition in the mining industry for properties, qualified personnel and management;
- our ability to attract and retain qualified management;
- some of our directors' and officers' involvement with other natural resource companies;
- potential inability to attract development partners or our ability to identify attractive acquisitions;
- Silver Standard Resources Inc.'s ("**Silver Standard**") share ownership, ability to influence our governance and possible market overhang;
- uncertainty as to the outcome of potential legal proceedings;
- uncertainty as to the outcome of certain class action proceedings in the U.S. and Canada;
- future sales or issuances of our equity securities; and
- our being treated as a passive foreign investment company for U.S. Federal income tax purposes.

This list is not exhaustive of the factors that may affect any of our forward-looking information. Although we have attempted to identify important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in forward-looking information, there may be other factors that cause results not to be as anticipated, estimated or intended. There can be no assurance that such information will prove to be accurate, as actual results and future events could differ materially from those anticipated in such information. Forward-looking information involves statements about the future and is inherently uncertain, and our actual achievements or other future events or conditions may differ materially from those reflected in the forward-looking information due to a variety of risks, uncertainties and other factors, including, without limitation, those referred to in this AIF under the heading "Risk Factors" and elsewhere in this AIF. Our forward-looking information is based on the beliefs, expectations and opinions of management on the date the statements are made. In connection with the forward-looking statements contained in this AIF, we have made certain assumptions about our business, including about our planned exploration and development activities; the accuracy of our mineral resource estimates; capital and operating cost estimates; production and processing estimates; the results, costs and timing of future exploration and drilling; timelines and similar statements relating to the economic viability of the Brucejack Project; timing and receipt of approvals, consents and permits under applicable legislation; and the adequacy of our financial resources. We have also assumed that no significant events will occur outside of our normal course of business. Although we believe that the assumptions inherent in the forward-looking statements are reasonable as of the date of this AIF, forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and, accordingly, undue reliance should not be put on such statements due to the inherent uncertainty therein. We do not assume any obligation to update forward-looking information, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, other than as required by applicable law. For the reasons set forth above, prospective investors should not place undue reliance on forward-looking information.

Technical and Scientific Disclosure

Certain technical information relating to the Brucejack Project contained in this AIF is derived from, and in some instances is an extract from, the report entitled "Mineral Resources Update Technical Report" dated December 19, 2013 (the "**Brucejack Report**"), which was prepared and verified by Ivor W.O. Jones, M.Sc., CP, FAusIMM, Executive Consultant, Snowden Mining Industry Consultants ("**Snowden**") in accordance with National Instrument 43-101 – *Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects* ("**NI 43-101**"). The Brucejack Report is the only current NI 43-101 compliant technical report with respect to the Brucejack Project and supersedes all previous technical reports.

Technical information in this AIF not contained in the Brucejack Report has been approved by Mr. Kenneth C. McNaughton, M.A.Sc., P.Eng., our Vice President and Chief Exploration Officer and Ian I. Chang M.A.Sc., P.Eng., our Vice President, Project Development, each of whom is a "qualified person" as defined in NI 43-101 and has reviewed and verified the disclosure of such information.

Reference should be made to the full text of the Brucejack Report, which has been filed with certain Canadian securities regulatory authorities pursuant to NI 43-101 and is available for review under the Company's profile on SEDAR at www.sedar.com. Alternatively, copies of the Brucejack Report may be inspected during normal business hours at the Company's head office.

National Instrument 43-101 Definitions

Canadian reporting requirements for disclosure of mineral properties are governed by NI 43-101. The definitions given in NI 43-101 are adopted from those given by the Canadian Institute of Mining Metallurgy and Petroleum.

Mineral Resource	The term “mineral resource” refers to a concentration or occurrence of diamonds, natural, solid, inorganic or fossilized organic material including base and precious metals, coal and industrial minerals in or on the Earth’s crust in such form and quantity and of such a grade or quality that it has reasonable prospects for economic extraction. The location, quantity, grade, geological characteristics and continuity of a mineral resource are known, estimated or interpreted from specific geological evidence and knowledge.
Measured Mineral Resource	The term “measured mineral resource” refers to that part of a mineral resource for which quantity, grade or quality, densities, shape and physical characteristics are so well established that they can be estimated with confidence sufficient to allow the appropriate application of technical and economic parameters, to support production planning and evaluation of the economic viability of the deposit. The estimate is based on detailed and reliable exploration, sampling and testing information gathered through appropriate techniques from locations such as outcrops, trenches, pits, workings and drill holes that are spaced closely enough to confirm both geological and grade continuity.
Indicated Mineral Resource	The term “indicated mineral resource” refers to that part of a mineral resource for which quantity, grade or quality, densities, shape and physical characteristics can be estimated with a level of confidence sufficient to allow the appropriate application of technical and economic parameters, to support mine planning and evaluation of the economic viability of the deposit. The estimate is based on detailed and reliable exploration and testing information gathered through appropriate techniques from locations such as outcrops, trenches, pits, workings and drill holes that are spaced closely enough for geological and grade continuity to be reasonably assumed.
Inferred Mineral Resource	The term “inferred mineral resource” refers to that part of a mineral resource for which quantity and grade or quality can be estimated on the basis of geological evidence and limited sampling and reasonably assumed, but not verified, geological and grade continuity. The estimate is based on limited information and sampling gathered through appropriate techniques from locations such as outcrops, trenches, pits, workings and drill holes.
Qualified Person	The term “qualified person” refers to an individual who is an engineer or geoscientist with at least five years of experience in mineral exploration, mine development, production activities and project assessment, or any combination thereof, including experience relevant to the subject matter of the project or report and is a member in good standing of a self-regulating organization.

Cautionary Note to US Investors

Technical disclosure in this AIF has not been prepared in accordance with the requirements of United States securities laws and uses terms that comply with reporting standards in Canada with certain estimates prepared in accordance with NI 43-101. NI 43-101 is a rule developed by the Canadian Securities Administrators that establishes standards for all public disclosure an issuer makes of scientific and technical information concerning mineral projects. Unless otherwise indicated, all mineral reserve and mineral resource estimates contained in this AIF have been prepared in accordance with NI 43-101 and the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum Classification System.

Canadian standards, including NI 43-101, differ significantly from the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”), and mineral reserve and resource information contained or incorporated by reference in this AIF may not be comparable to similar information disclosed by U.S. companies. In particular, and without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the term “resource” does not equate to the term “reserves”.

Under U.S. standards, mineralization may not be classified as a “reserve” unless the determination has been made that the mineralization could be economically and legally produced or extracted at the time the reserve determination is made and volumes that are not “reserves” should not be disclosed. Among other things, all necessary permits would be required to be in hand or issuance imminent in order to classify mineralized material as reserves under the SEC standards. Accordingly, mineral reserves estimates included in this AIF may not qualify as “reserves” under SEC standards. The SEC’s disclosure standards normally do not permit the inclusion of information concerning “measured mineral resources”, “indicated mineral resources” or “inferred mineral resources” or other descriptions of the amount of mineralization in mineral deposits that do not constitute “reserves” by U.S. standards in documents filed with the SEC.

U.S. investors should also understand that “inferred mineral resources” have a great amount of uncertainty as to their existence and great uncertainty as to their economic and legal feasibility. It cannot be assumed that all or any part of an “inferred mineral resource” will ever be upgraded to a higher category. Under Canadian rules, estimated “inferred mineral resources” may not form the basis of feasibility or pre-feasibility studies except in rare cases. Investors are cautioned not to assume that all or any part of an “inferred mineral resource” exists or is economically or legally mineable. Disclosure of “contained ounces” in a resource is permitted disclosure under Canadian regulations; however, the SEC normally only permits issuers to report mineralization that does not constitute “reserves” by SEC standards as in-place tonnage and grade without reference to unit measures. In addition, the definitions of “proven mineral reserves” and “probable mineral reserves” under reporting standards in Canada differ in certain respects from the standards of the SEC. Accordingly, information concerning mineral deposits set forth herein may not be comparable with information made public by companies that report in accordance with U.S. standards.

CORPORATE STRUCTURE

Name, Address and Incorporation

The Company was incorporated under the *Business Corporations Act* (British Columbia) (the “**BCBCA**”) on October 22, 2010. Our head office is located at 1600 – 570 Granville Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, V6C 3P1 and our registered office is at 2900 – 550 Burrard Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, V6C 0A3.

Intercorporate Relationships

We currently have two wholly-owned subsidiaries, Pretium Exploration Inc. and 0890696 B.C. Ltd, which hold our interests in the Brucejack Project and the assets related thereto, both of which were incorporated under the BCBCA.

GENERAL DEVELOPMENT OF THE BUSINESS

Overview

We are an exploration and development company that was formed for the acquisition, exploration and development of precious metal resource properties in the Americas.

Our only material mineral project for the purposes of NI 43-101 is the Brucejack Project, an advanced stage exploration project located in north-western British Columbia. We also have a 100% interest in the Snowfield Project (the “**Snowfield Project**”), which borders the Brucejack Project to the north.

We intend to focus our exploration and development efforts on the Brucejack Project and, in particular, on expanding, and increasing the quality of mineral resources and on advancing engineering studies on the higher grade underground opportunities at the Brucejack Project.

As at December 19, 2013, the Brucejack Project’s Valley of the Kings (the “**Valley of the Kings**” or the “**VOK**”) hosted estimated measured mineral resources of 1.2 million ounces of gold grading 19.3 g/t, indicated mineral resources of 7.5 million ounces of gold grading 17.4 g/t and inferred mineral resources of 4.9 million ounces of gold grading 25.6 g/t. All resource figures are at a cut-off grade of 5.0 grams/tonne (“**g/t**”) gold equivalent (“**AuEq**”). See “*Details of the Brucejack Project — Mineral Resource Estimates*”.

Strategy and Objectives

Our strategy is to grow our business through the exploration and acquisition of quality precious metals projects.

The Brucejack Project is our initial material project and we intend to continue exploration of the Brucejack Project with a focus on expanding and increasing the quality of resources and advancing engineering studies on its higher grade underground opportunity.

We have a NI 43-101 compliant Feasibility Study and Technical Report on the Brucejack Project dated June 21, 2013 and prepared by Tetra Tech (the “**Feasibility Study**”) which is currently being updated to incorporate the December 2013 Mineral Resource in the Brucejack Report and using revised economic parameters.

The Snowfield Project represents a longer term gold opportunity for our shareholders. Although we do not have a development plan as yet for the Snowfield Project, we plan to continue to explore the area and have budgeted for additional environmental studies which will benefit both the Brucejack and Snowfield Projects.

Acquisition of the Project Assets

We acquired the Brucejack Project, along with the Snowfield Project and other associated assets (together, the “**Project Assets**”), pursuant to an acquisition agreement dated October 28, 2010, as amended, with Silver Standard (the “**Acquisition Agreement**”), for an aggregate acquisition price of \$450 million, consisting of a cash payment of \$233,020,000 and the issuance of a total of 36,163,333 common shares (the “**Common Shares**”) in the capital of the Company (the “**Acquisition**”). We financed the cash portion of the Acquisition with part of the proceeds from our initial public offering, which closed concurrently with the acquisition of the Project Assets in December 2010.

We entered into an investor rights agreement dated December 21, 2010 (the “**Investor Rights Agreement**”) with Silver Standard that provides that, as long as Silver Standard and its affiliates hold at least 10% of the issued and outstanding Common Shares:

- Silver Standard is entitled to nominate to serve as members of our board of directors (the “**Board**”) the number of nominees equal to the lesser of (i) one less than the number which constitutes a majority of the Board and (ii) the percentage of issued and outstanding Common Shares and securities convertible or exchangeable into Common Shares held by Silver Standard multiplied by the number of directors comprising the Board (rounded to the nearest whole number);
- Silver Standard and its affiliates have the right to maintain their proportionate ownership of our Common Shares by participating pro rata in issuances of Common Shares (save in respect of equity compensation plans); and
- Silver Standard and its affiliates have the right to sell Common Shares by participating pro rata in prospectus offerings by us (to a maximum of 20% of any such offering).

We also entered into a transition services agreement dated December 21, 2010 (the “**Transition Services Agreement**”) with Silver Standard pursuant to which Silver Standard provided certain administrative services for the purpose of transitioning the ownership of the Project Assets. The Transition Services Agreement terminated according to its terms on December 21, 2011.

NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF THE BUSINESS

Details of the Brucejack Project

Project Description and Location

The Brucejack Project (also known as the “Property” in the Brucejack Report) consists of six mineral claims totalling 3,199.28 hectares and all claims are in good standing until February 14, 2025. We acquired our 100% outright interest in the Brucejack Project in December 2010, pursuant to the Acquisition Agreement with Silver Standard. The Brucejack Project is subject to a 1.2% net smelter returns royalty in favour of Franco-Nevada Corporation (“**Franco-Nevada**”) on production in excess of 503,386 ounces of gold and 17,907,080 ounces of silver.

The majority of the Brucejack Project falls within the boundaries of the Cassiar-Iskut-Stikine Land and Resource Management Plan (the “**LRMP**”) area of northwestern British Columbia, with only a minor south-eastern segment of Mineral Claim No. 509506 falling outside this area. All claims located within the boundaries of the LRMP are considered as areas of general management direction, with none of the claims falling inside any protected or special management areas. At present, the land claims in the area are in review and subject to ongoing discussions between various First Nations and the Government of British Columbia.

The Brucejack Project is centred at approximately latitude 56°28’20”N by longitude 130°11’31”W, approximately 950 kilometres northwest of Vancouver, 65 kilometres north-northwest of Stewart, and 21 kilometres south-southeast of the Eskay Creek Mine.

Major mining projects in British Columbia are subject to environmental assessment and review prior to certification and issuance of permits to authorize construction and operations. Environmental assessment is a means of ensuring the potential for adverse environmental, social, economic, health, and heritage effects or the potential adverse effects on Aboriginal interests or rights are addressed prior to project approval. Depending on the scope of a project, assessment and permitting of major mines in British Columbia will proceed through the BC Environmental Assessment (the “**EA**”) process pursuant to the BC Environmental Assessment Act (the “**BCEAA**”) and the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act 2012 (the “**CEAA**”).

At a provincial level, proposed mining developments that exceed a threshold criterion of 75,000 t/a (or 205 t/d) as specified in the Reviewable Project Regulations, are required under the BCEAA to obtain an Environmental Assessment Certificate from the Ministry of Energy Mines and Natural Gas and Ministry of Environment before the issuance of any permits to construct or operate. The Brucejack Project will thus require a provincial Environmental Assessment Certificate, because its proposed production rate exceeds the specified threshold.

At a federal level, proposed gold mine developments (other than placer mines) that exceed a threshold criterion of 600 t/d as specified under the Regulations Designating Physical Activities, are required to complete an Environmental Impact Study (the “**EIS**”) pursuant to the CEAA. Thus completion of an EIS will be necessary for the Brucejack Project since the proposed production rate exceeds the specified threshold.

We have formally entered both the provincial and federal EA processes. While the provincial and federal decisions are made independently, the two levels of government work together to allow for a coordinated effects assessment process. In relation to the provincial EA process, we have submitted a Project Description and have received Section 10 and 11 orders under the BCEAA. Federally, we have submitted a Project Description and has received final EIS guidelines from the Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency. We are targeting completion of a combined application for an Environmental Assessment Certificate (the “**EAC**”) and EIS by the end of the first quarter of 2014. Provincial and federal decisions on the EA process are expected in Q4 2014 or Q1 2015. Provincial approval of the EAC application and federal approval of the EIS will then allow for the issuance of the necessary statutory permits and authorizations to commence construction of the Brucejack Project.

Accessibility, Climate, Local Resources, Infrastructure and Physiography

The area is easily accessible by helicopter from the town of Stewart, or seasonally from the settlement of Bell II. The flight time from Stewart is approximately 30 minutes and slightly less from Bell II. We have completed construction of our 74 km access road that links the Brucejack Camp to Highway 37 via the Knipple Glacier, Bowser Camp and Wildfire Camp. Personnel, equipment, fuel and camp provisions are driven to a staging area on the Knipple Glacier before being taken over the glacier to the Brucejack Camp.

The climate is typical of north-western British Columbia with cool, wet summers, and relatively moderate but wet winters. Annual temperatures range from +20°C to -20°C. Precipitation is high with heavy snowfall accumulations ranging from 10 metres to 15 metres at higher elevations and 2 metres to 3 metres along the lower river valleys. Snow packs cover the higher elevations from October to May. The optimum field season is from late June to mid-October.

There are no local resources other than abundant water for any drilling work. The nearest infrastructure is the town of Stewart, approximately 65 kilometres to the south, which has limited supplies and personnel. The towns of Terrace and Smithers are also located in the same general region as the Brucejack Project. Both are directly accessible by daily air service from Vancouver.

The nearest railway is the Canadian National Railway Yellowhead route, which is located approximately 220 kilometres to the southeast. This line runs east-west and terminates at the deep water port of Prince Rupert on the west coast of British Columbia. The most northerly ice-free shipping port in North America, in Stewart, is accessible to store and ship concentrates.

A high voltage, 138-kV transmission line currently services Stewart, BC and has sufficient capacity to provide power to the Brucejack Project. BC Hydro is currently undertaking a facilities study in respect of the interconnection of a transmission line servicing the Brucejack Project with the 138kv transmission line servicing the town of Stewart. The study is expected to be completed mid-2014. A high voltage power line running parallel with Highway 37 is also under construction (www.highway37.com). The plan calls for the new 287-kV line to extend from the community of Terrace to the beginning of the Galore Creek access road at Bob Quinn Lake providing access for the Property to the BC Hydro electric grid. The final capacity of this transmission line has yet to be determined and may be increased due to demand.

The Brucejack Project is located in the Boundary Range of the Coast Mountain Physiographic Belt along the western margin of the Intermontane Tectonic Belt. The terrain is generally steep with local reliefs of 1000 metres from valleys occupied by receding glaciers, to ridges at elevations of 1200 metres above sea-level. Elevations within the Brucejack Project area range from 1366 metres along Brucejack Lake to 1650 metres at the Bridge Zone. However, within several areas, the relief is relatively low to moderate.

History

The exploration history of the area dates back to the 1880s when placer gold was located at Sulphurets and Mitchell Creeks. Placer mining was intermittently undertaken throughout the early 1900s and remained the main focus of prospecting until the mid-1930s.

In 1935, prospectors discovered copper-molybdenum mineralization on the Sulphurets property in the vicinity of the Main Copper zone, approximately six kilometres northwest of Brucejack Lake; however, these claims were not staked until 1960. From 1935 to 1959, the area was relatively inactive with respect to prospecting; however, it was intermittently evaluated by a number of different parties and several small copper and gold-silver occurrences were discovered in the Sulphurets-Mitchell Creek area.

In 1960, Granduc and Alaskan prospectors staked the main claim group covering the known copper and gold-silver occurrences, which collectively became known as the Sulphurets property, starting the era of modern exploration. Various operators explored the area, and an underground program was completed on the West Zone between 1986 and 1991 by the Newcana Joint Venture among Granduc, Newhawk and Lacana Mining Corporation.

In 1999, Silver Standard acquired Newhawk and with it, Newhawk's 60% interest and control of the Brucejack Project. In 2001, Silver Standard acquired Black Hawk's 40% direct interest in the Brucejack Project, resulting in 100% interest in the Brucejack Project.

Silver Standard began initial work on the Brucejack Project in 2009 with a large diamond drilling campaign and a resampling program on historical core. A total of approximately 18,000 metres of diamond drilling were completed in 37 drillholes during the 2009 field season.

In 2010, Silver Standard's drill program was designed to further define bulk tonnage mineralization found the previous year, as well as to attempt to define a high grade resource for the VOK. In this year, 73 diamond drillholes were completed which totalled 33,480 m. Of this, 11 drillholes comprising 3,693 m targeted the VOK, and two drillholes totalling 1,119 m targeted the footwall of West Zone. In the VOK, wide spaced drilling intersected enough high grade mineralization to confirm the exploration potential of the zone. The exploration potential included the preliminary definition of some of the ore controls which put the intersections into a geologic context. The West Zone drilling intersected a broad zone of bulk tonnage mineralization within which were several high grade intersections.

Our 2011 diamond drill program was focused specifically on defining high grade resources. In 2011, 178 drillholes were completed totalling 72,805 m in drillholes SU-110 to SU-288. This included 97 drillholes (41,219 m) that targeted the VOK, 16 drillholes (7,471 m) that targeted West Zone, and 21 drillholes (7,220 m) that targeted the surrounding areas. The remaining drilling was focused on expansion of Shore Zone, testing for structurally controlled high grade mineralization in Galena Hill (now part of the VOK) and Bridge Zones, as well as testing new target areas. The West Zone ramp was partially dewatered in late 2011 and early 2012. A geotechnical mapping program and updated survey was completed on the dewatered portion of the mine.

Our 2012 diamond drill program focused on defining the high grade resource for the VOK, specifically targeting geological and structural features believed to be associated with high grade gold mineralization. Diamond drilling also focused on expanding the known extents of the VOK Zone, both west of the Brucejack Fault and along trend to the east of the main mineralized zone. A total of 301 drillholes were completed, totalling 105,500 m of drilling during the 2012 drilling program.

An underground exploration program commenced in 2012 which was designed to, amongst other things, access the Valley of the Kings deposit underground, excavate a 10,000 tonne underground bulk sample and demonstrate continuity of the high-grade gold mineralization. The initial phase of the underground program involved widening a portion of the historical West Zone underground workings to five metres by five metres so that the historical West Zone portal and underground workings can be used for access to the Valley of the Kings with production sized mining equipment. In late December 2012, the widening of the historical West Zone underground workings was completed and excavation commenced of the access ramp from the West Zone workings to the VOK.

Our 2013 surface diamond drill program focused on further defining the high grade resource for the VOK as well as the geological and structural features believed to be associated with gold mineralization. A total of 24 surface diamond drillholes (5,200 m) of the 37 surface drillholes (5,770 m; drillholes SU-590 to SU-626) completed during the 2013 drilling program were focussed on the VOK.

In 2013, we also excavated a bulk sample from within the VOK to further evaluate the geological interpretation and Mineral Resource estimate. The location of the proposed bulk sample was selected to be representative of the grade and character of the overall mineralization of the VOK.

Underground development reached the bulk sample area in May 2013 and underground drilling to support the bulk sample program began in mid-May. A total of 409 drillholes (38,840 m) were completed with 200 of these drillholes (16,640 m) testing the bulk sample area. The remainder (209 drillholes totalling 22,200 m) were testing targets outside of the bulk sample area.

The design of the bulk sample was limited by provincial legislation to a maximum allowable bulk sample size of 10,000 tonnes. The bulk sample was collected as a series of nominal 100 tonne rounds in underground development. We elected to process the bulk sample both through a sample tower on site and at a custom mill (Contact Mill) in Montana, USA. The results of assaying of the samples from the sample tower provided, in Snowden's opinion, an unacceptable degree of variation in the results.

The mill results from the underground bulk sample processing were used to validate the local accuracy of the November 2012 Mineral Resource in the VOK, and to determine whether the estimation methodology could be improved for the December 2013 Mineral Resource in the Brucejack Report. The result of the testwork is an improved confidence in both the geological model and the grade estimate, with the definition of Measured Resources as part of the December 2013 Mineral Resource.

Geological Setting

The Sulphurets Mining Camp, which includes the Snowfield and Brucejack Properties, is located on the eastern limb of the broad McTagg anticlinorium, a major north-trending mid-Cretaceous structural culmination in the western SFB. Sedimentary and volcanic rocks of the Upper Triassic Stuhini Group form the core of the anticlinorium, and are successively replaced outwards towards the west, north, and east of the core by progressively younger rocks of the Lower to Middle Jurassic dominantly volcanic and lesser sedimentary rocks of the Hazelton Group followed by dominantly sedimentary rocks of the Bowser Lake Group.

Geology on the Brucejack Property can generally be characterized as a northerly-trending, broadly arcuate, concave-westward structural-stratigraphic belt of variably altered rocks. This belt is bisected on the western side of the Property by a prominent topographic lineament, the Brucejack Fault. This belt is characterised by a broad band of variably but generally intensely quartz-sericite-pyrite altered rocks of up to several hundred metres or more across, and approximately five kilometres in strike extent. The quartz-sericite-pyrite alteration typically contains between two and 20% pyrite, and, depending on the alteration intensity, can preclude protolith recognition. Most of the defined mineral resources on the Property are located within the intensely altered zone.

Mineralization

High grade gold (\pm silver) mineralization is predominantly located within this alteration band and is generally associated with vein-stockwork systems of varying intensity. These stockwork systems display good continuity along-strike (several tens of metres to several hundreds of metres) and are characterized by the presence of mesothermal to epithermal veins of quartz, quartz-carbonate, quartz-adularia, and pyrite that are typically on the order of millimetres to tens of centimetres in thickness and which form intense crosscutting networks within the stockworks. In rare cases, the veins may range up to nearly ten metres in thickness. Most high grade zones are either on the margins of, or contained within, a zone of bulk mineralization. Bulk low grade mineralization zones (locally up to several grams per tonne gold) tend to be associated with disseminated anhedral pyrite. Zones and veins of euhedral pyrite are barren.

The hypothesis for the formation of the mineralization on the Brucejack Property at the time of this report is that it represents a deformed transitional meso- to epithermal porphyry-associated quartz stockwork in pervasively altered lower Hazelton Group rocks. It is thought that the bulk mineralization may have formed shortly after consolidation of the volcanic pile due to reactions between these rocks and seawater. Progressive development and telescoping of the porphyry system in rocks of the volcanic pile would then have resulted in widespread and zoned porphyry-style alteration and mineralization, with the high grade gold and gold-silver mineralization generated in a transitional meso- to epithermal environment slightly more distal (i.e., down temperature) from the intrusive stock/dyke/sill body than the KSM and Snowfield deposits.

Mineralized Zones

The current resources as presented in this AIF are comprised of two different zones on the Brucejack Project, being the VOK and the West Zone.

Valley of the Kings

Exploration drilling by Silver Standard (2009, 2010) and the Company (2011 to present), as well as recent surface mapping, has been successful in outlining a series of corridors of high grade mineralization associated with deformed quartz stockworks and intense quartz-sericite-pyrite alteration in an east-west trending and east-southeast plunging tight syncline developed in almost the full sequence of lower Hazelton Group rocks.

The Valley of the Kings mineralized zone trends approximately west-northwest to east-southeast. Its orientation mirrors that of Electrum Ridge, a pronounced topographic feature near the southern margin of the zone, and drilling to date has extended its strike to over 800 metres. The zone is up to 150 metres wide and was originally thought to be bound to the west by the Brucejack Fault. Recent drilling in the current 2012 drill program, together with the presence of significant intervals of gold mineralization, in the Waterloo Zone, indicates that the Valley of the Kings continues west across the fault, thereby making the zone open to the west, as well as to the east and at depth.

High-grade gold and silver mineralization within the Valley of the Kings occurs as electrum, which is generally hosted in deformed quartz-carbonate and quartz-adularia veins and vein stockworks. While quartz veining and stockworks are common throughout the zone, the majority of gold intersections are confined to corridors within a 75 metres to 100 metres wide zone on the southern limb of the syncline. The orientation of these corridors is subparallel to the fold axis. Gold to silver ratios within the Valley of the Kings are typically 2:1 or higher. Variations in this ratio, which could be a function of thermal gradients developed at the time of mineralization, are suggested by a visible increase in the proportion of silvery electrum (at the expense of more gold-coloured electrum) with a concomitant increase in the proportion of vein-hosted adularia towards the eastern parts of the zone. Additional precious metals-bearing minerals found in the Valley of the Kings, typically in trace quantities, include silver sulphides, acanthite, pyrargyrite and tetrahedrite, and associated with base metal-bearing sulphides include sphalerite and galena.

Low grade bulk tonnage mineralization, associated with disseminated anhedral pyrite, forms a halo within the altered rocks, surrounding the high grade mineralization corridors.

West Zone

The West Zone gold-silver deposit is hosted by a northwesterly trending band of intensely altered Lower Jurassic latitic to trachyandesitic volcanic and subordinate sedimentary rocks, as much as 400 metres to 500 metres thick, which passes between two more competent bodies of hornblende plagioclase hornblende phyrlic flows. The stratified rocks dip moderately to steeply to the northeast and are intensely altered, particularly in the immediate area of the precious metals mineralization. The West Zone appears to form the northern limb of an anticline that links up with the Valley of the Kings to the south, and the southern limb of a syncline that extends further to the north.

The West Zone deposit itself comprises at least 10 quartz veins and mineralized quartz stockwork ore shoots, the longest of which has a strike length of approximately 250 metres and a maximum thickness of about around 6 metres. Most mineralized shoots have vertical extents that are greater than their strike lengths. Veins and stockworks in this zone display clear evidence of post-mineral ductile and brittle deformation. The West Zone is open along strike to the southeast, and at depth to the northeast.

In terms of hydrothermal alteration, the West Zone is marked by a central silicified zone that passes outwards to a zone of sericite \pm quartz \pm carbonate and then an outer zone of chlorite \pm sericite \pm carbonate. The combined thickness of the alteration zones across the central part of the deposit is between 100 metres and 150 metres.

Gold in the West Zone occurs principally as electrum in quartz veins and is associated with, in decreasing order of abundance, pyrite, sphalerite, chalcopyrite, and galena. Besides being found with gold in electrum, silver occurs in tetrahedrite, pyrrargyrite, polybasite and, rarely, stephanite and acanthite. Gangue mineralogy of the veins is dominated by quartz, with accessory adularia, albite, sericite, and minor carbonate and barite. The increased abundance of silver in the West Zone may suggest that this zone was formed down temperature gradient from the Valley of the Kings.

Drilling

The input data for the West Zone estimate comprised 756 drillholes (63,208 m) including 439 underground drillholes (24,688 m), 269 historical surface drillholes (21,321 m) and 48 surface drillholes (17,199 m) completed since 2009.

The input data for the Valley of the Kings estimate comprises 922 drillholes for 218,127 meters including 9 historical surface drillholes (579 meters), 24 surface drillholes completed since the previous November 2012 Mineral Resource (5,100 meters) and 409 underground exploration drillholes completed since the previous November 2012 Mineral Resource (38,829 meters). These figures exclude intervals with no gold values and drillholes outside of the Valley of the King area.

Historical drill core sizes for surface drillholes were NQ (47.6 millimetre diameter) and BQ (36.5 millimetre diameter). Core size for drillholes collared from an underground exploration ramp at West Zone was AQ (27 millimetre diameter).

Core sizes for our surface collared drillholes are PQ (85 millimetre diameter), HQ (63.5 millimetre diameter) and NQ (47.6 millimetre diameter). Approximately 50% to 60% of the Company's core is HQ size. For drillholes less than 600 metres length, core size is commenced at HQ and is reduced to NQ when conditions require. For drillholes greater than 600 metres length the commencing core size is PQ which is run down to approximately between 200 metres and 300 metres in order to minimise drill path deviation. All drillcore collected from the underground drilling in 2013 was HQ size.

The drill collars were surveyed by McElhanney Surveying from Terrace, BC. McElhanney Surveying used a total station instrument and permanent ground control stations for reference and have completed all the surveying on the project since 2009.

Drillhole paths are surveyed at a nominal 50 metre interval using a Reflex EZ single shot instrument. There is no apparent drilling or recovery factor that would materially impact the accuracy and reliability of the drilling results.

Sampling, Analysis, Data Verification and Security

Split PQ samples weigh approximately 10 kilograms. HQ samples are around 6 kilograms, and NQ are 3 kilograms to 4 kilograms. These weights assume a nominal 1.5 metre sample length. In general, the average sample size submitted to the analytical laboratory, ALS Chemex (“**ALS**”) was 6.5 kilograms.

Samples at ALS were crushed to 70% passing 2 millimetres, (-10 mesh). Samples were riffle split and 500 g were pulverized to 85% passing 75 µm (-200 mesh). The remaining coarse reject material was returned to us for storage in our Stewart warehouse.

Gold was determined using fire assay on a 30 g aliquot with an atomic absorption finish. In addition, a 33 element package was completed using a four acid digest and ICP-AES analysis, which included the silver. Density determinations were done by ALS using the pycnometer method on pulps from the drilling program.

Snowden analysed the quality assurance and quality control (“**QAQC**”) for the Brucejack Project. The Brucejack drillhole and QAQC database is managed by GeoSpark Consulting Inc. (“**GeoSpark**”), who also manage the routine analysis of the QAQC results for the Company. GeoSpark supplied Snowden with a QAQC database, in Microsoft Access format, containing the QAQC results for all drilling up to 5 December 2013.

The QAQC protocols included the use of field duplicates, standards and blanks. The quality control samples were included at a nominal rate of one field duplicate, one standard and one blank for every 20 samples. Check assays, in the form of pulp duplicates, were also completed by a different laboratory and compared with the primary laboratory.

Procedures undertaken by the Company have been under the supervision and security of our staff, as far as drill core sampling prior to dispatch. Laboratory sample reduction and analytical procedures have been conducted by independent accredited companies with acceptable practices.

We ensure quality control is monitored through the insertion of blanks, certified reference materials and duplicates. In the opinion of Ivor W.O. Jones, M.Sc., CP, FAusIMM, author of the Brucejack Report, the sample preparation, security, and analytical procedures are satisfactory and the data is suitable for use in Mineral Resource estimates.

Mineral Resource and Mineral Reserve Estimates

The West Zone resource estimate remains unchanged from the Mineral Resource estimate announced April 3, 2012, and filed on SEDAR April 30, 2012 (see Table 2 below).

In December 2013, Snowden completed the Brucejack Report and updated the Mineral Resource estimate for the VOK within the Brucejack Project. This estimate is an update of the previous November 2012 Mineral Resource estimate and includes over 40,000 m of additional drilling, including 24 surface drillholes (5,200m) and 409 underground drillholes (38,840m) drilled in support of the underground bulk sample.

In addition to the drilling, a 10,000 tonne bulk sample was processed through a mill and detailed testwork has been carried out to both validate the previous Mineral Resources and refine the estimation process for the updated Mineral Resource. The result of the testwork is an improved confidence in both the geological model and the grade estimate, with the definition of Measured Resources as part of the December 2013 Mineral Resource.

Mineral Resource Estimate

The mineralization in the VOK exists as steeply dipping semi-concordant (to stratigraphy) and discordant pod-like zones hosted in stockwork vein systems within the volcanic and volcanoclastic sequence. High grade mineralization zones appear to be spatially associated, at least in part, with intensely silicified zones resulting from local silica flooding and over-pressure caprock formation. High grade mineralization occurs both in the main east-west trending vein stockwork system, as well as in the rarer north-south trending part of the system. Snowden notes that the Company has taken these various observations into consideration in its interpretation of the mineralization domains for the VOK.

A threshold grade of 0.3 g/t Au was found to generally identify the limits to the broad zones of mineralization as represented in the drill cores at West Zone and the VOK. In the VOK, a 1 g/t Au to 3 g/t Au threshold grade was used together with the Company's interpretation of the lithological domains, to interpret high grade corridors within the broader mineralized zones, and define a series of mineralized domains for estimation.

All data was composited to the dominant sample length of 1.5 m prior to analysis and estimation. Statistical analysis of the gold and silver data was carried out by lithological domain (at the VOK) and mineralized domain. Review of the statistics indicated that the grade distributions for the mineralization within the different lithologies are very similar and as a result these were combined for analysis. This is in agreement with field observations which indicate that the stockwork mineralization is superimposed on the stratigraphic sequence. The summary statistics of composite samples from all domains exhibit a strong positive skewness with high coefficient of variation and some extreme grades.

Because of the extreme positive skew in the histograms of the gold and silver grades within the high grade domains, Snowden elected to use a non-linear approach to estimation, employing the use of indicator and truncated distribution kriging. In this approach, the proportion of high grade in a block was modelled, as was the grade of the high grade portion, and the grade of the low grade portion.

The high grade population, which contains a significant number of samples with extreme grades, required indicator kriging methods for grade estimation. The low grade population was estimated using ordinary kriging on the truncated (low grade; <5 g/t Au and <50 g/t Ag) part of the grade distribution.

Density was estimated using simple kriging of specific gravity measurements determined on sample pulps by ALS Chemex. As part of the 2012 drilling program, we selected a portion of the samples (207 samples) to undergo core density measurements in addition to the usual pulp specific gravity measurements to assess the impact of porosity on the density. A further 204 samples were collected for specific gravity and density measurements as part of the 2013 underground drilling program to increase the comparative dataset. The results of the comparison indicate that the core density is on average the same as the pulp specific gravity within the siliceous zone and 3% lower on average for all other rock types. Bulk density estimates in the final model were determined by simply factoring down pulp specific gravity estimates by 3% in all lithologies except in the intensely silicified conglomerate.

Grade estimates and models were validated by: undertaking global grade comparisons with the input drillhole composites; visual validation of block model cross sections; grade trend plots; and comparing the results of the model to the bulk sample cross cuts.

The resource classification definitions (Measured, Indicated, Inferred) used for this estimate are those published by the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum in their document "CIM Definition Standards".

In order to identify those blocks in the block model that could reasonably be considered as a Mineral Resource, the block model was filtered by a cut-off grade of 5 g/t AuEq. The gold-equivalent calculation used is: $AuEq = Au + (Ag/53)$. These blocks were then used as a guide to develop a set of wireframes defining coherent zones of mineralization which were classified as Measured, Indicated or Inferred and reported (Table 1 and Table 2).

Classification was applied based on geological confidence, data quality and grade variability. Areas classified as Measured Resources at West Zone are within the well-informed portion where the resource is informed by 5 m by 5 m or 5 m by 10 m spaced drilling. Measured Resources within VOK are informed by 5 m by 10 m to 10 m by 10 m underground fan drilling and restricted to the vicinity of the underground bulk sample.

Areas classified as Indicated Resources are informed by drilling on a 20 m by 20 m to 20 m by 40 m grid within West Zone and VOK. In addition, some blocks at the edge of the areas with 20 m by 20 m to 20 m by 40 m drilling, were downgraded to Inferred where the high grades appear to have too much influence. The remainder of the Mineral Resource is classified as Inferred Resources where there is some drilling information (and within around 100 m of drilling) and the blocks occur within the mineralized interpretation.

Areas where there is no informing data and/or the lower grade material is outside of the mineralized interpretation are not classified as a part of the Mineral Resource.

The author of the Brucejack Report, Ivor W.O. Jones, M.Sc., CP, FAusIMM, is not aware of any permitting, legal, title, taxation, socio-economic, and marketing or political issues that could materially affect the Mineral Resource estimates.

Resource Reporting

The Mineral Resources are reported above a cut-off grade of 5 g/t gold equivalent (AuEq) which reflects the potential economics of a high grade underground mining scenario. The AuEq value for each block is consistent with the November 2012 Mineral Resource. In that evaluation, the AuEq value was calculated according to the formula ($AuEq = Au + Ag/53$) based upon prices of \$US1,590/oz and \$US30/oz for gold and silver respectively. Recoveries for gold and silver are assumed to be similar.

High grade Mineral Resources for the VOK and the West Zone are summarized in Table 1 and Table 2 respectively (below).

Table 1
VOK Mineral Resource estimate based on a cut-off grade of 5 g/t AuEq – December 2013⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾

Category	Tonnes (millions)	Gold (g/t)	Silver (g/t)	Contained ⁽³⁾	
				Gold (Moz)	Silver (Moz)
Measured	2.0	19.3	14.4	1.2	0.9
Indicated	13.4	17.4	14.3	7.5	6.1
M + I	15.3	17.6	14.3	8.7	7.0
Inferred ⁽²⁾	5.9	25.6	20.6	4.9	3.9

- (1) Mineral Resources which are not Mineral Reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. The estimate of Mineral Resources may be materially affected by environmental, permitting, legal, marketing, or other relevant issues. The Mineral Resources in this AIF were estimated using the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum (CIM), CIM Standards on Mineral Resources and Reserves, Definitions and Guidelines prepared by the CIM Standing Committee on Reserve Definitions and adopted by CIM Council.
- (2) The quantity and grade of reported Inferred resources in this estimation are uncertain in nature and there has been insufficient exploration to define these Inferred Resources as an Indicated or Measured Mineral Resource and it is uncertain if further exploration will result in upgrading them to an Indicated or Measured Mineral Resource category.
- (3) Contained metal and tonnes figures in totals may differ due to rounding.
- (4) The Mineral Resource estimate stated in Table 1 and Table 2 is defined using 5 m by 5 by 5 m blocks in the well drilled portion of West Zone (5 m by 10 m drilling or better) and 10 m by 10 m by 10 m blocks in the remainder of West Zone and in VOK.
- (5) The gold equivalent value is defined as $AuEq = Au + Ag/53$.

Table 2
West Zone Mineral Resource estimate based on a cut-off grade of 5 g/t AuEq – April 2012 ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾

Category	Tonnes (millions)	Gold (g/t)	Silver (g/t)	Contained ⁽³⁾	
				Gold (Moz)	Silver (Moz)
Measured	2.4	5.85	347	0.5	26.8
Indicated	2.5	5.86	190	0.5	15.1
M+I	4.9	5.85	267	0.9	41.9
Inferred ⁽²⁾	4.0	6.44	82	0.8	10.6

(1), (2), (3), (4) and (5) - See footnotes to Table 1.

Mineral Reserve Estimates

Information in this section has been excerpted from our Feasibility Study which was based on our Mineral Resource estimate announced on November 20, 2012. A revised Mineral Reserve will be completed in 2014 based on the December 2013 Mineral Resource and using revised economic parameters.

A net smelter return (NSR) cut-off grade of \$180/t of ore was used to define the Mineral Reserves (as used in previous studies).

The NSR for each block in the resource model was calculated as the payable revenue for gold and silver less the costs of refining, concentrate treatment, transportation and insurance. The metal price assumptions used were US\$1,350/oz gold and US\$22/oz silver.

Table 3 shows the dilution and recovery factors used in the Mineral Reserve estimation.

Table 3
Dilution factors and recovery factors by type of excavation

Type Of Excavation	Dilution Factor* (%)	Recovery Factor* (%)
Primary Stopes	6.8	97.5
Secondary Stopes	15.2	92.5
Sill Pillar Stopes**	15.2	75.0
Ore Cross-Cuts	4.0	100.0
Production Slashing	7.5	100.0

Notes: *Expressed on a weight basis.

**Includes stope ore to 30 m beneath the surface crown pillar.

The Mineral Reserves were delineated in an orebody consisting of numerous independent lenses in the VOK Zone and two distinct lenses in the West Zone (Table 4).

Table 4
Brucejack Mineral Reserves (2013)*, by Zone and by Reserve Category

Zone		Ore tonnes (Mt)	Grade		Metal	
			Au (g/t)	Ag (g/t)	Au (Moz)	Ag (Moz)
VOK Zone	Proven	-	-	-	-	-
	Probable	15.1	13.6	11	6.6	5.3
	Total	15.1	13.6	11	6.6	5.3
West Zone	Proven	2.0	5.7	309	0.4	19.9
	Probable	1.8	5.8	172	0.3	10.1
	Total	3.8	5.8	243	0.7	30.0
Total Mine	Proven	2.0	5.7	309	0.4	19.9
	Probable	17.0	12.8	28	7.0	15.4
	Total	19.0	12.0	58	7.3	35.3

Notes: *Rounding of some figures may lead to minor discrepancies in totals.

*Based on Cdn\$180/t cut-off grade, US\$1,350/oz gold price, US\$22/oz silver price, Cdn\$/US\$ exchange rate = 1.0

*Based on the November 2012 Mineral Resource estimate.

Mining Operations

The Feasibility Study examined the economics of the development of a high-grade gold, underground mine at the Brucejack Project at an assumed processing rate of 2,700 tonnes per day and mine a total of 9.6 million tonnes of ore for the first 10 years at an average mill feed grade of 14.2 grams gold per tonne.

The Feasibility Study is currently being updated to reflect the December 2013 Mineral Resource and is expected to be completed and filed in the first half of 2014.

Exploration and Development

We are currently planning an underground exploration program to access the mineralization at the 1270 meter Level of the Valley of the Kings. The area of the 1270 meter Level we are planning to target is directly below our development on the 1345 meter Level, and we are planning to drive a ramp from the 1345 meter Level access drive down to the 1270 meter Level. On completion of the ramp access to the 1270 meter Level, we expect to establish underground drill stations on the 1270 meter Level to support a minimum 15,000 meter infill drill program. On completion of the infill drill program, we will, time permitting, plan cross-cuts of the 1270 meter Level mineralization.

DIVIDENDS AND DISTRIBUTIONS

We have not, since the date of incorporation, declared or paid any dividends on our common shares, and do not currently have a policy with respect to the payment of dividends. For the foreseeable future, we anticipate that we will retain future earnings and other cash resources for the operation and development of our business. The payment of dividends in the future will depend on the earnings, if any, and our financial condition and such other factors as our directors consider appropriate.

DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STRUCTURE

Our authorized share capital consists of an unlimited number of Common Shares, without par value, and an unlimited number of Preferred Shares, without par value, issuable in series. 108,476,377 Common Shares and no Preferred Shares were issued and outstanding as at the date of this AIF.

All of the Common Shares rank equally as to voting rights, participation in a distribution of the assets of the Company on a liquidation, dissolution or winding-up of the Company and entitlement to any dividends declared by the Company. The holders of the Common Shares are entitled to receive notice of, and to attend and vote at, all meetings of shareholders (other than meetings at which only holders of another class or series of shares are entitled to vote). Each Common Share carries the right to one vote. In the event of the liquidation, dissolution or winding-up of the Company, the holders of the Common Shares will be entitled to receive, on a pro rata basis, all of the assets remaining after the payment by the Company of all of its liabilities. The holders of Common Shares are entitled to receive any dividends declared by the Company in respect of the Common Shares, subject to the rights of holders of other classes ranking in priority to the Common Shares with respect to the payment of dividends, on a pro rata basis. Any alteration of the rights attached to the Common Shares must be approved by at least two-thirds of the Common Shares voted at a meeting of our shareholders.

MARKET FOR SECURITIES

Trading Price and Volume

Our Common Shares trade on the TSX and the NYSE under the symbol "PVG". Our Common Shares commenced trading on the TSX on December 21, 2010 and on the NYSE on January 12, 2012.

The following table sets out the price ranges (high, low and close) and trading volume of our Common Shares as quoted on the TSX for each month our most recently completed financial year:

Period	High (\$)	Low (\$)	Close (\$)	Volume (Shares)
December 2013	6.58	5.10	6.19	9,451,796
November 2013	6.08	2.83	5.83	17,165,810
October 2013	7.68	3.13	7.21	24,759,483
September 2013	9.24	6.75	9.06	7,992,372
August 2013	10.67	7.45	10.22	8,735,918
July 2013	9.12	6.66	9.03	5,566,387
June 2013	9.26	5.94	9.04	7,197,154

Period	High (\$)	Low (\$)	Close (\$)	Volume (Shares)
May 2013	8.80	6.40	8.56	6,002,535
April 2013	8.10	5.53	7.84	9,563,273
March 2013	8.95	7.11	8.31	7,023,627
February 2013	11.33	7.75	11.22	7,266,881
January 2013	13.55	11.05	13.18	5,389,108

The closing price of the Common Shares on the TSX and the NYSE on March 28, 2014, the last trading day before the date hereof, was \$6.69 and US\$6.07 per Common Share, respectively.

Prior Sales

Except with respect to stock options issued under the Company's stock option plan as set out in the table below, the Company did not issue any securities in our most recent financial year that are of a class that is not listed or quoted for trading on a marketplace.

Date Granted	Number	Exercise Price
March 5, 2013	15,000	7.56
May 17, 2013	150,000	6.40
August 1, 2013	90,000	8.38
September 27, 2013	25,000	7.20
December 17, 2013	1,335,000	5.85

DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS

Name, Occupation and Security Holding

The following table sets forth the name of each of our directors and executive officers, their province or state and country of residence, their position(s) with the Company, their principal occupation during the preceding five years and the date they first became a director of the Company and the number of Common Shares held or controlled, directly or indirectly by such officer or director as of the date of this AIF. Each director's term will expire immediately prior to the next annual meeting of shareholders.

Name and Residence	Position(s) with the Company	Principal Occupation During Past Five Years	Director Since	Number of Common Shares
Robert A. Quartermain British Columbia, Canada	President and Chief Executive Officer and Director	President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company from October 2010 to present. Retired from January 2010 to October 2010. Chief Executive Officer of Silver Standard from January 1985 to January 2010.	October 22, 2010	2,853,353 Common Shares

Name and Residence	Position(s) with the Company	Principal Occupation During Past Five Years	Director Since	Number of Common Shares
Joseph J. Ovsenek British Columbia, Canada	Executive Vice President, Chief Development Officer and Director	Executive Vice President and Chief Development Officer of the Company from March 2014 to present. Vice President, Chief Development Officer of the Company from January 2011 to March 2014. Senior Vice President, Corporate Development of Silver Standard from September 2009 to January 2011. Senior Vice President, Corporate of Silver Standard from February 2003 to September 2009.	December 21, 2010	125,575 Common Shares
Christopher Noel Dunn ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ Massachusetts, USA	Director	Managing Partner of Ero Resource Partners LLC from February 2014 to present. Managing Director of Liberty Mining & Metals, a subsidiary of Liberty Mutual Investments from September 2011 to December 2013. Partner of Niantic Advisors LLC from April 2009 to October 2011. Managing Director of JP Morgan from May 2008 to February 2009.	October 22, 2010	100,000 Common Shares
Ross Mitchell ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ British Columbia, Canada	Director	Retired from July, 2007 to present. Vice President, Finance of Silver Standard from January 1996 to July 2007.	October 22, 2010	105,000 Common Shares
Tom S.Q. Yip ⁽¹⁾ Colorado, USA	Director	Chief Financial Officer of International Tower Hill Mines Ltd. from September 2011 to present. Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer of Silver Standard from July 2007 to August 2011.	February 15, 2011	55,000 Common Shares

Name and Residence	Position(s) with the Company	Principal Occupation During Past Five Years	Director Since	Number of Common Shares
George Paspalas ⁽²⁾ British Columbia, Canada	Director	<p>President and Chief Executive Officer of MAG Silver Corp. from October 2013 to current.</p> <p>President and Chief Executive Officer of Aurizon Mines Ltd from August 2011 to June 2013.</p> <p>Chief Operating Officer of Silver Standard Resources Inc. from June 2007 to December 2011.</p>	May 10, 2013	8,000 Common Shares
Peter J.A. de Visser British Columbia, Canada	Chief Financial Officer	<p>Chief Financial Officer of the Company from October 2010 to present.</p> <p>Partner of DeVisser Gray LLP Chartered Accountants from 1987 to December 2011.</p>	N/A	56,000 Common Shares
Kenneth McNaughton British Columbia, Canada	Vice President, Chief Exploration Officer	<p>Vice President, Chief Exploration Officer of the Company from January 2011 to present.</p> <p>Vice President, Exploration of Silver Standard from July 1991 to January 2011.</p>	N/A	510,500 Common Shares
Ian I Chang British Columbia, Canada	Vice President, Project Development	<p>Vice President, Project Development for the Company, from April 2011 to present.</p> <p>Project Director and Head of Project Management for Fluor Canada Limited from February 2008 to April 2011.</p>	N/A	2,553 Common Shares
Michelle Romero British Columbia, Canada	Vice President Corporate Relations	<p>Vice President, Corporate Relations for the Company from August 2013 to present.</p> <p>Director, Corporate Relations for the Company from February 2011 to August 2013.</p> <p>Director, Investor Relations of Silver Standard from June 2004 to February 2011.</p>	N/A	36,300 Common Shares

Name and Residence	Position(s) with the Company	Principal Occupation During Past Five Years	Director Since	Number of Common Shares
James Currie British Columbia, Canada	Vice President Chief Operating Officer	Vice President, Chief Operating Officer of the Company from March 2014 to present. Chief Operating Officer for Elgin Mining Inc. from August 2012 to October, 2013. Chief Operating Officer for Kimber Resources Inc. from January 2012 to July 2012. Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer for New Gold Inc. from August 2008 to November 2011.	N/A	Nil

Notes:

- (1) Member of the Audit Committee.
- (2) Member of the Compensation and Corporate Governance Committee.

Shareholdings of Directors and Senior Officers

Our directors and executive officers, as a group, beneficially own, control or direct, directly or indirectly, 3,852,281 Common Shares representing approximately 3.55% of the issued and outstanding Common Shares and hold options to acquire an additional 8,075,000 Common Shares, representing approximately 10.05% of the Common Shares on a fully-diluted basis.

Cease Trade Orders, Bankruptcies, Penalties or Sanctions

None of our directors or executive officers is, as at the date hereof, or was within 10 years before the date hereof, a director, chief executive officer or chief financial officer of any company (including the Company) that (a) was subject to a cease trade order, an order similar to a cease trade order or an order that denied the relevant issuer access to any exemption under securities legislation, that was in effect for a period or more than 30 consecutive days (a “**Cease Trade Order**”) that was issued while the director or executive officer was acting in the capacity as director, chief executive officer or chief financial officer of such issuer, or (b) was subject to a Cease Trade Order that was issued after the director or executive officer ceased to be a director, chief executive officer or chief financial officer and which resulted from an event that occurred while that person was acting in the capacity as director, chief executive officer or chief financial officer.

None of our directors or executive officers, nor, to our knowledge, any shareholder holding a sufficient number of our securities to affect materially the control of the Company (a) is, as at the date hereof, or has been within the 10 years before the date hereof, a director or executive officer of any company (including ours) that, while that person was acting in that capacity, or within a year of that person ceasing to act in that capacity, became bankrupt, made a proposal under any legislation relating to bankruptcy or insolvency or was subject to or instituted any proceedings, arrangement or compromise with creditors or had a receiver, receiver manager or trustee appointed to hold its assets, or (b) has, within the 10 years before the date hereof, become bankrupt, made a proposal under any legislation relating to bankruptcy or insolvency, or become subject to or instituted any proceedings, arrangement or compromise with creditors, or had a receiver, receiver manager or trustee appointed to hold the assets of such director, executive officer or shareholder.

None of our directors or executive officers, nor, to our knowledge, any shareholder holding a sufficient number of our securities to affect materially the control of the Company, has been subject to (a) any penalties or sanctions imposed by a court relating to securities legislation or by a securities regulatory authority or has entered into a settlement agreement with a securities regulatory authority, or (b) any other penalties or sanctions imposed by a court or regulatory body that would likely be considered important to a reasonable investor in making an investment decision.

Conflicts of Interest

To the best of our knowledge, there are no known existing or potential conflicts of interest between the Company and any of our directors or officers as a result of such individual's outside business interests at the date hereof. However, certain of our directors and officers are, or may become, directors or officers of other companies with businesses which may conflict with the our business. Accordingly, conflicts of interest may arise which could influence these individuals in evaluating possible acquisitions or in generally acting on behalf of the Company. Pursuant to the BCBCA, directors are required to act honestly and in good faith with a view to the best interests of the Company. As required under the BCBCA and our Articles:

A director or executive officer who holds any office or possesses any property, right or interest that could result, directly or indirectly, in the creation of a duty or interest that materially conflicts with that individual's duty or interest as a director or executive officer of the Company, must promptly disclose the nature and extent of that conflict.

A director who holds a disclosable interest (as that term is used in the BCBCA) in a contract or transaction into which the Company has entered or proposes to enter may generally not vote on any directors' resolution to approve the contract or transaction.

Generally, as a matter of practice, directors or executive officers who have disclosed a material interest in any transaction or agreement that our Board is considering will not take part in any Board discussion respecting that contract or transaction. If on occasion such directors do participate in the discussions, they will abstain from voting on any matters relating to matters in which they have disclosed a material interest. In appropriate cases, we will establish a special committee of independent directors to review a matter in which directors, or management, may have a conflict.

Audit Committee Information

Under National Instrument 52-110 ("NI 52-110") companies are required to provide disclosure with respect to their audit committee including the text of the audit committee's charter, the composition of the audit committee and the fees paid to the external auditor. The text of the Company's audit committee's charter is attached as Appendix 1 to this AIF.

The Company's current audit committee is comprised of Ross Mitchell (Chair), Christopher Noel Dunn and Tom S.Q. Yip, all of whom are independent and financially literate as such terms are defined in NI 52-110.

The education and experience of each Audit Committee member that is relevant to the performance of his responsibilities as a member of the Audit Committee are as follows:

Ross Mitchell is a Chartered Accountant who has over thirty years of experience holding senior finance positions in both mining and mineral exploration companies. He was Vice President, Finance of Westmin Resources Inc. from 1989 to 1995. In 1996, he became Vice President, Finance of Silver Standard and held this position until his retirement in 2007. He earned a Bachelor of Commerce degree from the University of British Columbia in 1971 and a Chartered Accountant designation from the Institute of Chartered Accountants of British Columbia in 1973.

Christopher Noel Dunn is the Managing Partner of Ero Resource Partners LLC. Mr. Dunn was the Managing Director of Liberty Mining & Metals, a subsidiary of Liberty Mutual Investments, focused on investing in early stage mining companies, from 2011 to 2013. He was a limited partner of Niantic Partners LLC, a private equity partnership investing in commercial real estate from April 2009 to October 2011. Prior to these posts, Mr. Dunn was at JP Morgan from May 2008 to February 2009 and at Bear Stearns from May 2001 to May 2008, as a leader of their respective investment banking practices in mining and metals. From September 1988 to May 1999, Mr. Dunn was a Managing Director of Goldman Sachs, managing a capital underwriting business in London. Mr. Dunn holds a Master of Arts degree from the University of Edinburgh and a Master of Science degree from the University of Durham.

Tom S.Q. Yip has over 25 years of experience in all aspects of financial management with both private and publicly traded resource companies. Mr Yip is currently the Chief Financial Officer of International Tower Hill Mines Ltd. From 2007 to 2011, Mr. Yip served as the Chief Financial Officer for Silver Standard. Prior to that, he served as the Chief Financial Officer of Asarco, LLC, a copper mining, smelting and refining company, from 2006 to 2007. He began his career in the mining industry with Echo Bay Mines Ltd., where he worked for 20 years holding various financial roles of increasing responsibility, including Principal Accounting Officer and then Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Yip is a Chartered Accountant and holds a Bachelor of Commerce degree in Business Administration from the University of Alberta.

Pre-approval Policy

The Audit Committee meets with the CEO and CFO of the Company and the independent auditors to review and inquire into matters affecting financial reporting, the system of internal accounting and financial controls and procedures and the audit procedures and audit plans. The Audit Committee also recommends to the Board the auditors to be appointed, subject to shareholder approval. In addition, the Audit Committee reviews and recommends to the Board for approval the annual financial statements, the annual report and certain other documents required by regulatory authorities.

The chair of the Audit Committee is generally responsible for overseeing the Audit Committee in its responsibilities as outlined in the Audit Committee Charter. The chair's duties and responsibilities include presiding at each meeting of the Audit Committee, referring specific matters to the Board in the case of a deadlock on any matter or vote, receiving and responding to all requests for information from the Company or the independent auditors, leading the Audit Committee in discharging its tasks and reporting to the Board on the activities of the Audit Committee.

External Auditor Service Fees

The aggregate fees billed by the Company's external auditors in respect of the last two financial years are as follows:

	2012	2013
Audit Fees⁽¹⁾	\$156,500	\$75,000
Audit-Related Fees⁽²⁾	\$40,500	\$40,500
Tax Fees⁽³⁾	Nil	Nil
All Other Fees	Nil	Nil

- (1) Audits of the Company's consolidated financial statements, meetings with the Audit Committee and management with respect to quarterly filings, consulting and accounting standards and transactions, issuance of consent in connection with Canadian and United States securities filings.
- (2) Audit-related fees were paid for assurance and related services by the auditors that were reasonably related to the performance of the audit or the review of the Company's financial statements that are not included in Audit Fees.
- (3) Tax compliance, taxation advice and tax planning.

RISK FACTORS

Investing in the securities is speculative and involves a high degree of risk due to the nature of our business and the present stage of exploration of our mineral properties. The following risk factors, as well as risks currently unknown to us, could materially adversely affect our future business, operations and financial condition and could cause them to differ materially from the estimates described in forward-looking information relating to the Company, or its business, property or financial results, each of which could cause purchasers of securities to lose part or all of their investment. You should carefully consider the following risk factors along with other risk factors included elsewhere in the AIF.

Risks Related to the Business of the Company

We have no mineral properties in production or under development and even if the development of any of our properties is found to be economically feasible, we will be subject to all of the risks associated with establishing new mining operations.

We do not currently have mineral properties under development. Even if the future development of any of our properties is found to be economically feasible, and the development of which is approved by the Board, such development will require the construction and operation of mines, processing plants and related infrastructure. As a result, we are and will continue to be subject to all of the risks associated with establishing new mining operations, including:

- the timing and cost, which can be considerable, of the construction of mining and processing facilities;
- the availability and cost of skilled labour, mining equipment and principal supplies needed for operations;
- the availability and cost of appropriate smelting and refining arrangements;
- the need to obtain necessary environmental and other governmental approvals and permits and the timing of the receipt of those approvals and permits;

- the availability of funds to finance construction and development activities;
- potential opposition from non-governmental organizations, First Nations, environmental groups, local groups or other stakeholders which may delay or prevent development activities; and
- potential increases in construction and operating costs due to changes in the cost of fuel, power, materials and supplies.

The costs, timing and complexities of developing our projects may be greater than anticipated because the majority of such property interests are not located in developed areas, and, as a result, our property interests may not be served by appropriate road access, water and power supply and other support infrastructure. Cost estimates may increase as more detailed engineering work is completed on a project. It is common in new mining operations to experience unexpected costs, problems and delays during construction, development and mine start-up. Accordingly, we cannot provide assurance that our activities will result in profitable mining operations at our mineral properties.

We are an exploration stage company that has no history of production and no revenue from operations. We cannot provide assurance that we will generate any operating revenues at our mineral properties in the future.

We are an exploration company and all of our properties are in the exploration stage. We have a very limited history of operations and to date have generated no revenue from operations. As such, we are subject to many risks common to such enterprises, including under-capitalization, cash shortages, limitations with respect to personnel, financial and other resources and lack of revenues. We have not defined or delineated any proven or probable mineral reserves on any of our exploration stage properties. Mineral exploration involves significant risk, since few properties that are explored contain bodies of ore that would be commercially economic to develop into producing mines.

We anticipate that we will continue to incur exploration and development costs without realizing any revenues for the foreseeable future. We expect to continue to incur losses unless and until such time as one or more of our mineral properties enters into commercial production and generates sufficient revenues to fund our continuing operations. If we are unable to generate significant revenues at the Brucejack Project, we will not be able to earn profits or continue operations. We cannot provide investors with any assurance that we will ever develop a mine at the Brucejack Project.

We may not have sufficient funds to develop our mineral properties or to complete further exploration programs.

We are an exploration company with limited financial resources. We currently generate no operating revenue, and must primarily finance exploration activity and the development of mineral properties by other means. In the future, our ability to continue exploration, and development and production activities, if any, will depend on our ability to obtain additional external financing. Any unexpected costs, problems or delays could severely impact our ability to continue exploration and development activities.

The sources of external financing that we may use for these purposes include project or bank financing, or public or private offerings of equity and debt. In addition, we may enter into one or more strategic alliances or joint ventures, decide to sell certain property interests, or utilize one or a combination of all of these alternatives. The financing alternative we choose may not be available on acceptable terms, or at all. If additional financing is not available, we may have to postpone the further exploration or development of, or sell, one or more of our principal properties. Furthermore, even if we raise sufficient additional capital, there can be no assurance that we will achieve profitability or positive cash flow. In addition, any future equity offering will further dilute your equity interest in us and any future debt financing will require us to dedicate a portion of our cash flow to payments on indebtedness and will limit our flexibility in planning for or reacting to changes in our business.

We are dependent on the Brucejack Project for our future operating revenue.

Our only material property for the purposes of NI 43-101 is the Brucejack Project, which has a limited life based on mineral resource estimates. There are no established mineral reserve estimates with respect to the Brucejack Project. Mineral resources are not mineral reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability. In order to be able to develop a mine and commence production, we will be required to replace and expand our mineral resources and obtain mineral reserves. In the absence of additional mineral projects, the Company will be solely dependent upon the Brucejack Project for its revenue and profits, if any. In addition, development costs are difficult to predict and may render the development of the Brucejack Project financially unfeasible. Should the development of the Brucejack Project turn out to be not possible or practicable, for political, engineering, technical, economic, legal or other reasons, our business and financial position will be significantly and adversely affected.

Mineral resource and reserve calculations are only estimates.

Any figures presented for mineral resources in this AIF, any figures for mineral resources which may be presented in the future or any figures for mineral reserves that may be presented by us in the future are and will only be estimates. There is a degree of uncertainty attributable to the calculation of mineral reserves and mineral resources. Until mineral reserve estimates or mineral resource estimates are actually mined and processed, the quantity of metal and grades must be considered as estimates only and no assurances can be given that the indicated levels of metals will be produced. In making determinations about whether to advance any of our projects to development, we must rely upon estimated calculations as to the mineral resources and grades of mineralization on our properties.

The estimating of mineral reserves and mineral resources is a subjective process that relies on the judgment of the persons preparing the estimates. The process relies on the quantity and quality of available data and is based on knowledge, mining experience, analysis of drilling results and industry practices. Valid estimates made at a given time may significantly change when new information becomes available. By their nature, mineral resource estimates are imprecise and depend, to a certain extent, upon analysis of drilling results and statistical inferences that may ultimately prove to be inaccurate.

Estimated mineral reserves or mineral resources may have to be recalculated based on changes in mineral prices, further exploration or development activity or actual production experience. This could materially and adversely affect estimates of the volume or grade of mineralization, estimated recovery rates or other important factors that influence mineral reserve or resource estimates. The extent to which resources may ultimately be reclassified as proven or probable mineral reserves is dependent upon the demonstration of their profitable recovery. Any material changes in mineral resource estimates and grades of mineralization will affect the economic viability of placing a property into production and a property's return on capital. We cannot provide assurance that mineralization can be mined or processed profitably.

Our mineral resource estimates have been determined and valued based on assumed future metal prices, cut-off grades, operating costs and other assumptions that may prove to be inaccurate. Extended declines in market prices for gold and silver may render portions of our mineralization uneconomic and result in reduced reported mineral resources, which in turn could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition. We cannot provide assurance that mineral recovery rates achieved in small scale tests will be duplicated in large scale tests under on-site conditions or in production scale. In addition, if our projects produce concentrate for which there is no market, specifically, with respect to concentrate containing rhenium, this may have an impact on the economic model for the Brucejack Project. A reduction in any resources that may be estimated by us in the future could have an adverse impact on our future cash flows, earnings, results of operations and financial condition.

No assurances can be given that any mineral resource estimates for the Brucejack Project will ultimately be reclassified as proven or probable mineral reserves. The failure to establish proven and probable mineral reserves could restrict our ability to successfully implement our strategies for long-term growth and may impact future cash flows, earnings, results of operation and financial condition.

Uncertainty exists related to mineral resources.

There is a risk that inferred mineral resources referred to in this AIF cannot be converted into measured or indicated mineral resources as there may be limited ability to assess geological continuity. In addition, there is no assurance that any mineral resources will, as a result of continued exploration, be determined to have sufficient geological continuity so as to be upgraded to constitute proven and probable mineral reserves.

Changes in the market price of gold and other metals, which in the past have fluctuated widely, may materially and adversely affect our revenues and the value of our mineral properties.

Our profitability and long-term viability will depend, in large part, on the market price of gold and silver. The market prices for these metals are volatile and are affected by numerous factors beyond our control, including:

- global or regional consumption patterns;
- the supply of, and demand for, these metals;
- speculative activities;
- the availability and costs of metal substitutes;
- expectations for inflation; and

- political and economic conditions, including interest rates and currency values.

We cannot predict the effect of these factors on metal prices. A decrease in the market price of gold and other metals could affect our ability to finance the exploration and development of any of our mineral properties. The market price of gold and other metals may not remain at current levels. In particular, an increase in worldwide supply, and consequent downward pressure on prices, may result over the longer term from increased gold production from mines developed or expanded as a result of current metal price levels. A sustained period of declining gold and other metal prices would adversely affect our financial performance, financial position and results of operations.

We may incur losses for the foreseeable future.

We expect to incur losses unless and until such time as our mineral projects generate sufficient revenues to fund continuing operations. The exploration and development of our mineral properties will require the commitment of substantial financial resources that may not be available. The amount and timing of expenditures will depend on a number of factors, including the progress of ongoing exploration and development, the results of consultants' analyses and recommendations, the rate at which operating losses are incurred, the execution of any joint venture agreements with strategic partners and the acquisition of additional property interests, some of which are beyond our control. We cannot provide assurance that we will ever achieve profitability.

General market events and conditions may adversely affect our business and industry.

In 2007 and into 2008, the U.S. credit markets began to experience serious disruption due to a deterioration in residential property values, defaults and delinquencies in the residential mortgage market (particularly, sub-prime and non-prime mortgages) and a decline in the credit quality of mortgage-backed securities. These problems led to a slow-down in residential housing market transactions, declining housing prices, delinquencies in non-mortgage consumer credit and a general decline in consumer confidence. These conditions continued and worsened in 2008 and early 2009, causing a loss of confidence in the U.S. and global credit and financial markets and resulting in the collapse of, and government intervention in, major banks and other financial institutions and insurers, and creating a climate of greater volatility, less liquidity, widening of credit spreads, a lack of price transparency, increased credit losses and tighter credit conditions. Notwithstanding various actions by the U.S. and other governments, concerns about the general condition of the capital markets, financial instruments, banks, investment banks, insurers and other financial institutions caused the broader credit markets to further deteriorate and stock markets to decline substantially. Since such time, there has been no broad and consistent improvement in general economic indicators, including employment levels, announced corporate earnings, economic growth and consumer confidence. Recent economic events have created further uncertainty in global financial and equity markets. If the current crisis persists or worsens, it could lead to increased political uncertainty and financial turmoil. Any or all of these market events and conditions may adversely affect our business and industry.

General economic conditions may adversely affect our growth, profitability and ability to obtain financing.

The unprecedented events in global financial markets in the past several years have had a profound impact on the global economy. Many industries, including the gold mining industry, are impacted by these market conditions. Some of the key impacts of the current financial market turmoil include contraction in credit markets resulting in a widening of credit risk, devaluations, high volatility in global equity, commodity, foreign exchange and precious metal markets and a lack of market confidence and liquidity. A continued or worsened slowdown in the financial markets or other economic conditions, including but not limited to, consumer spending, employment rates, business conditions, inflation, fuel and energy costs, consumer debt levels, lack of available credit, the state of the financial markets, interest rates and tax rates, may adversely affect our growth and profitability. A number of issues related to economic conditions could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations, specifically:

- the global credit/liquidity crisis could impact the cost and availability of financing and our overall liquidity;
- the volatility of gold and other metal prices would impact our revenues, profits, losses and cash flow;
- continued recessionary pressures could adversely impact demand for our production;
- volatile energy, commodity and consumables prices and currency exchange rates would impact our production costs; and
- the devaluation and volatility of global stock markets would impact the valuation of our equity and other securities.

Mining is inherently risky and subject to conditions or events beyond our control.

The development and operation of a mine or mine property is inherently dangerous and involves many risks that even a combination of experience, knowledge and careful evaluation may not be able to overcome, including:

- unusual or unexpected geological formations;
- metallurgical and other processing problems;
- metal losses;
- environmental hazards;
- power outages;
- labour disruptions;
- industrial accidents;
- periodic interruptions due to inclement or hazardous weather conditions;
- flooding, explosions, fire, rockbursts, cave-ins and landslides;
- mechanical equipment and facility performance problems;

- avalanches; and
- the availability of materials and equipment.

These risks could result in damage to, or destruction of, mineral properties, production facilities or other properties, personal injury or death, including to our employees, environmental damage, delays in mining, increased production costs, asset write downs, monetary losses and possible legal liability. We may not be able to obtain insurance to cover these risks at economically feasible premiums, or at all. Insurance against certain environmental risks, including potential liability for pollution and other hazards as a result of the disposal of waste products occurring from production, is not generally available to companies within the mining industry. We may suffer a material adverse impact on our business if we incur losses related to any significant events that are not covered by our insurance policies.

We cannot provide assurance that we currently hold or will successfully acquire commercially mineable mineral rights.

Exploration for and development of gold properties involves significant financial risks which even a combination of careful evaluation, experience and knowledge may not eliminate. While the discovery of an ore body may result in substantial rewards, few properties which are explored are ultimately developed into producing mines. Major expenses may be required to establish mineral reserves by drilling, constructing mining and processing facilities at a site, developing metallurgical processes and extracting gold from ore. We cannot ensure that our current exploration and development programs will result in profitable commercial mining operations.

The economic feasibility of development projects is based upon many factors, including the accuracy of mineral resource and mineral reserve estimates; metallurgical recoveries; capital and operating costs; government regulations relating to prices, taxes, royalties, land tenure, land use, importing and exporting and environmental management and protection; and gold prices, which are highly volatile. Development projects are also subject to the successful completion of feasibility studies, issuance of necessary governmental permits and availability of adequate financing.

Most exploration projects do not result in the discovery of commercially mineable ore deposits, and no assurance can be given that any anticipated level of recovery of ore reserves, if any, will be realized or that any identified mineral deposit will ever qualify as a commercially mineable (or viable) ore body which can be legally and economically exploited. Estimates of mineral reserves, mineral resources, mineral deposits and production costs can also be affected by such factors as environmental permitting regulations and requirements, weather, environmental factors, unforeseen technical difficulties, the metallurgy of the mineralization forming the mineral deposit, unusual or unexpected geological formations and work interruptions. If current exploration programs do not result in the discovery of commercial ore, we may need to write-off part or all of our investment in existing exploration stage properties.

Material changes in ore reserves, if any, grades, stripping ratios or recovery rates may affect the economic viability of any project. Our future growth and productivity will depend, in part, on our ability to develop commercially mineable mineral rights at our existing properties or identify and acquire other commercially mineable mineral rights, and on the costs and results of continued exploration and potential development programs. Mineral exploration is highly speculative in nature and is frequently non-productive. Substantial expenditures are required to:

- establish ore reserves through drilling and metallurgical and other testing techniques;
- determine metal content and metallurgical recovery processes to extract metal from the ore; and
- construct, renovate or expand mining and processing facilities.

In addition, if we discover ore, it would take several years from the initial phases of exploration until production is possible. During this time, the economic feasibility of production may change. As a result of these uncertainties, there can be no assurance that we currently hold or will successfully acquire commercially mineable (or viable) mineral rights.

Suitable infrastructure may not be available or damage to existing infrastructure may occur.

Mining, processing, development and exploration activities depend, to one degree or another, on adequate infrastructure. Reliable roads, bridges, power sources and water supply are important determinants for capital and operating costs. The lack of availability on acceptable terms or the delay in the availability of any one or more of these items could prevent or delay exploitation or development of our projects. If adequate infrastructure is not available in a timely manner, we cannot assure you that the exploitation or development of our projects will be commenced or completed on a timely basis, or at all, or that the resulting operations will achieve the anticipated production volume, or that the construction costs and operating costs associated with the exploitation and/or development of our projects will not be higher than anticipated. In addition, unusual weather phenomena, sabotage, government or other interference in the maintenance or provision of such infrastructure could adversely affect our operations and profitability.

We are subject to significant governmental regulations.

Our exploration activities are subject to extensive federal, provincial and local laws, regulations and policies governing various matters, including:

- environmental protection;
- the management and use of toxic substances and explosives;
- management of tailings and other wastes;
- the management of natural resources and land;
- the exploration and development of mineral properties;
- mine construction;
- mine production and post-closure reclamation;
- exports;
- price controls;
- taxation and mining royalties;

- labour standards and occupational health and safety, including mine safety; and
- historic and cultural preservation.

Failure to comply with applicable laws and regulations may result in civil or criminal fines or penalties or enforcement actions, including orders issued by regulatory or judicial authorities enjoining or curtailing operations or requiring corrective measures, installation of additional equipment or remedial actions, any of which could result in significant expenditures. We may also be required to compensate private parties suffering loss or damage by reason of a breach of such laws, regulations or permitting requirements. It is also possible that future laws and regulations, or more stringent enforcement of current laws and regulations by governmental authorities, could cause us to incur additional expense or capital expenditure restrictions or suspensions of our activities and delays in the exploration and development of our properties.

We require further rights and permits in order to conduct current and anticipated future operations, and delays in obtaining or failure to obtain such rights and permits, or a failure to comply with the terms of any such permits that we have obtained, could adversely affect our business.

Our current and anticipated future operations, including further exploration, development and commencement of production on our mineral properties, require permits from various governmental authorities. Obtaining or renewing governmental permits is a complex and time-consuming process. The duration and success of efforts to obtain and renew permits are contingent upon many variables not within our control. Shortages of personnel in various levels of government could result in delays or inefficiencies. Backlog within permitting agencies affected by the number of other large-scale projects currently in a more advanced stage of development could slow down the review process and adversely effect the permitting timeline of our projects. Negative public and stakeholder opinion is another factor that could affect the permitting timeline. As well, the specific permitting requirements that will ultimately apply to any project are difficult to correctly assess at the exploration and development stage. In addition, our future development plans may require us to obtain the necessary surface rights from the owners of such rights in order to complete the development of our projects.

We cannot provide assurance that all rights and permits that we require for our operations, including any for construction of mining facilities or conduct of mining, will be obtainable or renewable on reasonable terms, or at all. In particular, we will require environmental assessments under federal and provincial legislation and specific permits and authorizations, including for the disposal of tailings from the Brucejack Project into Brucejack Lake. Delays or a failure to obtain such required permits, or the expiry, revocation or failure to comply with the terms of any such permits that we have obtained, would adversely affect our business.

Our activities are subject to environmental laws and regulations that may increase our costs and restrict our operations.

All of our exploration, development and production activities are subject to regulation by governmental agencies under various environmental laws. These laws address emissions into the air, discharges into water, management of waste, management of hazardous substances, protection of natural resources, antiquities and endangered species and reclamation of lands disturbed by mining operations. Environmental legislation is evolving and the general trend has been towards stricter standards and enforcement, increased fines and penalties for noncompliance, more stringent environmental assessments of proposed projects and increasing responsibility for companies and their officers, directors and employees. Compliance with environmental laws and regulations may require significant capital outlays on our behalf and may cause material changes or delays in our intended activities. Future changes in these laws or regulations could have a significant adverse impact on some portion of our business, requiring us to re-evaluate those activities at that time.

Environmental hazards may exist on our properties that are unknown to us at the present time and have been caused by previous owners or operators or that may have occurred naturally. We may be liable for remediating such damage.

Failure to comply with applicable environmental laws, regulations and permitting requirements may result in enforcement actions thereunder, including orders issued by regulatory or judicial authorities, causing operations to cease or be curtailed. Such enforcement actions may include the imposition of corrective measures requiring capital expenditure, installation of new equipment or remedial action.

Compliance with emerging climate change regulations could result in significant costs and the effects of climate change may present physical risks to our operations.

Climate change refers to any changes in climate over time that is directly or indirectly attributable to human activity. This includes changes in weather patterns, frequency of extreme weather events, temperatures, sea levels and water availability. We recognize that climate change is an international and community concern which may affect our business and operations directly or indirectly as described below.

Governments at all levels may be moving towards enacting legislation to address climate change concerns, such as requirements to reduce emission levels and increase energy efficiency. Where legislation has already been enacted, such regulations may become more stringent, which may result in increased costs of compliance. There is no assurance that compliance with such regulations will not have an adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Extreme weather events (such as increased periods of snow and increased frequency and intensity of storms) have the potential to disrupt our exploration and development plans. Where appropriate, our facilities have developed emergency plans for managing extreme weather conditions; however, extended disruptions could have adverse effects on our results of operations and financial condition.

We may fail to maintain adequate internal control over financial reporting pursuant to the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

During our most recent fiscal year, we documented and tested our internal control procedures in order to satisfy the requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act ("SOX"). SOX requires an annual assessment by management of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting and, for fiscal years commencing with our fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, an attestation report by our independent auditors addressing the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. We may fail to maintain the adequacy of our internal control over financial reporting as such standards are modified, supplemented or amended from time to time, and we may not be able to conclude, on an ongoing basis, that we have effective internal control over financial reporting in accordance with Section 404 of SOX. Our failure to satisfy the requirements of Section 404 of SOX on an ongoing, timely basis could result in the loss of investor confidence in the reliability of our financial statements, which in turn could harm our business and negatively impact the trading price or the market value of our securities. In addition, any failure to implement required new or improved controls, or difficulties encountered in their implementation, could harm our operating results or cause us to fail to meet our reporting obligations. Future acquisitions of companies, if any, may provide us with challenges in implementing the required processes, procedures and controls in our acquired operations. No evaluation can provide complete assurance that our internal control over financial reporting will detect or uncover all failures of persons within our Company to disclose material information otherwise required to be reported. The effectiveness of our processes, procedures and controls could also be limited by simple errors or faulty judgments. In addition, as we continue to expand, the challenges involved in implementing appropriate internal control over financial reporting will increase and will require that we continue to monitor our internal control over financial reporting. Although we intend to expend substantial time and incur substantial costs, as necessary, to ensure ongoing compliance, we cannot be certain that we will be successful in complying with Section 404 of SOX.

We are subject to increased regulatory compliance costs relating to the Dodd-Frank Act.

In July 2010, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (“Dodd-Frank Act”) was enacted, representing an overhaul of the framework for regulation of U.S. financial markets. The Dodd-Frank Act calls for various regulatory agencies, including the SEC and the Commodities Futures Trading Commission, to establish regulations for implementation of many of the provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act. If we fail to comply with new laws, regulations and standards, regulatory authorities may initiate legal proceedings against us and our business may be harmed. For example, in December 2011, approved amendments to require companies in the mining industry to disclose in their periodic reports filed with the SEC substantial additional information about safety issues relating to their mining operations. This heightened scrutiny could generate negative publicity for the mining industry, increase the cost of compliance with mining regulations or result in the passage of new laws and regulations, any of which could negatively affect our business results. We may also need to incur additional costs and invest additional resources, including management’s time, in order to comply with new regulations and additional reporting and disclosure obligations.

We face potential opposition from non-governmental organizations.

In recent years communities and non-governmental organizations (“NGOs”) have become more vocal and active with respect to mining activities at or near their communities. These communities and NGOs have taken such actions as road closures, work stoppages, and law suits for damages. These actions relate not only to current activities but often in respect of decades old mining activities by prior owners of mining properties. Such actions by communities and NGOs may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

There is uncertainty related to unsettled First Nations rights and title in British Columbia and this may create delays in project approval or interruptions in project progress.

The nature and extent of First Nations rights and title remains the subject of active debate, claims and litigation in British Columbia. First Nations in British Columbia have made claims of aboriginal rights and title to substantial portions of land and water in the province, including areas where the Company's operations are situated, creating uncertainty as to the status of competing property rights. The Supreme Court of Canada has held that aboriginal groups may have a spectrum of aboriginal rights in lands that have been traditionally used or occupied by their ancestors. Such aboriginal rights and title are not absolute and may be infringed by government in furtherance of a legislative objective, subject to meeting a justification test. However, decisions of the Supreme Court of Canada and the British Columbia Supreme Court cast doubt on the provincial government's ability to justify infringements of treaty rights and aboriginal title, respectively. The effect of such claims on any particular area of land will not be determinable until the exact nature of historical use, occupancy and rights to such property have been clarified by a decision of the Courts or definition in a treaty. First Nations in the province are seeking settlements including compensation from governments with respect to these claims, and the effect of these claims cannot be estimated at this time. The federal and provincial governments have been seeking to negotiate settlements with aboriginal groups throughout British Columbia in order to resolve many of these claims. Any settlements that may result from these negotiations may involve a combination of cash, resources, grants of conditional rights to undertake traditional pursuits (like hunting, gathering, trapping and fishing) on public lands, and some rights of self-government. The issues surrounding aboriginal title and rights are not likely to be resolved in the near future.

In a landmark decision in 2004, the Supreme Court of Canada determined that there is a duty on government to consult with and, where appropriate, accommodate First Nations where government decisions may impact on claimed, but as yet unproven, aboriginal rights or title. This decision also provided much needed clarification of the duties of consultation and accommodation. This decision was re-enforced in a 2010 decision of the Supreme Court of Canada, in which the Court re-affirmed and re-stated the test for determining when the duty to consult arises. The Court has made clear that third parties are not responsible for consultation or accommodation of aboriginal interests and that this responsibility lies with government. However, government permits, including environmental and mine permits, will not be granted by provincial and federal agencies unless they are satisfied that the duty to consult and accommodate has been fully met. In 2005, the Supreme Court of Canada confirmed that this duty exists with respect to claimed treaty rights.

A portion of the Brucejack Project lies within traditional First Nation territory and in the Nass Area, as defined in the final Agreement between the Nisga'a First Nation and the federal and provincial governments, which came into effect on May 11, 2000 (the "Final Agreement"). However, there may be overlapping claims by other First Nations. Given the unsettled nature of land claims and treaty rights in British Columbia, as well as the rights of the Nisga'a under the Nisga'a Final Agreement, there can be no guarantee that there will not be delays in project approval, unexpected interruptions in project progress, or additional costs to advance the Company's projects.

In order to facilitate mine permitting, construction and the commencement of mining activities, the Company may deem it necessary and prudent to try to obtain the cooperation and approval of the local First Nations groups. Any cooperation and approval may be predicated on our committing to take measures to limit the adverse impacts on local First Nations groups and ensuring that some of the economic benefits of the construction and mining activity will be enjoyed by the local First Nations groups. There can be no guarantee that any of our efforts to secure such cooperation or approval would be successful or that the assertion of First Nations rights and title, or claims of insufficient consultation or accommodation, will not create delays in project approval or unexpected interruptions in project progress, or result in additional costs to advance our projects.

Our properties may be subject to uncertain title.

We cannot provide assurance that title to our properties will not be challenged. We hold mineral claims which constitute our property holdings. We may not have, or may not be able to obtain, all necessary surface rights to develop a mineral property. Title insurance is generally not available for mineral properties and our ability to ensure that we have obtained a secure claim to individual mining properties may be severely constrained. We have not conducted surveys of all of the claims in which we hold direct or indirect interests. A successful claim contesting our title to a property could cause us to lose our rights to explore and, if warranted, develop that property or undertake or continue production thereon. This could also result in our not being compensated for our prior expenditures relating to such property.

Land reclamation requirements for our exploration properties may be burdensome.

Land reclamation requirements are generally imposed on mineral exploration companies (as well as companies with mining operations) in order to minimize long term effects of land disturbance. Reclamation may include requirements to treat ground and surface water to drinking water standards, control dispersion of potentially deleterious effluent and reasonably re-establish pre-disturbance land forms and vegetation. In order to carry out reclamation obligations imposed on us in connection with exploration, development and production activities, we must allocate financial resources that might otherwise be spent on further exploration and development programs. The actual costs of reclamation and mine closure are uncertain and planned expenditures may differ from the actual expenditures required. Therefore, the amount that we are required to spend may be materially higher than our estimates. Any additional amounts we are required to spend on reclamation and mine closure may have a material adverse effect on our financial performance, financial condition and results of operations.

We may fail to identify attractive acquisition candidates or may fail to successfully integrate acquired material properties.

We may actively pursue the acquisition of exploration, development and production assets consistent with our acquisition and growth strategy. The identification of attractive candidates and integration of acquired properties, assets or entities involve inherent risks, including but not limited to:

- accurately assessing the value, strengths, weaknesses, contingent and other liabilities and potential profitability of acquisition candidates;

- ability to achieve identified and anticipated operating and financial synergies;
- unanticipated costs;
- diversion of management attention from existing business;
- potential loss of our key employees or key employees of any business acquired;
- unanticipated changes in business, industry or general economic conditions that affect the assumptions underlying the acquisition; and
- decline in the value of acquired properties, companies or securities.

Any one or more of these factors or other risks could cause us not to realize the anticipated benefits of an acquisition of properties or companies, and could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition.

In connection with any future acquisitions, we may incur indebtedness or issue equity securities, resulting in increased interest expense or dilution of the percentage ownership of existing shareholders. Acquisition costs, additional indebtedness or issuances of securities in connection with such acquisitions, may adversely affect the price of our common stock and negatively affect our results of operations.

We may be adversely affected by future fluctuations in foreign exchange rates.

Our potential profitability is exposed to the financial risk related to the fluctuation of foreign exchange rates. The minerals that could be produced from our projects are priced in U.S. dollars but, since our only projects are located in Canada, the majority of our estimated expenditures are in Canadian dollars. A significant change in the currency exchange rates between the Canadian dollar relative to the U.S. dollar will have an effect on the potential profitability of our projects and therefore our ability to continue to finance our operations. To the extent that the actual Canadian dollar to U.S. dollar exchange rate is less than or more than the rate estimated in any future development plans, the profitability of our projects will be affected. Accordingly, our prospects may suffer due to adverse currency fluctuations.

High metal prices in recent years have encouraged increased mining exploration, development and construction activity, which has increased demand for, and cost of, exploration, development and construction services and equipment.

The relative strength of metal prices over the past five years has encouraged increases in mining exploration, development and construction activities around the world, which has resulted in increased demand for, and cost of, exploration, development and construction services and equipment. While recent market conditions have had a moderating effect on the costs of such services and equipment, increases in such costs may continue with the resumption of an upward trend in metal prices. Increased demand for services and equipment could result in delays if services or equipment cannot be obtained in a timely manner due to inadequate availability, and may cause scheduling difficulties due to the need to coordinate the availability of services or equipment, any of which could materially increase project exploration, development and/or construction costs.

The mining industry is very competitive.

We compete with other exploration and producing companies, many of which are better capitalized, have greater financial resources, operational experience and technical capabilities or are further advanced in their development or are significantly larger and have access to greater mineral reserves, for the acquisition of mineral claims, leases and other mineral interests as well as for the recruitment and retention of qualified employees and other personnel. If we require and are unsuccessful in acquiring additional mineral properties or qualified personnel, we will not be able to grow at the rate we desire, or at all.

Our competitors may be able to devote greater resources to the expansion and efficiency of their operations or respond more quickly to new laws and regulations or emerging technologies than we can. We may not be able to compete successfully against current and future competitors, and any failure to do so could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

We may experience difficulty attracting and retaining qualified management to grow our business.

We are dependent on the services of key executives and other highly skilled and experienced personnel to advance our corporate objectives as well as the identification of new opportunities for growth and funding. Robert A. Quartermain, Joseph J. Ovsenek, Kenneth McNaughton and Peter de Visser are currently our key executives. It will be necessary for us to recruit additional skilled and experienced management and personnel. Our inability to do so, or the loss of Mr. Quartermain, or any of our key executives, or our inability to attract and retain suitable replacements for such executives or the additional highly skilled employees required for our activities, would have a material adverse effect on our business and financial condition.

Some of our directors and officers have conflicts of interest as a result of their involvement with other natural resource companies.

Certain of our directors and officers also serve as directors or officers, or have significant shareholdings in, other companies involved in natural resource exploration and development or mining-related activities, including, in particular, Silver Standard. To the extent that such other companies may participate in ventures that we may also participate in, or in ventures that we may seek to participate in, our directors and officers may have a conflict of interest in negotiating and concluding terms respecting the extent of such participation. In all cases where our directors and officers have an interest in other companies, such other companies may also compete with us for the acquisition of mineral property investments. Such conflicts of our directors and officers may result in a material and adverse effect on our profitability, results of operation and financial condition. As a result of these conflicts of interest, we may miss the opportunity to participate in certain transactions, which may have a material adverse effect on our financial position.

We may be unable to attract development partners.

The Company may seek to develop some or all of its projects in partnership with one or more third parties in a corporate or contractual joint venture, or otherwise, or to dispose of some part or of its project to another party, retaining a royalty interest therein. The Company may be unable to find such partners or to negotiate satisfactory terms therewith, in which case the Company will be obliged to either postpone development of such project or proceed alone with the costs of further development.

The Company is subject to lawsuits that could divert its resources and result in the payment of significant damages and other remedies.

The Company is engaged as a defendant in several class action lawsuits filed by certain shareholders of the Company, as further described below under “Legal Proceedings and Regulatory Actions”. Litigation resulting from these claims could be costly and time-consuming and could divert the attention of management and key personnel from the Company’s business operations. The Company cannot assure that it will succeed in defending any of these claims and that judgments will not be entered against it with respect to the litigation resulting from such claims. If the Company is unsuccessful in its defense of these claims or is unable to settle the claims in manner satisfactory to the Company, the Company may be faced with significant monetary damages or injunctive relief against it that could have a material adverse effect on the Company’s business and financial condition.

We may be subject to claims and legal proceedings that could materially adversely impact our financial position, financial performance and results of operations.

We may be subject to other claims or legal proceedings covering a wide range of matters that arise in the ordinary course of business activities. These matters may result in litigation or unfavorable resolution which could materially adversely impact our financial performance, financial position and results of operations.

Risks Related to the Acquisition

Potential liabilities associated with the Acquisition.

We conducted due diligence with respect to the Brucejack Project and the other Project Assets prior to our acquisition of such assets in December 2010; however, there is no certainty that our due diligence procedures revealed all of the risks and liabilities associated with the Acquisition. Silver Standard provided limited representations in the Acquisition Agreement with respect to the Brucejack Project and other Project Assets and those representations were further limited by time and by the knowledge of the persons giving such representations. Also, under the Acquisition Agreement the Company agreed to assume all environmental liabilities with respect to the Brucejack Project and other Project Assets. There may be material environmental or other material liabilities that we are not aware of and, accordingly, the potential monetary cost of such liabilities is also unknown.

Risks Related to our Securities

Silver Standard owns a significant number of Common Shares and is in a position to influence our governance and operations.

To the best of our knowledge, Silver Standard holds approximately 17.5% of the Company’s outstanding Common Shares. For as long as Silver Standard maintains a significant interest in the Company, it may be in a position to affect our governance and operations. Pursuant to the Investor Rights Agreement, Silver Standard is entitled to nominate to serve as members of our Board such number of nominees as is equal to the lesser of (i) one less than the number which constitutes a majority of the Board and (ii) the percentage of the Common Shares held by Silver Standard and securities convertible or exchangeable into Common Shares multiplied by the number of directors comprising the Board (rounded to the nearest whole number of nominees). In addition, Silver Standard may have significant influence over the passage of any resolution of our shareholders (such as would be required, to amend our constating documents or take certain other corporate actions) and may, for all practical purposes, be able to ensure the passages of any such resolution by voting for it or prevent the passage of any such resolution by voting against it. The effect of this influence by Silver Standard may be to limit the price that investors are willing to pay for our Common Shares. In addition, the potential that Silver Standard may sell its Common Shares in the public market (commonly referred to as “market overhang”), as well as any actual sales of such Common Shares in the public market, could adversely affect the market price of the Common Shares.

Future sales or issuances of equity securities could decrease the value of any existing Common Shares, dilute investors' voting power and reduce our earnings per share.

We may sell additional equity securities in subsequent offerings (including through the sale of securities convertible into Common Shares) to finance our operations, exploration, development, acquisitions or other projects. We cannot predict the size of future sales and issuances of equity securities or the effect, if any, that future sales and issuances of equity securities will have on the market price of the Common Shares. Sales, including any possible sales by Silver Standard, or issuances of a substantial number of equity securities, or the perception that such sales could occur, may adversely affect prevailing market prices for the Common Shares. With any additional sale or issuance of equity securities, investors will suffer dilution of their voting power and may experience dilution in the Company's earnings per share.

We do not intend to pay any cash dividends in the foreseeable future.

We have not declared or paid any dividends on our Common Shares. We intend to retain future earnings, if any, to finance the growth and development of our business and do not intend to pay cash dividends on the Common Shares in the foreseeable future. Any return on an investment in the securities will come from the appreciation, if any, in the value of the Common Shares. The payment of future cash dividends, if any, will be reviewed periodically by the Board and will depend upon, among other things, conditions then existing including earnings, financial condition and capital requirements, restrictions in financing agreements, business opportunities and conditions and other factors. See "Dividend Policy".

We may be treated as a "passive foreign investment company" under the U.S. Internal Revenue Code, which could result in adverse tax consequences for investors in the United States.

Generally unfavourable U.S. federal income tax rules apply to U.S. persons owning stock of a passive foreign investment company (a "PFIC"). A foreign corporation will be considered a PFIC for any taxable year in which (i) 75% or more of its gross income is passive income, or (ii) 50% or more of the average value (or, if elected, the adjusted tax basis) of its assets are considered "passive assets" (generally, assets that generate passive income). The Company believes that it was a PFIC in 2013. We may be treated as a PFIC for U.S. federal income tax purposes in some or all subsequent years. If we were classified as a PFIC for any taxable year during which you hold our equity securities, any gain recognized on the sale of securities and any excess distributions paid on the securities must be ratably allocated to each day in a U.S. taxpayer's holding period for the securities and any excess distributions paid on the securities must be ratably allocated to each day in a U.S. taxpayer's holding period for the securities. The amounts allocated to the taxable year of disposition and to years before we became a PFIC would be taxed as ordinary income. The amount allocated to each other taxable year would be subject to tax at the highest rate applicable to ordinary income in effect for that taxable year for individuals or corporations, as appropriate, and an interest charge would be imposed on the tax attributable to the allocated amount, calculated as if such tax liability had been due in each such prior year. Investors should consult their own tax advisors as to the tax consequences of an investment in our securities.

LEGAL PROCEEDINGS AND REGULATORY ACTIONS

During the last financial year, we have not been subject to any penalties or sanctions imposed by a regulatory body in respect of securities legislation or regulatory requirements or any penalty or sanction that would likely to be considered important to a reasonable investor in making an investment decision. We have not entered into any settlement agreement in respect of securities legislation or regulatory requirements.

The Company is aware of the following legal proceedings:

a) Canadian Class Actions

On October 29, 2013, David Wong, a shareholder of the Company, filed a proposed class action against the Company, Robert Quartermain (a director, the President and the CEO of the Company) and Snowden (the “**Wong Action**”).

A similar proposed class action was filed by Roksana Tahzibi, a shareholder of the Company, on November 1, 2013 (the “**Tahzibi Action**”). The defendants in the Tahzibi Action are the Company, Mr. Quartermain, Joseph Ovsenek (an officer and director of the Company), Kenneth McNaughton (an officer of the Company), Ian Chang (an officer of the Company) and Snowden Mining Industry Consultants Ltd.

The Wong Action and Tahzibi Action (together, the “**Ontario Actions**”) were filed in the Ontario Superior Court of Justice.

The plaintiffs in the Ontario Actions seek certification of a class action on behalf of a class of persons, wherever they reside, who acquired the Company’s securities commencing on November 22, 2012 (in the case of the Wong Action) or November 20, 2012 (in the case of the Tahzibi Action) and ending on October 22, 2013.

The plaintiffs in the Ontario Actions allege that certain of the Company’s disclosures contained material misrepresentations or omissions regarding Brucejack, including statements with respect to probable mineral reserves and future gold production at Brucejack. The plaintiffs further allege that until October 22, 2013 the Company failed to disclose alleged reasons provided by Strathcona Mineral Services Ltd. for its resignation as an independent qualified person overseeing the bulk sample program. According to the plaintiffs in the Ontario Actions, these misrepresentations and omissions are actionable under Ontario’s Securities Act, other provincial securities legislation and the common law. The Tahzibi Action also includes a claim for unjust enrichment as against Mr. McNaughton.

The Wong Action claims \$60 million in general damages. The Tahzibi Action claims \$250 million in general damages.

The plaintiffs in the Ontario Actions have asked for the appointment of a case management judge. There have been no further steps in the Ontario Actions.

The Company believes that the allegations made against it in Ontario Actions are meritless and will vigorously defend them, although no assurance can be given with respect to the ultimate outcome of the Ontario Actions.

b) United States Class Actions

Between October 25, 2013 and November 18, 2013, five putative class action complaints were filed in the United States against the Company and certain of its officers and directors, alleging that defendants violated the United States securities laws by misrepresenting or failing to disclose material information concerning the Company's Brucejack Project. All five actions were filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York.

On January 22, 2014, the Court ordered that these actions be consolidated into a single action, styled In re Pretium Resources Inc. Securities Litigation, Case No. 13-CV-7552 (PGG). The Court has appointed as lead plaintiff in the consolidated action a group of shareholders designated as the "Pretium Investor Group," which consists of three individuals (Gary Martin, Merton K.W. Chang and Sandra Lee Reyes-Troyer) suing on behalf of a putative class of shareholders who purchased or otherwise acquired the Company's securities between January 19, 2011 and October 21, 2013.

The Company believes that the allegations made against it in these actions are meritless and will vigorously defend the matter, although no assurance can be given with respect to the ultimate outcome of such proceedings.

INTEREST OF MANAGEMENT AND OTHERS IN MATERIAL TRANSACTIONS

Other than disclosed elsewhere in this AIF, no director, executive officer or shareholder that beneficially owns, or controls or directs, directly or indirectly, more than 10% of the issued Common Shares, or any of their respective associates or affiliates of such persons, has any material interest, direct or indirect, in any transaction which has materially affected or is reasonably expected to materially affect the Company within the three most recent financial years preceding the date of this AIF.

AUDITORS, TRANSFER AGENT AND REGISTRAR

Our auditors are PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, Chartered Accountants, having an address at 700 - 250 Howe Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6C 3S7.

The transfer agent and registrar for the Common Shares of the Company in Canada is Computershare Investor Services Inc., at its principal offices in Vancouver, British Columbia and Toronto, Ontario. The transfer agent and registrar for the Common Shares of the Company in the United States is Computershare Trust Company, N.A, at its principal offices in Golden, Colorado.

MATERIAL CONTRACTS

Except for contracts entered into in the ordinary course of business, as of date of this AIF, the only material contracts which the Company has entered into are set out below. Copies of such agreements are available under the Company's profile on SEDAR at www.sedar.com.

1. the Acquisition Agreement; and
2. the Investor Rights Agreement.

INTEREST OF EXPERTS

None of the following companies, partnerships or persons, each of whom are named in this AIF as having prepared reports or having been responsible for reporting exploration results relating to our mineral properties and whose profession or business gives authority to such reports, or any director, officer, partner, or employee thereof, as applicable, received or has received a direct or indirect interest in our property or of any of our associates or affiliates. As at the date hereof, and except as noted below, such persons, and the directors, officers, partners and employees, as applicable, of each of the following companies and partnerships beneficially own, directly or indirectly, in the aggregate, less than one percent of the securities of the Company:

1. Ivor W.O. Jones, M.Sc., CP, FAusIMM
2. Ian I. Chang M.A.Sc., P.Eng.; and
3. Kenneth C. McNaughton, M.A.Sc., P.Eng.

None of such persons, or any director, officer or employee, as applicable, of any such companies or partnerships, has any interest in any securities or other property of the Company or any of our associates or affiliates or is currently expected to be elected, appointed or employed as a director, officer or employee of the Company or of any of our associates or affiliates, except for Kenneth C. McNaughton, who is our Vice President and Chief Exploration Officer and who holds 510,500 Common Shares and 1,500,000 options to purchase Common Shares, and Ian I Chang, who is our Vice President Project Development and who holds 2,553 Common Shares and 395,000 options.

Our auditors, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, report that they are independent of the Company in accordance with the rules of professional conduct of the Institute of Chartered Accountants of British Columbia and with the rules and regulations of the SEC as at the date of their audit report.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Additional information relating to the Company is available on SEDAR at www.sedar.com. Additional information with respect to directors' and officers' remuneration and indebtedness, principal holders of the Company's securities and securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans is contained in the management information circular for our most recent meeting of shareholders.

Additional financial information is provided in the Company's financial statements and MD&A for its most recently completed financial year, also filed on SEDAR. In addition, copies of these documents may be obtained from the Company by contacting the Company at 1600 – 570 Granville Street, Vancouver, British Columbia V6C 3P1, telephone: (604) 558-1784, fax: (604) 558-4784.

APPENDIX 1

PRETIVM RESOURCES INC.

AUDIT COMMITTEE CHARTER

As Adopted by the Board of Directors on December 9, 2010

I. Purpose of Audit Committee of Pretivm Resources Inc. (the “Company”)

The purpose of the Audit Committee (the “Committee”) is to:

- 1 Assist the Board of Directors of the Company (the “Board”) in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities relating to:
 - (a) the quality and integrity of the Company’s financial statements, financial reporting process and systems of internal controls and disclosure controls regarding risk management, finance, accounting, and legal and regulatory compliance;
 - (b) the independence and qualifications of the Company’s independent accountants and review of the audit efforts of the Company’s independent accountants; and
 - (c) the development and implementation of policies and processes regarding corporate governance matters.
- 2 Provide an open avenue of communication between the independent accountants, the Company’s financial and senior management and the Board.
- 3 Prepare any reports required to be prepared by the Committee pursuant to the rules of any stock exchange on which the Company’s shares are listed and pursuant to the rules of any securities commission or other regulatory authority having jurisdiction, whether for inclusion in the Company’s annual proxy statement or otherwise.

The Committee will primarily fulfill these responsibilities by carrying out the activities enumerated in Section VII below of this Charter.

While the Committee has the responsibilities and powers set forth in this Charter, it is not the duty of the Committee to plan or conduct audits, or to determine that the Company’s financial statements are complete and accurate or are in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, accounting standards, or applicable laws and regulations. This is the responsibility of management of the Company and the Company’s independent accountants, as well as any advisors employed by the Committee. Because the primary function of the Committee is oversight, the Committee shall be entitled to rely on the expertise, skills and knowledge of management and the Company’s independent accountants and the integrity and accuracy of information provided to the Committee by such persons in carrying out its oversight responsibilities. Nothing in this Charter is intended to change the responsibilities of management and the independent accountants.

II. Composition

The Committee shall be composed of at least three directors, each of whom the Board has determined has no material relationship with the Company which could, in the view of the Board, be reasonably expected to interfere with the exercise of such director's independent judgement, and who otherwise satisfies the definition of "independent" as set forth by National Instrument 52-110 – Audit Committees ("NI 52-110") and any other applicable securities laws, rules or requirements of any stock exchange upon which the Company's securities are listed as in effect from time to time.

All members of the Committee must be financially literate, meaning that he or she has the ability to read and understand a set of financial statements that present a breadth and level of complexity of accounting issues that are generally comparable to the breadth and complexity of the issues that can reasonably be expected to be raised by the Company's financial statements or must become. A director committee who is not financially literate may be appointed to the committee provided that such director becomes financially literate within a reasonable period of time following such appointment.

If any member of the Committee ceases to be "independent", as defined by the applicable securities laws and exchange requirements, including NI 52-110, for reasons outside that member's reasonable control, that person may remain an audit committee member until the earlier of the next annual meeting of the shareholders or the date that is six months from the occurrence of the event that caused the member to no longer be independent.

III. Authority

The Committee shall have the authority to (i) retain (at the Company's expense) its own legal counsel, accountants and other advisors that the Committee believes, in its sole discretion, are needed to carry out its duties and responsibilities; (ii) conduct investigations that it believes, in its sole discretion, are necessary to carry out its responsibilities; and (iii) take whatever actions that it deems appropriate to foster an internal culture that is committed to maintaining quality financial reporting, sound business risk practices and ethical behaviour within the Company. In addition, the Committee shall have the authority to request any officer, director, employee or consultant of the Company, the Company's outside legal counsel and the independent accountants to meet with the Committee and any of its advisors and to respond to their inquiries. The Committee shall have full access to the books, records and facilities of the Company in carrying out its responsibilities. Finally, the Board shall adopt resolutions which provide for appropriate funding, as determined by the Committee, for (i) services provided by the independent accountants in rendering or issuing an audit report, (ii) services provided by any adviser employed by the Committee which it believes, in its sole discretion, are needed to carry out its duties and responsibilities, or (iii) ordinary administrative expenses of the Committee that are necessary or appropriate in carrying out its duties and responsibilities.

The Committee shall be responsible for establishing procedures for (i) the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints received by the Company regarding accounting, internal accounting controls, or auditing matters and (ii) the confidential, anonymous submissions by employees of the Company regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters.

The Committee shall review the reports of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer (in connection with their required certifications for the Company's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission) regarding any significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in the design of operation of internal controls and any fraud that involves management or other employees of the Company who have a significant role in managing or implementing the Company's internal controls. During this review, the Committee should evaluate whether the internal control structure, as created and as implemented, provides reasonable assurances that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit the Company's external auditors to reconcile the Company's financial statements in accordance with applicable securities laws.

The Committee, in its capacity as a committee of the Board, is directly responsible for the appointment, compensation, retention and oversight of the work of the independent accountants engaged (including resolution of disagreements between the Company's management and the independent accountants regarding financial reporting) for the purpose of preparing and issuing an audit report or performing other audit, review or attest services for the Company.

The independent accountants shall submit to the Audit Committee annually a formal written statement delineating all relationships between the independent accountants and the Company and its subsidiaries, addressing the non-audit services provided to the Company or its subsidiaries and the matters set forth in or required by the rules and regulations of all relevant regulatory authorities.

The independent accountants shall submit to the Audit Committee annually a formal written statement of the fees billed for each of the following categories of services rendered by the independent accountants: (i) the audit of the Company's annual financial statements for the most recent fiscal year and any reviews of the financial statements; (ii) information technology consulting services for the most recent fiscal year, in the aggregate and by each service (and separately identifying fees for such services relating to financial information systems design and implementation); and (iii) all other services rendered by the independent accountants for the most recent fiscal years, in the aggregate and by each service.

IV. Appointing Members

The members of the Committee shall be appointed or re-appointed by the Board on an annual basis. Each member of the Committee shall continue to be a member thereof until such member's successor is appointed, unless such member shall resign or be removed by the Board or such member shall cease to be a director of the Company. Where a vacancy occurs at any time in the membership of the Committee, it may be filled by the Board and shall be filled by the Board if the membership of the Committee is less than three directors as a result of the vacancy or the Committee no longer has a member who is an "audit committee financial expert" as a result of the vacancy.

V. Chairperson

The Board, or in the event of its failure to do so, the members of the Committee, must appoint a Chairperson from the members of the Committee. If the Chairperson of the Committee is not present at any meeting of the Committee, an acting Chairperson for the meeting shall be chosen by majority vote of the Committee from among the members present. In the case of a deadlock on any matter or vote, the Chairperson shall refer the matter to the Board. All requests for information from the Company or the independent accountants shall be made through the Chairperson.

VI. Meetings

The time and place of meetings of the Committee and the procedure at such meetings shall be determined from time to time by the members thereof provided that:

- 1 A quorum for meetings shall be two members, present in person or by telephone or other telecommunication device that permit all persons participating in the meeting to speak and hear each other;

- 2 The Committee shall meet at least quarterly (or more frequently as circumstances dictate); and
- 3 Notice of the time and place of every meeting shall be given in writing or facsimile communication to each member of the Committee and the external auditors of the Company at least 48 hours prior to the time of such meeting.

While the Committee is expected to communicate regularly with management, the Committee shall exercise a high degree of independence in establishing its meeting agenda and in carrying out its responsibilities. The Committee shall submit the minutes of all meetings of the Committee to, or discuss the matters discussed at each Committee meeting with, the Board.

VII. Specific Duties

In meeting its responsibilities, the Committee is expected to:

- 1 Select and recommend to the Board the independent accountants for the Company, considering independence and effectiveness, approve all audit and non-audit services in advance of the provision of such services and the fees and other compensation to be paid to the independent accountants, and oversee the services rendered by the independent accountants (including the resolution of disagreements between management and the independent accountants regarding preparation of financial statements) for the purpose of preparing or issuing an audit report or related work, and the independent accountants shall report directly to the Committee;
- 2 To pre-approve any non-audit services to be provided to the Company by the external auditor and the fees for those services;
- 3 Review the performance of the independent accountants, including the lead partner of the independent accountants, and, in its sole discretion, approve any proposed discharge of the independent accountants when circumstances warrant, and appoint any new independent accountants;
- 4 Periodically review and discuss with the independent accountants all significant relationships the independent accountants have with the Company to determine the independence of the independent accountants, including a review of service fees for audit and non-audit services;
- 5 Review and approve the issuer's hiring policies from time to time regarding partners, employees and former partners and employees of the present and former external auditor of the issuer;
- 6 Inquire of management and the independent accountants and evaluate the effectiveness of the Company's process for assessing significant risks or exposures and the steps management has taken to monitor, control and minimize such risks to the Company. Obtain annually, in writing, the letters of the independent accountants as to the adequacy of such controls;
- 7 Consider, in consultation with the independent accountants, the audit scope and plan of the independent accountants;

- 8 Review with the independent accountants the coordination of audit effort to assure completeness of coverage, and the effective use of audit resources;
- 9 Consider and review with the independent accountants, out of the presence of management:
 - (a) the adequacy of the Company's internal controls and disclosure controls including the adequacy of computerized information systems and security;
 - (b) the truthfulness and accuracy of the Company's financial statements; and
 - (c) any related significant findings and recommendations of the independent accountants together with management's responses thereto;
- 10 Following completion of the annual audit, review with management and the independent accountants:
 - (a) the Company's annual financial statements and related footnotes;
 - (b) the independent accountants' audit of the financial statements and the report thereon;
 - (c) any significant changes required in the independent accountants' audit plan; and
 - (d) other matters related to the conduct of the audit which are to be communicated to the committee under generally accepted auditing standards;
- 11 Following completion of the annual audit, review separately with each of management and the independent accountants any significant difficulties encountered during the course of the audit, including any restrictions on the scope of work or access to required information;
- 12 Establish regular and separate systems of reporting to the Committee by each of management and the independent accountants regarding any significant judgments made in management's preparation of the financial statements and the view of each as to appropriateness of such judgments;
- 13 In consultation with the independent accountants, review any significant disagreement among management and the independent accountants in connection with the preparation of the financial statements, including management's responses;
- 14 Consider and review with management:
 - (a) significant findings during the year and management's responses thereto; and
 - (b) any changes required in the planned scope of their audit plan;
- 15 Review, prior to publication, all filings with regulatory authorities and any other publicly disclosed information containing the Company's financial statements, including Management's Discussion & Analysis, any certification, report, opinion or review rendered by the independent accountants, any press releases announcing earnings (especially the use of "pro forma" or "adjusted" information not prepared in compliance with generally accepted accounting principles) and all financial information and earnings guidance intended to be provided to analysts and the public or to rating agencies, and consider whether the information contained in these documents is consistent with the information contained in the financial statements;

- 16 Facilitate the preparation and inclusion of any report from the Committee or other disclosures as required by applicable laws and regulations in the Company's annual proxy statement or other filings of all regulatory authorities having jurisdiction;
- 17 Review with management the adequacy of the insurance and fidelity bond coverages, reported contingent liabilities, and management's assessment of contingency planning. Review management's plans regarding any changes in accounting practices or policies and the financial impact of such changes, any major areas in management's judgment that have a significant effect upon the financial statements of the Company, and any litigation or claim, including tax assessments, that could have a material effect upon the financial position or operating results of the Company;
- 18 Review with management and the independent accountants each annual, quarterly and other periodic report prior to its filing with the relevant regulators or prior to the release of earnings;
- 19 Review policies and procedures with respect to officers' expense accounts and perquisites, including their use of corporate assets, and consider the results of any review of these areas by the independent accountants;
- 20 Review, with the Company's counsel, any legal, tax or regulatory matter that may have a material impact on the Company's financial statements, operations, related Company compliance policies, and programs and reports received from regulators;
- 21 Evaluate and review with management the Company's guidelines and policies governing the process of risk assessment and risk management;
- 22 Meet with the independent accountants and management in separate executive sessions to discuss any matters that the Committee or these groups believe should be discussed privately with the Committee;
- 23 Report Committee actions to the Board with such recommendations as the Committee may deem appropriate;
- 24 Maintain, review and update the procedures for (i) the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints received by the Company regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters and (ii) the confidential, anonymous submission by employees of the Company of concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters, as set forth in Annex A attached to this Charter;
- 25 Review, assess and update this Charter on an annual basis and recommend any proposed changes to the Board for approval, in accordance with the requirements of the all applicable laws; and
- 26 Perform such other functions consistent with this Charter, the Company's Articles and governing law, as the Committee deems necessary or appropriate.

ANNEX A

**PROCEDURES FOR THE SUBMISSION OF
COMPLAINTS AND CONCERNS REGARDING
ACCOUNTING, INTERNAL ACCOUNTING CONTROLS OR
AUDITING MATTERS**

- 1 Pretivm Resources Inc. (the "Company") has designated its Audit Committee of its Board of Directors (the "Committee") to be responsible for administering these procedures for the receipt, retention, and treatment of complaints received by the Company or the Committee directly regarding accounting, internal accounting controls, or auditing matters.
- 2 Any employee or consultant of the Company may on a confidential and anonymous basis submit concerns regarding questionable accounting controls or auditing matters to the Committee by setting forth such concerns in a letter addressed directly to the Committee with a legend on the envelope such as "Confidential" or "To be opened by Committee only". If an employee or consultant would like to discuss the matter directly with a member of the Committee, the employee or consultant should include a return telephone number in his or her submission to the Committee at which he or she can be contacted. All submissions by letter to the Committee can be sent to:

Pretivm Resources Inc.

c/o Audit Committee

Attn: Chair

Ross Mitchell

- 3 Any complaints received by the Company that are submitted as set forth herein will be forwarded directly to the Committee and will be treated as confidential if so indicated.
- 4 At each meeting of the Committee, or any special meetings called by the Chairperson of the Committee, the members of the Committee will review and consider any complaints or concerns submitted by employees as set forth herein and take any action it deems necessary in order to respond thereto.
- 5 All complaints and concerns submitted as set forth herein will be retained by the Committee for a period of seven (7) years.

APPENDIX B
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF PRETIUM RESOURCES INC., INCLUDING MANAGEMENT'S REPORT TO SHAREHOLDERS AND THE AUDITORS'
REPORTS



PRETIUM RESOURCES INC.

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013
(Expressed in Canadian Dollars)

1600 - 570 Granville Street
Vancouver, BC V6C 3P1

Phone: 604-558-1784
Email: invest@pretium.com

Management's Responsibility for Financial Reporting

The accompanying consolidated financial statements of the Company have been prepared by management in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards, and within the framework of the summary of significant accounting policies in these consolidated financial statements.

A system of internal accounting control is maintained in order to provide reasonable assurance that assets are safeguarded and that transactions are properly recorded and executed in accordance with management's authorization. This system includes established policies and procedures, the selection and training of qualified personnel and an organization providing for appropriate delegation of authority and segregation of responsibilities.

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors meets periodically with management and the Company's independent auditors to review the scope and results of their annual audit and to review the consolidated financial statements and related financial reporting matters prior to submitting the consolidated financial statements to the Board of Directors for approval.

The consolidated financial statements have been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP on behalf of the shareholders and their report follows.

"Robert A. Quartermain"

Robert A. Quartermain
Chief Executive Officer

"Peter de Visser"

Peter de Visser
Chief Financial Officer

March 4, 2014

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting under Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The Securities Exchange Act of 1934 defines this as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the Company's principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by the Company's Board of Directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and includes those policies and procedures that:

-) Pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company;
-) Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and
-) Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that may have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013. In making this assessment, the Company's management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in Internal Control – Integrated Framework (1992).

Based upon our assessment and those criteria, management concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2013.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, our independent auditors, has issued an audit report on internal control over financial reporting for the Company as of December 31, 2013, which is included herein.

“Robert A. Quartermain”
Robert A. Quartermain
Chief Executive Officer

“Peter de Visser”
Peter de Visser
Chief Financial Officer

March 4, 2014

Independent Auditor's Report

To the Shareholders of Pretium Resources Inc.

We have completed integrated audits of Pretium Resources Inc.'s December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 consolidated financial statements and its internal control over financial reporting as at December 31, 2013. Our opinions, based on our audits are presented below.

Report on the consolidated financial statements

We have audited the accompanying consolidated financial statements of Pretium Resources Inc., which comprise the consolidated statements of financial position as at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and the consolidated statements of loss and comprehensive loss, cash flows and changes in equity for the years then ended, and the related notes, which comprise a summary of significant accounting policies and other explanatory information.

Management's responsibility for the consolidated financial statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these consolidated financial statements in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board and for such internal control as management determines is necessary to enable the preparation of consolidated financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards and the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free from material misstatement. Canadian generally accepted auditing standards also require that we comply with ethical requirements.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence, on a test basis, about the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the company's preparation and fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting principles and policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained in our audits is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion on the consolidated financial statements.

Opinion

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Pretium Resources Inc. as at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and its financial performance and its cash flows for the years then ended in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board.

Report on internal control over financial reporting

We have also audited Pretium Resources Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as at December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (1992), issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

Management's responsibility for internal control over financial reporting

Management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Controls over Financial Reporting.

Auditor's responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit. We conducted our audit of internal control over financial reporting in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects.

An audit of internal control over financial reporting includes obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we consider necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our audit opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting.

Definition of internal control over financial reporting

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that: (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Inherent limitations

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Opinion

In our opinion, Pretium Resources Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as at December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (1992) issued by COSO.

signed "PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP"

Chartered Accountants

Vancouver, British Columbia
March 4, 2014

PRETIUM RESOURCES INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL POSITION
(Expressed in Canadian Dollars)

	Note	Year ended December 31,	
		2013	2012
ASSETS			
Current assets			
Cash and cash equivalents		\$ 11,575,090	\$ 28,991,606
Receivables and other		8,029,053	16,511,519
		19,604,143	45,503,125
Non-current assets			
Restricted cash	6	1,208,000	1,139,000
Property, plant and equipment	9	8,658,520	4,670,846
Mineral interests	6	696,790,071	596,158,842
		706,656,591	601,968,688
Total Assets		\$ 726,260,734	\$ 647,471,813
LIABILITIES			
Current liabilities			
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		\$ 8,385,603	\$ 15,437,434
Non-current liabilities			
Decommissioning and restoration provision		1,900,013	1,179,537
Deferred income tax	12	17,936,121	9,600,910
		28,221,737	26,217,881
EQUITY			
Share capital	7	707,547,196	623,469,609
Share based payment reserve	7	53,820,248	44,529,084
Deficit		(63,328,447)	(46,744,761)
		698,038,997	621,253,932
Total Equity and Liabilities		\$ 726,260,734	\$ 647,471,813
Contingencies	13		
Subsequent event	14		

These consolidated financial statements were authorized for issue by the Board of Directors on March 4, 2014.

On behalf of the Board:

"Ross A. Mitchell"

"C. Noel Dunn"

Ross A. Mitchell
(Chairman of Audit Committee)

C. Noel Dunn
(Director)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

PRETIUM RESOURCES INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF LOSS AND COMPREHENSIVE LOSS
(Expressed in Canadian Dollars)

	Note	Year ended December 31,	
		2013	2012
EXPENSES			
Amortization		\$ 66,133	\$ 223,112
Consulting		65,008	90,995
General and administrative		871,696	775,731
Insurance		305,931	308,225
Investor relations		884,249	917,160
Listing fees		559,716	763,784
Professional fees		386,711	494,804
Salaries		1,715,595	2,034,312
Share-based compensation	7	5,431,093	7,106,725
Travel and accommodation		250,574	236,025
Loss before other items		10,536,706	12,950,873
OTHER ITEMS			
Accretion of decommissioning and restoration provision		30,664	15,305
Interest income		(460,142)	(589,806)
Loss before tax		10,107,228	12,376,372
Deferred income tax expense	12	6,476,458	2,866,652
Net loss and comprehensive loss for the year		\$ 16,583,686	\$ 15,243,024
Basic and diluted loss per common share		\$ 0.16	\$ 0.17
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding		101,104,575	91,944,956

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

PRETIUM RESOURCES INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Expressed in Canadian Dollars)

	Note	Year ended December 31,	
		2013	2012
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES			
Net loss for the year		\$ (16,583,686)	\$ (15,243,024)
Items not affecting cash:			
Accretion of decommissioning and restoration provision		30,664	15,305
Amortization		66,133	223,112
Deferred income tax expense	12	6,476,458	2,866,652
Share-based compensation	7	5,431,093	7,106,725
Changes in non-cash working capital items:			
Receivables and other		288,864	51,613
Due from Silver Standard Resources Inc.		-	501,989
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		(824,119)	(782,148)
Net cash used in operating activities		(5,114,593)	(5,259,776)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES			
Common shares issued, net	7	85,936,340	117,216,301
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	7	-	128,641
Net cash generated by financing activities		85,936,340	117,344,942
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES			
Expenditures on mineral interests	6	(92,718,243)	(95,880,953)
Purchase of property, plant and equipment	9	(5,451,020)	(2,899,585)
Restricted cash	6	(69,000)	(760,245)
Net cash used in investing activities		(98,238,263)	(99,540,783)
Change in cash and cash equivalents for the year		(17,416,516)	12,544,383
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year		28,991,606	16,447,223
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year		\$ 11,575,090	\$ 28,991,606

See also Note 10.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

PRETIUM RESOURCES INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY
(Expressed in Canadian Dollars)

	Note	Number of common shares	Amount	Share-based payments reserve	Deficit	Total
Balance – December 31, 2011		86,860,086	\$ 511,262,747	\$ 30,747,469	\$ (31,501,737)	\$ 510,508,479
Shares issued under flow-through agreement	7	2,400,000	36,837,000	-	-	36,837,000
Shares issued under prospectus	7	5,554,500	80,540,250	-	-	80,540,250
Share issue costs	7	-	(7,148,948)	-	-	(7,148,948)
Deferred income tax on share issuance costs		-	1,787,213	-	-	1,787,213
Value assigned to options vested	7	-	-	13,844,321	-	13,844,321
Shares issued upon exercise of options, for cash	7	13,050	128,641	-	-	128,641
Transfer from contributed surplus on exercise of options		-	62,706	(62,706)	-	-
Net loss and Comprehensive loss for the period		-	-	-	(15,243,024)	(15,243,024)
Balance – December 31, 2012		94,827,636	\$ 623,469,609	\$ 44,529,084	\$ (46,744,761)	\$ 621,253,932
Shares issued under flow through-arrangement	7	3,374,550	35,914,742	-	-	35,914,742
Shares issued under private placement	7	6,849,864	49,999,993	-	-	49,999,993
Share issue costs	7	-	(2,487,063)	-	-	(2,487,063)
Deferred income tax on share issuance costs		-	649,915	-	-	649,915
Value assigned to options vested	7	-	-	9,291,164	-	9,291,164
Net loss and comprehensive loss for the period		-	-	-	(16,583,686)	(16,583,686)
Balance – December 31, 2013		105,051,050	\$ 707,547,196	\$ 53,820,248	\$ (63,328,447)	\$ 698,038,997

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

1. NATURE OF OPERATIONS

Pretium Resources Inc. (the "Company") was incorporated under the laws of the Province of British Columbia, Canada on October 22, 2010. The address of the Company's registered office is 1600 – 570 Granville St., Vancouver, BC, V6C 3P1.

The Company owns the Brucejack and Snowfield Projects (the "Projects") located in Northwest British Columbia, Canada. The Company is in the process of advancing the Brucejack Project, which has been determined to contain economically recoverable mineral reserves as communicated through our National Instrument 43-101 compliant "Feasibility Study and Technical report for the Brucejack Project" and exploring the Snowfield Project. The Company's continuing operations and the underlying value and recoverability of the amount shown for the mineral interests are entirely dependent upon the existence of economically recoverable mineral reserves and resources, the ability of the Company to obtain the necessary financing to complete the exploration and development of the Projects, the ability to obtain the necessary permits to mine, and on future profitable production or proceeds from the disposition of the Projects.

2. BASIS OF PREPARATION

These consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB"), and interpretations of the International Financial Reporting Interpretations Committee ("IFRIC").

These consolidated financial statements have been prepared on the historical cost basis and include the accounts of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiaries (note 8). Inter-company balances and transactions are eliminated on consolidation. The presentation and functional currency of the Company is the Canadian dollar.

3. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents comprise cash holdings in business and savings accounts held at major financial institutions with an original maturity date of three months or less.

Financial instruments

Financial assets

All financial assets are recognized or derecognized on the trade date where the purchase or sale of a financial asset is under a contract whose terms require delivery of the financial asset within the timeframe established by the market concerned, and are initially measured at fair value plus transaction costs. Financial assets held are cash and cash equivalents, receivables and restricted cash. These financial assets have fixed or determinable payments that are not quoted in an active market and accordingly are classified as loans and receivables. Loans and receivables are measured at amortized cost using the effective interest method, less any impairment losses. Interest income is recognized by applying the effective interest rate, except for short-term receivables, when the recognition of interest would be immaterial.

3. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont'd)

Financial liabilities

The Company has the following financial liabilities: accounts payable and accrued liabilities. Such financial liabilities are recognized initially at fair value net of any directly attributable transaction costs. Subsequent to initial recognition these financial liabilities are held at amortized cost using the effective interest method.

Impairment of financial assets

Financial assets are assessed for indicators of impairment at the end of each reporting year. Financial assets are considered to be impaired when there is objective evidence that, as a result of one or more events that occurred after the initial recognition of the financial asset, the estimated future cash flows of the investment have been adversely impacted.

For all financial assets, objective evidence of impairment could include:

-) significant financial difficulty of the issuer or counterparty; or
-) default of delinquency in interest or principal payments; or
-) it becoming probable that the borrower will enter bankruptcy or financial re-organization.

Property, plant and equipment

Property, plant and equipment are carried at cost, less accumulated amortization and accumulated impairment losses. Cost comprises the fair value of consideration given to acquire an asset and includes the direct charges associated with bringing the asset to the location and condition necessary for putting it into use along with the future cost of dismantling and removing the asset. When parts of an item of property, plant and equipment have different useful lives, they are accounted for as separate items (major components) of property, plant and equipment.

Plant and equipment are amortized over the estimated useful life of the assets using the declining balance method at rates of 4% to 55% per annum, as appropriate. The Company reviews residual value amortization methods and useful lives annually. Any changes in estimates that arise from this review are accounted for prospectively.

Exploration and evaluation expenditures

Exploration and evaluation expenditures include the costs of acquiring licenses, costs associated with exploration and evaluation activity, and the fair value (at acquisition date) of exploration and evaluation assets acquired. Exploration and evaluation expenditures are capitalized. Mineral property acquisition costs are capitalized. Exploration and evaluation costs incurred before the Company has obtained the legal rights to explore an area are expensed.

Once the technical feasibility and commercial viability of the extraction of mineral reserves or resources from a particular mineral property has been determined, expenditures are reclassified to development assets within property, plant and equipment and are carried at cost until the properties to which the expenditures relate are sold, abandoned or determined by management to be impaired in value.

3. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont'd)

The establishment of technical feasibility and commercial viability of a mineral property is assessed based on a combination of factors, such as:

-) The extent to which mineral reserves or mineral resources as defined in National Instrument 43-101 have been identified through a feasibility study or similar document;
-) The results of optimization studies and further technical evaluation carried out to mitigate project risks identified in the feasibility study;
-) The status of environmental permits, and
-) The status of mining leases or permits.

Exploration and evaluation assets are tested for impairment immediately prior to reclassification to development assets.

Impairment of non-financial assets

At the end of each reporting year, and when relevant triggering events and circumstances occur, the carrying amounts of the Company's non-financial assets are reviewed to determine whether there is any indication that those assets are impaired. If any such indication exists, the recoverable amount of the asset is estimated in order to determine the extent of the impairment, if any. The recoverable amount is the higher of fair value less costs to sell and the value in use. Fair value is determined as the amount that would be obtained from the sale of the asset in an arm's length transaction between knowledgeable and willing parties. Fair value of mineral assets is generally determined as the present value of the estimated future cash flows expected to arise from the continued use of the asset, including any expansion prospects. If the recoverable amount of an asset is estimated to be less than its carrying amount, the carrying amount of the asset is reduced to its recoverable amount and the impairment loss is recognized in profit or loss for the year. For the purposes of impairment testing, exploration and evaluation assets are allocated to cash-generating units to which the exploration activity relates. A cash-generating unit is determined as the smallest group of assets that generates cash inflows from continuing use that are largely independent of the cash inflows of other assets or groups of assets. For an asset that does not generate largely independent cash inflows, the recoverable amount is determined for the cash generating unit to which the asset belongs.

Where an impairment loss subsequently reverses, the carrying amount of the asset (or cash-generating unit) is increased to the revised estimate of its recoverable amount, but so that the increased carrying amount does not exceed the carrying amount that would have been determined had no impairment loss been recognized for the asset (or cash-generating unit) in prior years. A reversal of an impairment loss is recognized immediately in profit or loss.

Share capital

Common shares are classified as equity. Transaction costs directly attributable to the issue of common shares and share options are recognized as a deduction from equity, net of any tax effects.

3. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont'd)

Income taxes

Income tax is recognized in profit or loss except to the extent that it relates to items recognized directly in equity, in which case it is recognized in equity.

Deferred tax is provided for temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for taxation purposes. Temporary differences are not provided for the initial recognition of assets or liabilities that affect neither accounting nor taxable profit. The amount of deferred tax provided is based on the expected manner of realization or settlement of the carrying amount of assets and liabilities, using tax rates at the end of the reporting year applicable to the year of expected realization.

A deferred tax asset is recognized only to the extent that it is probable that future taxable profits will be available against which the asset can be utilized.

Mineral exploration tax credits

Mineral exploration tax credits on eligible mineral exploration expenditures incurred are treated as a reduction of the capitalized exploration costs of the mineral properties. The credits are recorded when the amount is reliably measurable and it is considered probable that the tax credit will be recovered.

Decommissioning and restoration provision

An obligation to incur decommissioning and environmental costs arises when environmental disturbance is caused by the exploration or development of a mineral property interest. Such costs are estimated and discounted to their net present value and capitalized to the carrying amount of the related asset along with the recording of a corresponding liability, as soon as the obligation to incur such costs arises. Discount rates using a pre-tax rate that reflect risks specific to the asset are used to calculate the net present value. The liability is adjusted each year for the unwinding of the discount rate, changes to the current market-based discount rate, and for the amount or timing of the underlying cash flows needed to settle the obligation.

Loss per share

The Company presents basic and diluted loss per share data for its common shares, calculated by dividing the loss attributable to common shareholders of the Company by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the year. Diluted loss per share is determined by adjusting the loss attributable to common shareholders and the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the effects of all dilutive potential common shares.

3. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont'd)

Flow-through shares

The issuance of flow-through common shares results in the tax deductibility of the qualifying resource expenditures funded from the proceeds of the sale of such shares being transferred to the purchasers of the shares. Under IFRS, on the issuance of such shares, the Company bifurcates the flow-through shares into: a flow-through share premium, equal to the estimated premium that investors pay for the flow-through feature, which is recognized as a liability, and share capital. As the related exploration expenditures are incurred, the Company derecognizes the premium liability and recognizes a related income tax recovery.

Share-based payment transactions

The Company's share purchase option plan allows employees and consultants to acquire shares of the Company. The fair value of share purchase options granted is recognized as an expense with a corresponding increase in share-based payments reserve in equity. An individual is classified as an employee when the individual is an employee for legal or tax purposes (direct employee) or provides services similar to those performed by a direct employee.

Equity-settled share-based payment transactions with non-employees are measured at the fair value of the goods or services received. However, if the fair value cannot be estimated reliably, the share-based payment transaction is measured at the fair value of the equity instruments granted at the date the non-employee receives the goods or the services.

4. CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES AND JUDGMENTS

Critical accounting estimates and judgments

The preparation of financial statements requires management to use judgment in applying its accounting policies and estimates and assumptions about the future. Estimates and other judgments are regularly evaluated and are based on management's experience and other factors, including expectations about future events that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. The following discusses the most significant accounting judgments and estimates that the Company has made in the preparation of the financial statements that could result in a material effect in the next financial year on the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities:

) *Impairment*

The Company considers both external and internal sources of information in assessing whether there are any indicators that mineral interests are impaired. External sources of information include changes in the market, and the economic and legal environment in which the Company operates. Internal sources of information include the manner in which mineral interests are being used or are expected to be used. Management has assessed impairment indicators on the Company's mineral interests and has concluded that no impairment indicators existed as of December 31, 2013.

5. NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS AND RECENT PRONOUNCEMENTS

New standards, amendments and interpretations to existing standards adopted by the Company

The following revised standard is effective for annual years beginning on or after January 1, 2014 with earlier application permitted. The Company has assessed the impact of this standard and has determined that it would not have a material impact on the Company.

-) IFRS 10, *Consolidated Financial Statements*, requires an entity to consolidate an investee when it has power over the investee, is exposed, or has rights, to variable returns from its involvement with the investee and has the ability to affect those returns through its power over the investee. Under existing IFRS, consolidation is required when an entity has the power to govern the financial and operating policies of an entity so as to obtain benefits from its activities. IFRS 10 replaces SIC 12, *Consolidation – Special Purpose Entities* and parts of IAS 27, *Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements*.

There are no other IFRS's or IFRIC interpretations that are not yet effective that are expected to have a material impact on the Company.

6. MINERAL INTERESTS

The Company's mineral interests consist of gold/copper/silver exploration projects located in northwest British Columbia.

	Year ended December 31, 2013		
	Brucejack	Snowfield	Total
Acquisition			
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 142,949,319	\$ 309,067,638	\$ 452,016,957
Additions in the year	160,591	-	160,591
Balance, end of year	\$ 143,109,910	\$ 309,067,638	\$ 452,177,548
Exploration			
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 143,602,828	\$ 539,057	\$ 144,141,885
Costs incurred in the year			
Project	72,550,481	-	72,550,481
Feasibility	9,997,091	883,389	10,880,480
Road infrastructure	12,122,368	-	12,122,368
Salaries, benefits, & other	12,720,617	-	12,720,617
Recoveries	(7,803,308)	-	(7,803,308)
Balance, end of year	\$ 243,190,077	\$ 1,422,446	\$ 244,612,523
Balance, December 31, 2013	\$ 386,299,987	\$ 310,490,084	\$ 696,790,071

PRETIUM RESOURCES INC.

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in Canadian Dollars)

6. MINERAL INTERESTS (Cont'd)

	Year ended December 31, 2012		
	Brucejack	Snowfield	Total
Acquisition			
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 142,888,167	\$ 309,064,110	\$ 451,952,277
Additions in the year	61,152	3,528	64,680
Balance, end of year	\$ 142,949,319	\$ 309,067,638	\$ 452,016,957
Exploration			
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 38,531,290	\$ 278,902	\$ 38,810,192
Costs incurred in the year			
Project	73,499,033	-	73,499,033
Feasibility	8,472,876	270,381	8,743,257
Road infrastructure	22,214,796	-	22,214,796
Salaries, benefits, & other	9,778,833	(10,226)	9,768,607
Recoveries	(8,894,000)	-	(8,894,000)
Balance, end of year	\$ 143,602,828	\$ 539,057	\$ 144,141,885
Balance, December 31, 2012	\$ 286,552,147	\$ 309,606,695	\$ 596,158,842

Recoveries consist entirely of BC Mineral Exploration Tax Credits receivable from the Government of Canada.

Snowfield and Brucejack Projects

In relation to the Brucejack Project, the Company has \$1,208,000 of restricted cash which includes \$889,000 in the form of Guaranteed Investment Certificates ("GIC's") as security deposits with various government agencies in relation to close down and restoration provisions for the Projects.

The Brucejack Project is subject to a 1.2% net smelter returns royalty on production in excess of 503,386 ounces of gold and 17,907,080 ounces of silver.

7. CAPITAL AND RESERVES

Authorized Share Capital

At December 31, 2013, the authorized share capital consisted of an unlimited number of common shares without par value and an unlimited number of preferred shares with no par value.

On February 17, 2012, the Company closed a private placement of 1,250,000 flow-through common shares at a price of \$18.50 per flow-through share for aggregate gross proceeds of \$23,125,000. The Company bifurcated the gross proceeds between share capital of \$19,437,500 (before share issue costs of \$1,222,326) and flow-through share premium of \$3,687,500. The Company subsequently recognized an income tax recovery of \$3,687,500 upon the flow-through expenditures being incurred.

On March 19, 2012, the Company filed a final short form base shelf prospectus that will allow for an offering of up to an aggregate principal amount of \$180 million of securities, which includes up to \$36 million in common shares owned by Silver Standard that may be offered by way of a secondary offering under the prospectus.

On May 9, 2012, the Company completed a prospectus offering of 5,554,500 common shares at a price of \$14.50 per share for aggregate gross proceeds of \$80,540,250. After deducting share issuance costs of \$4,391,916, net proceeds were \$76,148,334.

On August 24, 2012, the Company closed a private placement of 1,150,000 flow-through common shares at a price of \$18.00 per flow-through share for aggregate gross proceeds of \$20,700,000. The Company bifurcated the gross proceeds between share capital of \$17,399,500 (before share issue costs of \$1,534,706) and flow-through share premium of \$3,300,500. The Company subsequently recognized an income tax recovery of \$3,300,500 upon the flow-through expenditures being incurred.

On February 15, 2013, the Company closed a private placement of 361,300 Investment Tax Credit flow-through common shares at a price of \$13.84 per flow-through share and 1,287,250 Canadian Exploration Expense flow-through common shares at a price of \$12.43 per flow-through share for aggregate proceeds of \$21,000,910. The Company bifurcated the gross proceeds between share capital of \$19,337,492 (before share issue costs of \$1,477,429) and flow-through share premium of \$1,663,418.

On April 26, 2013, the Company closed a private placement of 5,780,346 common shares at a price of \$6.92 per common share for gross proceeds of approximately \$40 million.

On September 5, 2013, the Company closed a private placement of 1,725,000 flow-through common shares at a price of \$10.10 per flow-through share for gross proceeds of \$17,422,500. The Company bifurcated the gross proceeds between share capital of \$16,577,250 (before share issue costs of \$957,476) and flow through share premium of \$845,250.

On September 6, 2013, the Company closed a private placement of 1,069,518 common shares at a price of \$9.35 per common share for gross proceeds of approximately \$10 million.

PRETIUM RESOURCES INC.**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in Canadian Dollars)

7. CAPITAL AND RESERVES (Cont'd)*Share Option Plan*

The Company has adopted an incentive stock option plan which provides that the Board of Directors of the Company may from time to time, in their discretion, and in accordance with Toronto Stock Exchange requirements, grant to its directors, officers, employees and consultants of the Company, non-transferable options to purchase common shares, provided that the number of common shares reserved for issue does not exceed 10% of the number of then outstanding common shares. Such options can be exercisable for a maximum of ten years from the date of grant. The exercise price of each share option is set by the Board of Directors at the time of grant but cannot be less than the market price. Vesting of share options is at the discretion of the Board of Directors at the time the options are granted.

Continuity of share purchase options for the year ended December 31, 2013 is as follows:

Expiry date	Exercise price (\$)	December 31, 2012	Granted	Exercised /Forfeited	December 31, 2013	Exercisable				
December 21, 2015	6.00	2,725,000	-	(150,000)	2,575,000	2,575,000				
January 28, 2016	6.10	1,575,000	-	-	1,575,000	1,575,000				
February 10, 2016	8.73	100,000	-	(50,000)	50,000	50,000				
March 16, 2016	11.01	645,000	-	-	645,000	645,000				
August 11, 2016	9.55	113,750	-	-	113,750	113,750				
November 2, 2016	9.73	275,000	-	-	275,000	275,000				
December 15, 2016	11.78	1,433,200	-	(55,000)	1,378,200	1,378,200				
January 24, 2017	16.40	115,000	-	-	115,000	115,000				
March 8, 2017	17.46	10,000	-	-	10,000	10,000				
April 6, 2017	14.67	20,000	-	-	20,000	20,000				
May 10, 2017	13.50	10,000	-	-	10,000	10,000				
May 14, 2017	14.71	75,000	-	-	75,000	75,000				
July 19, 2017	13.54	100,000	-	-	100,000	75,000				
December 12, 2017	13.70	1,345,000	-	(60,000)	1,285,000	963,750				
March 5, 2018	7.56	-	35,000	(20,000)	15,000	7,500				
May 17, 2018	6.40	-	150,000	-	150,000	75,000				
August 1, 2018	8.64	-	90,000	-	90,000	22,500				
September 27, 2018	7.20	-	25,000	-	25,000	6,250				
December 17, 2018	5.85	-	1,335,000	-	1,335,000	333,750				
		8,541,950	1,635,000	(335,000)	9,841,950	8,325,700				
Weighted average exercise price	\$	9.13	\$	6.11	\$	8.83	\$	8.63	\$	8.78
Weighted average remaining contractual life (years)						2.96				

PRETIUM RESOURCES INC.

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in Canadian Dollars)

7. CAPITAL AND RESERVES (Cont'd)

Continuity of share purchase options for the year ended December 31, 2012 is as follows:

Expiry date	Exercise price (\$)	December 31, 2011	Granted	Exercised /Forfeited	December 31, 2012	Exercisable
December 21, 2015	6.00	2,725,000	-	-	2,725,000	2,725,000
January 28, 2016	6.10	1,575,000	-	-	1,575,000	1,575,000
February 10, 2016	8.73	100,000	-	-	100,000	100,000
March 16, 2016	11.01	645,000	-	-	645,000	645,000
August 11, 2016	9.55	125,000	-	(11,250)	113,750	82,500
November 2, 2016	9.73	275,000	-	-	275,000	206,250
December 15, 2016	11.78	1,435,000	-	(1,800)	1,433,200	1,074,450
January 24, 2017	16.40	-	115,000	-	115,000	57,500
March 8, 2017	17.46	-	10,000	-	10,000	5,000
April 6, 2017	14.67	-	20,000	-	20,000	10,000
May 10, 2017	13.50	-	10,000	-	10,000	5,000
May 14, 2017	14.71	-	75,000	-	75,000	37,500
July 19, 2017	13.54	-	100,000	-	100,000	25,000
December 12, 2017	13.70	-	1,345,000	-	1,345,000	336,250
		6,880,000	1,675,000	(13,050)	8,541,950	6,884,450
Weighted average exercise price		\$ 7.95	\$ 13.95	\$ 9.86	\$ 9.13	\$ 8.15
Weighted average remaining contractual life (years)					3.58	

The total stock option expense for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$9,291,164 (2012 - \$13,844,321) of which \$5,431,093 (2012 - \$7,106,725) has been expensed in the statement of loss and \$3,860,071 (2012 - \$6,737,596) has been capitalized to mineral interests.

The following are the weighted average assumptions employed to estimate the fair value of options granted for the year ended December 31, 2013 and year ended December 31, 2012 using the Black-Scholes option pricing model:

	2013	2012
Risk-free interest rate	1.48%	1.25%
Expected volatility	58.4%	66.5%
Expected life	5 years	5 years
Expected dividend yield	Nil	Nil

Option pricing models require the input of subjective assumptions including the expected price volatility, and expected option life. Changes in these assumptions may have a significant impact on the fair value calculation.

8. RELATED PARTIES

Transactions with directors and key management personnel

Directors and key management compensation:

	For the year ended December 31, 2013	For the year ended December 31, 2012
Salaries and management fees	\$ 1,727,071	\$ 2,156,691
Share-based compensation	4,477,486	7,641,539
Total Management Compensation	\$ 6,204,557	\$ 9,798,230

Employment agreements

The Company has entered into employment agreements with each of its President and CEO (the “CEO”), Chief Development Officer and Vice President (the “CDO”) and Chief Exploration Officer and Vice President (the “CExO”). Under the employment agreements, the CEO receives a base salary of \$400,000 per year, benefits and an annual performance bonus of 0.25% of the annual increase in the market capitalization of the Company, provided the increase in market capitalization is 10% or more. The CDO and CExO each receive a base salary of \$300,000 per year, benefits and an annual bonus determined at the discretion of the Board. The CEO, CDO and CExO are also entitled to twenty-four months’ salary and two years annual performance bonus as a termination benefit without cause.

Subsidiaries

Name of Subsidiary	Place of Incorporation	Proportion of Ownership Interest	Principal Activity
Pretium Exploration Inc.	British Columbia, Canada	100%	Holds interest in the Brucejack and Snowfield projects
0890696 BC Ltd.	British Columbia, Canada	100%	Holds real estate in Stewart, British Columbia

PRETIUM RESOURCES INC.
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012
(Expressed in Canadian Dollars)

9. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

	Cost			
	December 31 2012	Additions	Disposals	December 31 2013
Building	\$ 297,297	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 297,297
Camp infrastructure	358,903	-	-	358,903
Computer hardware	179,705	73,895	-	253,600
Computer software	430,174	114,741	-	544,915
Exploration equipment	4,693,502	5,262,382	-	9,955,884
Office equipment	95,627	-	-	95,627
Total	\$ 6,055,208	\$ 5,451,018	\$ -	\$ 11,506,226

	Accumulated Amortization			
	December 31 2012	Amortization	Disposals	December 31 2013
Building	\$ 14,571	\$ 11,140	\$ -	\$ 25,711
Camp infrastructure	31,932	31,491	-	63,423
Computer hardware	97,297	60,767	-	158,064
Computer software	290,946	141,702	-	432,648
Exploration equipment	921,406	1,205,739	-	2,127,145
Office equipment	28,210	12,505	-	40,715
Total	\$ 1,384,362	\$ 1,463,344	\$ -	\$ 2,847,706

Net book value at December 31, 2013	\$ 8,658,520
--	---------------------

During the year ended December 31, 2013, \$66,133 of amortization was recognized in the statement of loss and \$1,397,213 was capitalized within mineral interests.

PRETIUM RESOURCES INC.
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012
(Expressed in Canadian Dollars)

9. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT (Cont'd)

	Cost			
	December 31 2011	Additions	Disposals	December 31 2012
Building	\$ 297,297	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 297,297
Camp infrastructure	250,000	108,903	-	358,903
Computer hardware	129,829	49,876	-	179,705
Computer software	207,728	222,446	-	430,174
Exploration equipment	2,175,142	2,518,360	-	4,693,502
Office equipment	95,627	-	-	95,627
Total	\$ 3,155,623	\$ 2,899,585	\$ -	\$ 6,055,208

	Accumulated Amortization			
	December 31 2011	Amortization	Disposals	December 31 2012
Building	\$ 2,973	\$ 11,598	\$ -	\$ 14,571
Camp infrastructure	2,083	29,849	-	31,932
Computer hardware	42,394	54,903	-	97,297
Computer software	115,398	175,548	-	290,946
Exploration equipment	204,047	717,359	-	921,406
Office equipment	12,857	15,353	-	28,210
Total	\$ 379,752	\$ 1,004,610	\$ -	\$ 1,384,362

Net book value at December 31, 2013	\$ 4,670,846
-------------------------------------	--------------

During the year ended December 31, 2012, \$206,077 of amortization was recognized in the statement of loss and \$798,533 was capitalized within mineral interests.

10. SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION

	Year ended December 31, 2013	Year ended December 31, 2012
Net change in non-cash working capital items and other		
Taxes receivable	\$ 8,193,602	\$ (9,399,128)
Trade accounts payable	(6,227,712)	10,805,349
	\$ 1,965,890	\$ 1,406,221

11. FINANCIAL RISK MANAGEMENT

(a) Overview

The Company has exposure to credit risk, liquidity risk and market risk from its use of financial instruments.

This note presents information about the Company's exposure to each of these risks, the Company's objectives, policies and processes for measuring and managing risk, and the Company's management of capital.

The Board of Directors has overall responsibility for the establishment and oversight of the Company's risk management framework.

(b) Credit risk

Credit risk is the risk of potential loss to the Company if the counterparty to a financial instrument fails to meet its contractual obligations. The Company's credit risk is primarily attributable to its liquid financial assets including cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash. The Company limits its exposure to credit risk on financial assets through investing its cash and cash equivalents with high-credit quality financial institutions.

(c) Liquidity risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Company will not be able to meet its financial obligations as they fall due. The Company ensures that there is sufficient capital in order to meet short term business requirements, after taking into account cash flows from operations and the Company's holdings of cash and cash equivalents. The Company's cash and cash equivalents are currently invested in business and savings accounts with high-credit quality financial institutions which are available on demand by the Company for its programs.

(d) Interest rate risk

The Company is subject to interest rate risk with respect to its investments in cash and cash equivalents. The Company's current policy is to invest cash at floating rates of interest and cash reserves are to be maintained in cash and cash equivalents in order to maintain liquidity, while achieving a satisfactory return for shareholders. Fluctuations in interest rates when cash and cash equivalents mature impact interest income earned.

If the average interest rate for the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 had increased/decreased by 1%, the effect on the Company would have been immaterial.

11. FINANCIAL RISK MANAGEMENT (Cont'd)

(e) Capital management

The Company's objectives in the managing of the liquidity and capital are to safeguard the Company's ability to continue as a going concern and provide financial capacity to meet its strategic objectives. The capital structure of the Company consists of equity attributable to common shareholders, comprising of issued share capital, contributed surplus, accumulated comprehensive income and accumulated deficit.

The Company manages the capital structure and makes adjustments to it in light of changes in economic conditions and the risk characteristics of the underlying assets. To maintain or adjust the capital structure, the Company may attempt to issue new shares, issue new debt, acquire or dispose of assets to facilitate the management of its capital requirements. The Company prepares annual expenditure budgets that are updated as necessary depending upon various factors, including successful capital deployment and general industry conditions. The annual and updated budgets are approved by the Board of Directors. As at December 31, 2013 and December 31 2012, the Company does not have any long-term debt and is not subject to any externally imposed capital requirements. The Company has sufficient funds to meet its current operating and exploration and development obligations.

(f) Fair value

The carrying value of the Company's financial assets and liabilities approximate their fair value.

12. TAXATION

(a) Deferred income tax liability

The tax effects of temporary differences between amounts recorded in the Company's accounts and the corresponding amounts as computed for income tax purposes gives rise to deferred tax assets (liabilities) as follows:

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Tax loss carry forwards	\$ 11,690,021	\$ 3,193,230
Financing costs	2,015,274	1,980,289
Other	2,556,566	434,132
Mineral interests	(34,197,982)	(15,208,561)
Deferred income tax liability	\$ (17,936,121)	\$ (9,600,910)

The Company has tax losses in Canada of approximately \$44,962,000 (2012 - \$12,773,000) expiring in various amounts from 2030 to 2033. The Company also has investment tax credits totaling approximately \$7,260,000 (2012 - \$5,841,000).

12. TAXATION (Cont'd)

(b) Income tax expense

The Company's tax expense is comprised of the following:

	Year ended December 31, 2013	Year ended December 31, 2012
Current tax expense	\$ -	\$ -
Deferred tax expense	6,476,458	2,866,652
Deferred income tax expense	\$ 6,476,458	\$ 2,866,652

The provision for income taxes differs from the amount calculated using the Canadian federal and provincial statutory income tax rates of 26% (2012 – 25%) as follows:

	Year ended December 31, 2013	Year ended December 31, 2012
Expected tax recovery	\$ (2,447,632)	\$ (3,094,093)
Share-based compensation and other items	1,597,651	1,992,495
Flow-through shares	9,835,107	10,956,250
Flow-through share premium	(2,508,668)	(6,988,000)
Income tax expense	\$ 6,476,458	\$ 2,866,652

13. CONTINGENCIES

a) Canadian Class Actions

On October 29, 2013, David Wong, a shareholder of the company, filed a proposed class action against the Company, Robert Quartermain (a director, the President and the CEO of the Company) and Snowden Mining Industry Consultants Ltd. (the "Wong Action").

A similar proposed class action was filed by Roksana Tahzibi, a shareholder of the Company, on November 1, 2013 (the "Tahzibi Action"). The defendants in the Tahzibi Action are the Company, Mr. Quartermain, Joseph Ovsenek (an officer and director of the Company), Kenneth McNaughton (an officer of the Company), Ian Chang (an officer of the Company) and Snowden Mining Industry Consultants Ltd.

The Wong Action and Tahzibi Action (together, the "Ontario Actions") were filed in the Ontario Superior Court of Justice.

The plaintiffs in the Ontario Actions seek certification of a class action on behalf of a class of persons, wherever they reside, who acquired the Company's securities commencing on November 22, 2012 (in the case of the Wong Action) or November 20, 2012 (in the case of the Tahzibi Action) and ending on October 22, 2013.

13. CONTINGENCIES (Cont'd)

The plaintiffs in the Ontario Actions allege that certain of the Company's disclosures contained material misrepresentations or omissions regarding Brucejack, including statements with respect to probable mineral reserves and future gold production at Brucejack. The plaintiffs further allege that until October 22, 2013 the Company failed to disclose alleged reasons provided by Strathcona Mineral Services Ltd. for its resignation as an independent qualified person overseeing the bulk sample program. According to the plaintiffs in the Ontario Actions, these misrepresentations and omissions are actionable under Ontario's Securities Act, other provincial securities legislation and the common law. The Tahzibi Action also includes a claim for unjust enrichment as against Mr. McNaughton.

The Wong Action claims \$60 million in general damages. The Tahzibi Action claims \$250 million in general damages. The plaintiffs in the Ontario Actions have asked for the appointment of a case management judge. There have been no further steps in the Ontario Actions.

The Company believes that the allegations made against it in Ontario Actions are meritless and will vigorously defend them, although no assurance can be given with respect to the ultimate outcome of the Ontario Actions.

b) United States Class Actions

Between October 25, 2013 and November 18, 2013, five putative class action complaints were filed in the United States against the Company and certain of its officers and directors, alleging that defendants violated the United States securities laws by misrepresenting or failing to disclose material information concerning the Company's Brucejack Project. All five actions were filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York.

On January 22, 2014, the Court ordered that these actions be consolidated into a single action, styled *In re Pretium Resources Inc. Securities Litigation*, Case No. 13-CV-7552 (PGG). The Court has appointed as lead plaintiff in the consolidated action a group of shareholders designated as the "Pretium Investor Group," which consists of three individuals (Gary Martin, Merton K.W. Chang and Sandra Lee Reyes-Troyer) suing on behalf of a putative class of shareholders who purchased or otherwise acquired the Company's securities between January 19, 2011 and October 21, 2013.

The Company believes that the allegations made against it in these actions are meritless and will vigorously defend the matter, although no assurance can be given with respect to the ultimate outcome of such proceedings.

14. SUBSEQUENT EVENT

On February 20, 2014, the Company announced that it had negotiated a private placement of 568,182 Investment Tax Credit flow-through common shares at a price of \$8.80 per flow-through share and 1,863,355 Canadian Exploration Expense flow-through common shares at a price of \$8.05 per flow-through share for aggregate proceeds of \$20 million. The agents were granted an option to purchase, or arrange for substituted purchases, for up to 745,342 additional Canadian Exploration Expense flow-through common shares at the issue price at any point up until 14 days following the closing. The private placement is scheduled to close on or about March 6, 2014.

APPENDIX C
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

PRETIUM RESOURCES INC.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

This Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A") should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements of Pretium Resources Inc. ("Pretium", "we" or "us") for the year ended December 31, 2013, as publicly filed on the System for Electronic Document Analysis and Retrieval (SEDAR) website. All dollar amounts are expressed in Canadian Dollars unless otherwise specified.

We have prepared the audited consolidated financial statements in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB"). This MD&A is prepared as of March 4, 2014 and includes certain statements that may be deemed "forward-looking statements". We direct investors to the section "Risks and Uncertainties" and "Statement on forward-looking information" included within this MD&A.

Additional information relating to us, including our Annual Information Form and Form 40-F, is available on the SEDAR website at www.sedar.com and on the EDGAR section of the SEC website at www.sec.gov.

Our Business

We were incorporated on October 22, 2010 under the laws of the Province of British Columbia for the acquisition, exploration and development of precious metal resource properties in the Americas. Our initial projects are the Brucejack Project and the Snowfield Project (together, the "Projects"), which are advanced stage exploration projects located in northwestern British Columbia. We intend to continue exploration of the Projects with a focus on expanding and increasing the quality of resources and advancing engineering studies on the higher grade underground opportunity at the Brucejack Project.

4th Quarter Highlights and Significant Events

-) On October 3, 2013, we announced as part of the Valley of the Kings Bulk Sample Program (the "Program") that, amongst other things, processing of the bulk sample had commenced at a custom mill located in Montana.
-) On October 9, 2013, we announced the withdrawal of Strathcona Mineral Services Ltd. ("Strathcona") from the Program.
-) On October 22, 2013, we announced, amongst other things, that the 426585E cross-cut contained 281 ounces of gold and 532 ounces of silver based on preliminary results from the processing of the 10,000 tonne bulk sample and reasons for Strathcona's withdrawal from the Program.
-) On October 10 and 24, 2013, we announced continued underground exploration with raises on the Cleopatra Structure and 615L drift and additional drill results from Program drilling and the underground exploration program at the Valley of the Kings with a combined total of 19 intersections grading greater than 1,000 grams gold per tonne.

-) On October 30, 2013, we announced, amongst other things, additional drill results from the underground exploration program at the Valley of the Kings, that the bulk sample processing was on track and that final mill results would be available after all testwork had been completed.
-) On November 22, 2013, we announced production of 4,215 ounces of gold from the 8,090 dry tonnes of excavated Program material processed to date, surpassing the target of 4,000 ounces of gold projected to be produced from the entire 10,000 tonnes of excavated material.
-) On December 2, 2013, we announced the remaining assay results from underground exploration drilling in the Valley of the Kings, including 8 intersections grading greater than 1,000 grams per tonne gold uncut from 6,164 meters of drilling in 46 holes.
-) On December 13, 2013, we announced the completed production from the total 10,302 dry tonnes of excavated material from the Valley of the Kings bulk sample, with 5,865 ounces of gold produced surpassing the target of 4,000 ounces of gold projected to be produced from the 10,000 tonne bulk sample.
-) On December 19, 2013, we announced an updated Valley of the Kings Mineral Resource estimate for our Brucejack Project, completed by Snowden Mining Industry Consultants (“Snowden”). Measured and Indicated Mineral Resources total 8.7 million ounces of gold at a grade of 17.6 grams of gold per tonne, and Inferred Mineral Resources of 4.9 million ounces of gold at a grade of 25.6 grams of gold per tonne.
-) On February 20, 2014, we announced a private placement with a syndicate of agents for 568,182 Investment Tax Credit flow-through common shares of Pretivm at a price of \$8.80 per share and 1,863,355 Canadian Exploration Expense flow-through common shares of Pretivm at a price of \$8.05 per share for aggregate gross proceeds of \$20 million. The agents were granted an option to purchase, or arrange for substituted purchasers, for up to 745,342 additional Canadian Exploration Expense flow-through common shares at the issue price at any point up until 14 days following the closing.

Operations

Brucejack Project

The Brucejack Project is located approximately 950 km northwest of Vancouver, British Columbia and 65 km north-northwest of Stewart, British Columbia and is comprised of nine mineral claims totaling 3,199.28 hectares in area. The Brucejack Project forms part of our contiguous claims package that comprises over 103,000 hectares.

Bulk Sample Program

The Program consisted of two elements: the excavation of a 10,000-tonne bulk sample and a 15,000-meter (subsequently increased to a 16,789 meter) underground drill program. The Program was designed to test the full widths of two of the domains of mineralization used to estimate the November 2012 Valley of the Kings Mineral Resource. By testing the two domains of mineralization, the Program was designed to confirm that the resource model was accurately projecting the range of the grade distribution in the 10-meter blocks that make up the Mineral Resource estimate within the bulk sample area. As a result, the Program targeted the full range of the projected resource block grades which span from zero grams of gold per tonne to in excess of 60 grams of gold per tonne.

Excavation of Bulk Sample and Sample Tower

The 1345-meter level, centered at the 426600E cross-section of the Valley of the Kings, was selected as the bulk sample location in conjunction with Strathcona and based on their requirements that the bulk sample be excavated from an area representative of the (a) drillhole density that informs the Indicated Mineral Resource, (b) average grade of the Indicated Mineral Resource and the global resource for Valley of the Kings, (c) proportion of low-grade, high-grade and extreme grade populations in the overall Indicated Mineral Resource and (d) style of stockwork gold mineralization characteristic of the Valley of the Kings.

The 10,000-tonne bulk sample was excavated in approximately 100-tonne rounds from four cross-cuts (excavations perpendicular to the two domains of mineralization, spaced at 30-meter centers) and one lateral drift (an excavation extending along the strike length of one of the domains of mineralization). Excavation of the 10,000 tonne bulk sample was completed in early September 2013.

Each round from the bulk sample was crushed and run through a sample tower on site. The sample tower was designed and constructed to extract two 30-kilogram samples from each 100-tonne round processed by the sample tower. On October 22, 2013, we announced, amongst other things, that preliminary figures from the processing of one of the Program cross-cuts, the 426585E cross-cut, showed there was 94% more gold produced from the mill than was estimated from the sample tower results for the same material. The sample tower results are set out in the Appendix to the *Brucejack Project Mineral Resources Update Technical Report* dated December 19, 2013 and filed on www.sedar.com on February 2, 2014.

Bulk Sample Mineral Processing

All of the bulk sample material excavated as part of the Program was transported to the Contact Mill in Montana. Processing of the bulk sample material at the Contact Mill commenced in late September 2013 and was completed in mid-December 2013. On November 22, 2013, we announced production of 4,215 ounces of gold from 8,090 dry tonnes of excavated material from the Valley of the Kings bulk sample surpassing the target of 4,000 ounces of gold projected to be produced from the entire 10,000 tonne bulk sample. On December 13, 2013, we announced the completed production of 5,865 ounces of gold from the total 10,302 dry tonnes of excavated material from the bulk sample. The preliminary mill results for the 10,302 dry tonnes are shown in Table 1 below.

Table 1: Preliminary Mill Results from Processing (at December 12, 2013)

Tonnes Milled (Dry)	Gold Ounces Gravity Concentrate	Gold Ounces Flotation Concentrate	Gold Ounces Tailings	Total Contained Gold Ounces	Total Contained Silver Ounces
10,302	3,645	2,096	124	5,865	4,950

Notes: Preliminary mill results are provided by Strategic Minerals LLC, operator of the Contact Mill, and are subject to final establishment of weights and assays and settlement, including the gold recovered from the cleanout of the process equipment.

On completion of the processing of the bulk sample, mill crews cleaned out the ball mill, flotation tanks, concentrate tank and other process equipment to recover gold trapped within the process equipment. The cleanout process recovered an additional 52 ounces of gold.

The material excavated and processed from the Program produced a total of 5,741 ounces of gold as gravity and floatation concentrates. We have received settlement on 1,674 ounces of the gravity concentrate and are waiting on settlement from the sale of the remainder of the gravity concentrate and flotation concentrate.

Bulk Sample Drilling

A total of 16,789 meters of underground drilling in 201 holes was completed as part of the Program. Drilling was conducted at 7.5-meter and 15-meter centres along 120 meters of strike length and at 15-meter centres vertically for 60 meters above and 60 meters below the 1345-meter level of the Valley of the Kings. The Program drilling was completed in September 2013.

Bulk Sample Program Results

The program was successful in confirming the geological model for the Valley of the Kings, validating the robustness of the global high-grade Mineral Resource estimate, and facilitating parameter optimization for the updated December 2013 Mineral Resource estimate.

Additional Exploration

The 2013 underground exploration program was completed in mid-November after a successful season, with the discovery of the Cleopatra structure and the extension of Domain 20. Following the discovery of the Cleopatra structure, 22 drill holes in five vertical fans were drilled to test Cleopatra's vertical and horizontal continuity and extent. Highlights from this drilling include hole VU-032, which intersected 4,030 grams of gold per tonne uncut over 0.5 meters, and hole VU-053, which intersected 27,000 grams of gold per tonne uncut over 0.5 meters. The Cleopatra structure has now been defined for approximately 85 meters along strike, 50 meters above the 1345-meter level, 50 meters below the 1345-level and remains open in all directions.

Geological mapping, mineralogical characteristics, assay ratios of the different elements and underground observations have demonstrated that the Cleopatra structure is a northerly trending component of the Valley of the King's deformed mineralized stockwork system. This interpretation has been developed and supported by geological mapping elsewhere underground. Additional drilling and exploration of the Cleopatra structure is required to determine the full extent and potential of the structure.

The underground exploration drill program focused on extending the high grade gold mineralization intersected to the east of the Program area above and below the 1345-meter level. Drilling continued to intersect mineralization in projected domains. The underground exploration drilling was in an area of limited, wide-space surface drilling and demonstrated the continued potential to outline high-grade mineralization in the Valley of the Kings, particularly along the projection of Domain 20 to the east.

In addition to drilling, underground exploration included drifting and cross-cutting of the Cleopatra Structure and Domain 20 and raises on the Cleopatra Structure and the 615L drift. While conducting the underground exploration program, high-grade gold mineralization encountered was bagged for future processing. In January 2014, approximately 1,000 tonnes of this material excavated as part of the underground exploration was transported to the Contact Mill in Montana. Processing of the 1,000 tonnes at the Contact Mill was completed in February 2014. We are waiting on settlement from the sale of the gravity and flotation concentrate produced from the 1,000 tonnes.

Resource Estimate

On December 19, 2013, we announced an updated high-grade Mineral Resource estimate for the Valley of the Kings (*see our news release of December 19, 2013*). The resource estimate, which incorporated all drilling completed to date at the Valley of the Kings, including all drilling from the 2013 exploration program, was completed by Snowden (*see the Brucejack Project Mineral Resources Update Technical Report dated December 19, 2013 and filed on SEDAR on February 2, 2014*). High-grade gold resources in the Valley of the Kings (5.0 g/t gold-equivalent cut-off) total:

-) 1.2 million ounces of gold in the Measured Mineral Resource category (2.0 million tonnes grading 19.3 grams of gold per tonne)
-) 7.5 million ounces of gold in the Indicated Mineral Resource category (13.4 million tonnes grading 17.4 grams of gold per tonne); and
-) 4.9 million ounces of gold in the Inferred Mineral Resource category (5.9 million tonnes grading 25.6 grams of gold per tonne).

The June 2013 Feasibility Study described below will be amended based on the December 2013 Valley of the Kings Mineral Resource estimate.

Feasibility Study

On June 11, 2013, we announced a positive National Instrument 43-101-compliant Feasibility Study for the high-grade gold and silver resources identified to date at our 100%-owned Brucejack Project in northern British Columbia (*see our news release dated June 11, 2013*). The Feasibility Study was filed on www.sedar.com on June 26, 2013. Valley of the Kings Probable Mineral Reserves are 6.6 million ounces of gold (15.1 million tonnes grading 13.6 grams of gold per tonne) and West Zone Proven and Probable Mineral Reserves are 700,000 ounces of gold (3.8 million tonnes grading 5.8 grams of gold per tonne). The Base Case estimated pre-tax Net Present Value (5% discount) is US\$2.7 billion, with an internal rate of return of 42.9%. The Feasibility Study contemplates average annual production for the first 10 years of 425,700 ounces of gold and for the 22 year life of mine 321,500 ounces of gold, an estimated capital cost, including contingencies, of US\$663.5 million and an average processing rate of 2,700 tonnes/day with operating costs of C\$156.46 per tonne milled.

The June 2013 Feasibility Study is based on the November 2012 Mineral Resource estimates for the Valley of the Kings and the West Zone (see news release dated November 20, 2012). The June 2013 Feasibility Study will be amended based on the December 2013 Valley of the Kings Mineral Resource estimate.

Economic Evaluation

A summary of financial outcomes using three metal price scenarios, including spot metals prices at the time of completion of the June 2013 Feasibility Study, is presented below:

Table 1: Summary of Brucejack High-Grade Economic Results by Metal Price

	Alternative Case	Base Case ⁽¹⁾	Spot Prices at June 6, 2013
Gold Price (US\$/ounce)	\$800	\$1,350	\$1,415.70
Silver Price (US\$/ounce)	\$15.00	\$20.00	\$22.70
Net Cash Flow	\$1.41 billion (pre-tax) \$964.1 million (post-tax)	\$5.28 billion (pre-tax) \$3.50 billion (post-tax)	\$5.90 billion (pre-tax) \$3.91 billion (post-tax)
Net Present Value⁽²⁾ (5.0% discount)	\$602.3 million (pre-tax) \$383.7 million (post-tax)	\$2.69 billion (pre-tax) \$1.76 billion (post-tax)	\$3.01 billion (pre-tax) \$1.98 billion (post-tax)
Internal Rate of Return	16.6% (pre-tax) 13.7% (post-tax)	42.9% (pre-tax) 35.7% (post-tax)	47.0% (pre-tax) 39.2% (post-tax)
Payback (from start of production period)	4.7 years (pre-tax) 4.8 years (post-tax)	2.1 years (pre-tax) 2.2 years (post-tax)	1.9 years (pre-tax) 2.0 years (post-tax)
Exchange Rate (US\$:C\$)	1.00	1.00	0.98

⁽¹⁾Tetra Tech-adopted consensus forecast metal prices from the Energy Metals Consensus Forecast (EMCF).

⁽²⁾NPV is discounted to the beginning of 2013.

Project Mineral Reserves

The Mineral Reserves resulting from the Feasibility Study for the Brucejack Project are based on the November 2012 Mineral Resource estimates for the Valley of the Kings and the West Zone (see news release dated November 20, 2012). The Mineral Reserve estimates by zone and Reserve category are summarized below.

Table 2: Valley of the Kings Mineral Reserve Estimate⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ – May 16th, 2013

Category	Tonnes (millions)	Gold (g/t)	Silver (g/t)	Contained	
				Gold (million oz)	Silver (million oz)
Probable	15.1	13.6	11.0	6.6	5.3

⁽³⁾Rounding of some figures may lead to minor discrepancies in totals

⁽⁴⁾Based on C\$180/t cutoff grade, US\$ 1350/oz Au price, US\$ 22/oz Ag price, C\$/US\$ exchange rate = 1.0

Table 3: West Zone Mineral Reserve Estimate⁽⁵⁾ – May 16th, 2013

Category	Tonnes (millions)	Gold (g/t)	Silver (g/t)	Contained	
				Gold (million oz)	Silver (million oz)
Proven	2.0	5.7	309	0.4	19.9
Probable	1.8	5.8	172	0.3	10.1
Total P&P	3.8	5.8	243	0.7	30.0

⁽⁵⁾See notes (3) and (4) to Table 2 above.

Mining and Processing

Brucejack is planned as a high-grade underground mining operation using a long-hole stoping mining method and cemented paste backfill. The Valley of the Kings, the higher-grade, primary targeted deposit, will be developed first; the lower-grade West Zone will be developed in the second half of the Project's 22-year mine life. The mine is planned to operate with a processing rate of 2,700 tonnes per day and mine a total of 9.6 million tonnes of ore for the first 10 years at an average mill feed grade of 14.2 grams gold per tonne.

Mineral processing will involve conventional sulphide flotation and gravity concentration, producing gold-silver doré and gold-silver flotation concentrate. Metallurgical recoveries for the Valley of the Kings are 96.7% for gold and 84.8% for silver, and for the West Zone 94.7% for gold and 90.4% for silver. A total of 7.1 million ounces of gold and 31.6 million ounces of silver is estimated to be produced over the life of the Brucejack Project, including the gold and silver recovered into the flotation concentrate. The Project's projected production and processing is summarized in Table 4 below.

Table 4: Brucejack Project Total Mine Projected Production and Processing Summary⁽⁶⁾

Year	Tonnage, (t)	Gold grade, (g/t)	Silver grade, (g/t)	Gold Production, (‘000 ounces)	Silver Production, (‘000 ounces)
1	811,000 ⁽⁷⁾	15.4	12	388	271
2	937,000	13.8	11	403	284
3	979,000	13.1	11	400	294
4	981,000	15.8	12	483	314
5	983,000	17.1	14	523	364
6	986,000	12.7	9	389	235
7	985,000	15.5	11	474	306
8	985,000	14.0	10	427	265
9	980,000	14.0	11	427	303
10	991,000	11.2	18	343	490
Years 1-10	9,618,000	14.2	12	4,257	3,126
Years 11-22	9,368,000	9.7	105	2,816	28,515

Year	Tonnage, (t)	Gold grade, (g/t)	Silver grade, (g/t)	Gold Production, (‘000 ounces)	Silver Production, (‘000 ounces)
Life of Mine (Years 1-22)	18,986,000	12.0	57.9	7,073	31,641

(6) Rounding of some figures may lead to minor discrepancies in totals

(7) Tonnage includes pre-production ore.

Capital and Operating Costs

The capital cost for the Brucejack high-grade project is estimated at US\$663.5 million, including a contingency of US\$64.4 million. Capital costs are summarized in Table 5 below.

Table 5: Capital Costs Summary

	(US\$ million)
Mine underground	174.5
Mine site ⁽⁸⁾	208.2
Offsite Infrastructure	69.1
Total Direct Costs	451.8
Indirect Costs	125.0
Owner’s Costs	22.3
Contingencies	64.4
Total Capital Cost	663.5

(8) Includes mine site, mine site process, mine site utilities, mine site facilities, tailings facilities, mine site temporary facilities and surface mobile equipment.

Average operating cost is estimated at C\$156.46 per tonne milled. Operating costs are summarized in Table 6 below.

Table 6: Operating Costs Summary

	(C\$/tonne)
Mining	93.18 ⁽⁹⁾
Processing	18.16
General & Administrative	25.47
Surface Services and Others	19.65
Total Operating Cost	156.46

(9) LOM ore milled; if excluding the ore mined during preproduction, the estimated cost is C\$94.40/t.

All-In sustaining cash costs, which include by-product cash costs, sustaining capital, exploration expense and reclamation cost accretion are summarized in Table 7 below.

Table 7: All-In Sustaining Cash Costs Life of Mine

	(US\$ million)
Total Cash Costs ⁽¹⁰⁾	\$3,253.0
Reclamation Cost Accretion	\$25.7
Sustaining Capital Expenditure	\$328.5
All-in Sustaining Cash Costs	\$3,607.2
Gold Sales	7.1 million ounces
All-in Sustaining Cash Costs per Ounce	\$508/ounce

⁽¹⁰⁾Net of silver credits at Base Case silver price of \$20/ounce.

Amended Feasibility Study

The June 2013 Feasibility Study is currently being amended based on the Valley of the Kings December 2013 Mineral Resource estimate using base case metal prices of \$1,100 per ounce gold and \$17 per ounce silver and a Canadian:U.S. Dollar exchange rate of 0.92. As part of the amendment, we are also carrying out various trade-off studies to manage capital costs, including staged development of the Brucejack Project.

Project Permitting

We submitted the project description for the Brucejack Project in December 2012 to the British Columbia Environmental Assessment Office (“BCEAO”) and in January 2013 to the Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency (“CEAA”). The filings initiated the permitting process for the proposed 2,700 tonnes per day high-grade underground gold mine at the Brucejack Project.

The BCEAO issued a Section 10 order in February 2013 in respect of the environmental assessment certificate (“EAC”) requirement for the Brucejack Project and a Section 11 order in July 2013 outlining the scope, procedures and methods for the environmental assessment process. In May 2013, the CEAA issued the Environmental Impact Statement (“EIS”) Guidelines that outline the federal permitting requirements for the Brucejack Project. In September 2013, we held a working group meeting and hosted a site visit with provincial and federal government agencies, First Nations and community representatives. In late November, we held public meetings in five communities in northwest British Columbia. We expect to file the EAC application and EIS with BCEAO and CEAA, respectively, in the coming month. Provincial approval of the EAC application and federal approval of the EIS will allow for the issuance of the necessary statutory permits and authorizations to commence construction of the Brucejack Project.

Snowfield Project

The Snowfield Project borders the Brucejack Project to the north and is comprised of one mineral claim with an area of 1,267.43 hectares. Since we acquired the Snowfield Project in October 2010, we have continued to carry out environmental studies in conjunction with the Brucejack Project. During 2011, we focused on completing an updated mineral resource estimate for the project (*see news release dated February 23, 2011*), examining alternatives for advancing the project and negotiating cooperation agreements with Seabridge Gold Inc. (“Seabridge”) (*see news release dated May 9, 2011*).

Joint Snowfield/ KSM Engineering Studies

We have entered into a confidentiality and cooperation agreement with Seabridge that, amongst other things, provides for the completion of an engineering study examining the economics of combining our Snowfield Project and Seabridge's KSM Project as a single operation. The internal engineering study was finalized during the first quarter of 2012 and indicates that developing the KSM and Snowfield deposits together could produce better economics than developing KSM as a stand-alone project, although no property acquisition costs or allocation of initial KSM capital have been considered.

We have also entered into a mutual access agreement with Seabridge that (a) gives Seabridge access to our Snowfield Project and us access to Seabridge's KSM Project for the stripping of overburden and (b) provides us with road access to the Brucejack and Snowfield Projects over Seabridge's KSM Project lands.

Snowfield represents a longer term gold opportunity for our shareholders. Although we do not have a development plan as yet for the Snowfield Project, we plan to continue to explore the area and have budgeted for additional environmental studies which will benefit both the Brucejack and Snowfield Projects.

Additional Claims

Our contiguous claims, including the claims comprising the Brucejack and Snowfield Projects, total over 103,000 hectares (254,500 acres), providing further exploration potential to supplement the value we are creating at Brucejack. A claim boundary map is available on our website.

Results of Operations

Our operations and business are not driven by seasonal trends, but rather the achievement of project milestones such as the achievement of various technical, environmental, socio-economic and legal objectives, including obtaining the necessary permits, completion of a final feasibility study, preparation of engineering designs, as well as receipt of financings to fund these objectives.

We expect that the expenditures will be consistent in future periods, other than bonuses which are determined annually by the Board of Directors, subject to any material changes in exploration and development activities.

Selected Financial Information

Annual information

Selected consolidated annual financial information for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 are as follows (in \$000's):

	2013		2012		2011	
Total revenue	\$	Nil	\$	Nil	\$	Nil
Loss per share – basic and diluted	\$	0.16	\$	0.17	\$	0.20
Loss and comprehensive loss	\$	16,584	\$	15,243	\$	17,372
Total assets	\$	726,261	\$	647,472	\$	518,030
Long-term liabilities	\$	19,836	\$	10,780	\$	2,108
Cash dividends	\$	Nil	\$	Nil	\$	Nil
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	11,575	\$	28,992	\$	16,447
Mineral interests	\$	696,790	\$	596,159	\$	490,762

Quarterly information

Selected consolidated financial information for this quarter and the preceding seven quarters is as follows (in \$000's):

	2013		2013		2013		2013		2012		2012		2012		2012	
	Q4		Q3		Q2		Q1		Q4		Q3		Q2		Q1	
Total revenue	\$	Nil	\$	Nil	\$	Nil	\$	Nil	\$	Nil	\$	Nil	\$	Nil	\$	Nil
Loss per share – basic and diluted	\$	0.04	\$	0.03	\$	0.04	\$	0.05	\$	0.05	\$	0.03	\$	0.04	\$	0.05
Loss and comprehensive loss	\$	5,006	\$	2,591	\$	4,256	\$	4,731	\$	4,095	\$	3,213	\$	3,437	\$	4,498
Total assets	\$	726,261	\$	731,775	\$	702,571	\$	667,049	\$	647,472	\$	638,810	\$	618,965	\$	542,001
Long-term liabilities	\$	19,836	\$	16,853	\$	15,943	\$	13,076	\$	10,780	\$	9,586	\$	6,163	\$	4,570
Cash dividends	\$	Nil	\$	Nil	\$	Nil	\$	Nil	\$	Nil	\$	Nil	\$	Nil	\$	Nil
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	11,575	\$	30,564	\$	33,312	\$	20,764	\$	28,992	\$	52,859	\$	73,868	\$	25,710
Mineral interests	\$	696,790	\$	674,869	\$	645,878	\$	621,315	\$	596,159	\$	565,522	\$	531,924	\$	507,364

Loss before tax for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$10,107,228 compared to \$12,376,372 as at December 31, 2012. The decrease is largely attributed to the decrease in stock option expense to \$5,431,093 in 2013 compared to \$7,106,725 in 2012 which is affected by the reduced number of options granted in 2013 and by the timing of stock option grants valued by the Black Scholes model. We hire individuals with the required skills to advance our business and stock options were granted to these employees and consultants as part of their overall compensation. Depending on the nature of the awarded recipient's role, we expense or capitalize to mineral interests the fair value of these stock option issuances over the vesting period. Salaries expense for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$1,715,595 compared to \$2,034,312 for the year ended December 31, 2012. The decrease relates primarily to the decrease in the bonuses awarded. Total bonuses paid or accrued in the fourth quarter of 2013 was \$943,400 (\$318,000 was expensed in salaries and \$625,400 was capitalized in mineral interests). The Chief Executive Officer was not awarded a bonus in 2013. During the fourth quarter of 2012, total bonuses paid or accrued was \$1,640,337 (\$781,691 was expense in salaries and \$858,646 was capitalized to mineral interests) and was inclusive of \$455,691 accrued to the Chief Executive Officer.

Investor relations and shareholder communication costs for the year ended December 31, 2013 were \$884,249 and remained consistent with the costs incurred for the year ended December 31, 2012 of \$917,160. Investor relations and shareholder communication costs were mainly due to marketing and communication activities conducted by the Company to increase the awareness of the Company within the investment community.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, listing fees were \$559,716 compared to \$763,784 for the year ended December 31, 2012. This was due primarily to our listing on the New York Stock Exchange in the first quarter of 2012.

We earned interest income on our cash balance for the year ended December 31, 2013 of \$460,142 compared to \$589,806 for the year ended December 31, 2012.

During the year, we recorded an income tax expense of \$6,476,458, as compared to an income tax expense of \$2,866,652 for the year ended December 31, 2012, derived primarily from the transfer of the tax base of mineral exploration expenditures to flow-through share investors.

On February 15, 2013, we closed a private placement of 361,300 Investment Tax Credit flow-through common shares at a price of \$13.84 per flow-through share and 1,287,250 Canadian Exploration Expense flow-through common shares at a price of \$12.43 per flow-through share for aggregate proceeds of \$21,000,910. After deducting share issuance costs of \$1,477,429, net proceeds were \$19,523,481.

On April 26, 2013, the Company closed a private placement of 5,780,346 common shares at a price of \$6.92 per common share for gross proceeds of approximately \$40 million.

On September 5, 2013, the Company closed a private placement of 1,725,000 flow-through common shares at a price of \$10.10 per flow-through share for gross proceeds of \$17,422,500. After deducting share issuance costs of \$957,476, net proceeds were \$16,465,024.

On September 6, 2013, the Company closed a private placement of 1,069,518 common shares at a price of \$9.35 per common share for gross proceeds of approximately \$10 million.

Subsequent to year end on February 20, 2014, we announced a private placement of 568,182 Investment Tax Credit flow-through common shares at a price of \$8.80 per flow-through share and 1,863,355 Canadian Exploration Expense flow-through common shares at a price of \$8.05 per flow-through share for aggregate proceeds of \$20 million. The agents were granted an option to purchase, or arrange for substituted purchases, for up to 745,342 additional Canadian Exploration Expense flow-through common shares at the issue price at any point up until 14 days following the closing (the "Offering"). The Offering is scheduled to close on or about March 6, 2014.

The proceeds of the private placements were mainly used to accelerate and execute the Bulk Sample Program at our Brucejack Project. The Bulk Sample Program was completed in December 2013.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our cash and cash equivalents as at December 31, 2013 totaled \$11,575,090 decreasing \$17,416,516 from \$28,991,606 at December 31, 2012. To date, our source of funding has been the issuance of equity securities for cash.

Our working capital as at December 31, 2013 was \$11,218,540 as compared to \$30,065,691 as at December 31, 2012. Working capital items other than cash and cash equivalents consisted of receivables of \$8,029,053 (2012- \$16,511,519), comprised mainly of \$1,206,485 (2012 - \$3,955,242) of Harmonized Sales Tax refunds and \$6,800,690 (2012 - \$12,274,000) accrued for BC Mineral Exploration Tax Credits receivable from the Government of Canada, and accounts payable and accrued liabilities of \$8,385,603 (2012 - \$15,437,434).

During the year, we completed four private placements, comprising flow-through shares for gross proceeds of \$38,423,410 and common shares for gross proceeds of \$49,999,993.

With our current working capital, and pending gold sale receipts and successful completion of our private placement, we believe we will have sufficient funds for our current planned expenditures on our Projects, updating our feasibility study and funding our permitting activities as we advance the Brucejack Project to production.

Cash used in investing activities in the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$98,238,263 (2012 - \$99,540,783), which was incurred mainly in respect of exploration and evaluation activities at the Projects described under Operations above in the amount of \$92,718,243 (2012 - \$95,880,953). Exploration and evaluation activities included \$23,431,476 (2012 - \$11,338,024) in underground mining and bulk sample costs, \$16,086,780 (2012 - \$28,531,571) in underground and surface drilling, \$12,122,368 (2012 - \$22,214,796) for temporary roads and bridges, \$10,871,853 (2012 - \$8,472,876) in engineering and permitting costs and \$10,174,182 (2012 - \$17,449,255) for fuel, equipment rental and transportation. Other investing activities included \$5,451,020 (2012 - \$2,899,585) to acquire exploration machinery.

Development of any of our mineral properties will require additional equity and possibly debt financing. As we are an exploration stage company, we do not have revenues from operations and, except for interest income from our cash and cash equivalents, we rely on equity funding for our continuing financial liquidity. Our access to financing is always uncertain. There can be no assurance of continued access to significant equity funding.

Commitments, Contingencies and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Following the announcement of the resignation of Strathcona on October 9, 2013 and the announcement of Strathcona's reasons for resigning on October 22, 2013, the price of our shares on the TSX and the NYSE had a significant drop in value.

We are aware of two proposed class actions filed against us and certain of our officers and directors in the Ontario Superior Court of Justice: the first on October 29, 2013 by David Wong (the "Wong Action") and the second on November 1, 2013 by Roksana Tahzibi (the "Tahzibi Action"). The plaintiffs seek certification of the actions as class actions on behalf of a class of persons, wherever they reside, who acquired our securities commencing on November 20, 2012 (in the case of the Tahzibi Action) or November 22, 2012 (in the case of the Wong Action) and ending on October 22, 2013.

The plaintiffs allege that certain of our continuous disclosure documents filed in Canada from November 20, 2012 through October 22, 2013 contained misrepresentations or omissions regarding our Brucejack Project, including the probable mineral reserves and future gold production at Brucejack, and failed to communicate alleged information from Strathcona Mineral Services Ltd. The plaintiffs allege these misrepresentations and omissions are actionable as negligent misrepresentations or misrepresentations under various provincial Securities Acts. The plaintiffs seek general damages of \$60 million (in the Wong Action) and \$250 million (in the Tahzibi Action) as well pre- and post-judgment interest and costs.

Between October 25, 2013 and November 18, 2013, five putative class action complaints were filed in the United States against us and certain of our officers and directors, alleging we violated the United States securities laws by misrepresenting or failing to disclose material information concerning our Brucejack Project. All five actions were filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York.

On January 22, 2014, the Court ordered that these actions be consolidated into a single action, styled *In re Pretium Resources Inc. Securities Litigation*, Case No. 13-CV-7552 (PGG). The Court has appointed as lead plaintiff in the consolidated action a group of shareholders designated as the "Pretium Investor Group," which consists of three individuals (Gary Martin, Merton K.W. Chang and Sandra Lee Reyes-Troyer) suing on behalf of a putative class of shareholders who purchased or otherwise acquired our shares between January 19, 2011 and October 21, 2013.

We believe the allegations made against us in these actions are meritless and will vigorously defend the matter, although no assurance can be given with respect to the ultimate outcome of such proceedings.

In general, litigation claims can be expensive and time consuming to bring or defend and could result in settlements or damages that could significantly affect our financial position. We intend to contest any such litigation claims to the extent of any available defenses. However, it is not possible to predict the final outcome of any current litigation or additional litigation to which we may become party to in the future, and the impact of any such litigation on our business, results of operations and financial condition, could be material.

We have no material long term debt, capital lease obligations, operating leases or any other long term obligations, other than a commitment for office lease and operating costs that require minimum payments.

Related Party Transactions

Our acquisition of the Projects from Silver Standard resulted in Silver Standard owning 18.1% of our issued shares at March 4, 2014.

We have entered into employment agreements with each of our President and CEO (the "CEO"), Chief Development Officer and Vice President (the "CDO") and Chief Exploration Officer and Vice President (the "CExO"). Under the employment agreements: our CEO receives a base salary of \$400,000 per year, benefits and an annual performance bonus of 0.25% of the annual increase in our market capitalization, provided the increase in market capitalization is 10% or more; and our CDO and CExO each receive a base salary of \$300,000 per year, benefits and an annual bonus determined at the discretion of our Board. Our CEO, CDO and CExO are also entitled, on termination without cause, to twenty-four months' salary and two years' annual performance bonus as a termination benefit.

During the year, certain officers received a total of \$500,000 in performance bonuses.

Critical Accounting Estimates

Our significant accounting policies are presented in Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013. The preparation of these consolidated financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and reported amounts of expenses during the reporting period. Actual outcomes could differ from these estimates. The consolidated financial statements include estimates which, by their nature, are uncertain. The impacts of such estimates are pervasive throughout the consolidated financial statements, and may require accounting adjustments based on future occurrences. Revisions to accounting estimates are recognized in the period in which an estimate is revised and future periods if the revision affects both current and future periods.

Significant assumptions about the future and other sources of estimation uncertainty at the financial position reporting date, that could result in a material adjustment to the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities, in the event that actual results differ from assumptions made include, but are not limited to, the following:

- i) the carrying value of the investment in the Projects and the recoverability of the carrying value;

1) Mineral resources and reserves, and the carrying values of our investment in the Projects

Mineral resources and reserves are estimated by professional geologists and engineers in accordance with recognized industry, professional and regulatory standards. These estimates require inputs such as future metals prices, future operating costs, and various technical geological, engineering, and construction parameters. Changes in any of these inputs could cause a significant change in the resources and reserves estimates which in turn could have a material effect on the carrying value of our investment in the Projects.

2) Impairment analysis of assets

At each financial reporting date, the carrying amounts of our assets are reviewed to determine whether there is any indication that those assets are impaired. If any such indication exists, the recoverable amount of the asset is estimated in order to determine the extent of the impairment, if any. The recoverable amount is the higher of fair value less costs to sell and value in use. In assessing value in use, the estimated future cash flows are discounted to their present value using a pre-tax discount rate that reflects current market assessments of the time value of money and the risks specific to the asset. If the recoverable amount of an asset is estimated to be less than its carrying amount, the carrying amount of the asset is reduced to its recoverable amount and the impairment loss is recognized in the profit or loss for the period.

Recoverability of the carrying amount of the exploration and evaluation assets is dependent on successful development and commercial exploitation, or alternatively, sale of the respective assets.

Changes in any of the assumptions used to determine impairment testing could materially affect the results of the analysis.

Management has assessed for impairment indicators on the Company's mineral interests and has concluded that no impairment indicators existed as of December 31, 2013.

3) Decommissioning liabilities

An obligation to incur decommissioning and environmental costs arises when environmental disturbance is caused by the exploration or development of a mineral property interest. Such costs arising from the decommissioning of plant and other site preparation work, discounted to their net present value, are provided for and capitalized at the start of each project to the carrying amount of the asset, along with a corresponding liability as soon as the obligation to incur such costs arises. The timing of the actual decommissioning expenditure is dependent on a number of factors such as the life and nature of the asset, the operating license conditions, and when applicable, the environment in which the mine operates. Discount rates using a pre-tax rate that reflect risks specific to the asset are used to calculate the net present value.

Our operations may in the future be, affected from time to time in varying degree by changes in environmental regulations or changes in estimates used in determining decommissioning obligations. Both the likelihood of new regulations and the degree of change in estimates and their overall effect upon us are not predictable.

At December 31, 2013, we had recognized an amount for decommissioning obligations. However, the amount is not material as the disturbance to date has not been significant.

Changes in Accounting Policies

New Accounting Standards and Recent Pronouncements

There were no new accounting standards or pronouncements that had a material impact on our statements.

Financial Instruments and Other Instruments

Financial assets:

We have the following financial assets: cash and cash equivalents, amounts receivable and restricted cash.

Such financial assets have fixed or determinable payments that are not quoted in an active market. Accordingly, they are measured at amortized cost using the effective interest method less any impairment losses.

Financial liabilities:

We have the following financial liabilities: amounts payable and other liabilities.

Such financial liabilities are recognized initially at fair value net of any directly attributable transaction costs. Subsequent to initial recognition these financial liabilities are measured at amortized cost using the effective interest method.

Financial Risk Management

We are exposed in varying degrees to a variety of financial instrument related risks. Our Board approves and monitors the risk management processes, inclusive of documented investment policies, counterparty limits, and controlling and reporting structures. The type of risk exposure and the way in which such exposure is managed is provided as follows:

Credit Risk

Credit risk is our risk of potential loss if the counterparty to a financial instrument fails to meet its contractual obligations. Our credit risk is primarily attributable to our liquid financial assets including cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash. We limit our exposure to credit risk on financial assets by investing our cash and cash equivalents with high-credit quality financial institutions.

The carrying value of our cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash represent our maximum exposure to credit risk.

Liquidity Risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that we will not be able to meet our financial obligations as they fall due. We ensure that there is sufficient capital in order to meet short term business requirements, after taking into account cash flows from operations and our holdings of cash and cash equivalents. Our cash and cash equivalents are currently invested in business and savings accounts with high-credit quality financial institutions which are available on demand by us for our programs.

Interest Rate Risk

We are subject to interest rate risk with respect to our investments in cash and cash equivalents. Our current policy is to invest cash at floating rates of interest and cash reserves are to be maintained in cash and cash equivalents in order to maintain liquidity, while achieving a satisfactory return for shareholders. Fluctuations in interest rates when cash and cash equivalents mature impact interest income earned.

Capital Management

Our objectives in the managing of the liquidity and capital are to safeguard our ability to continue as a going concern and provide financial capacity to meet our strategic objectives. Our capital structure consists of equity attributable to common shareholders, comprised of issued share capital, contributed surplus, accumulated comprehensive loss and accumulated deficit.

We manage our capital structure and make adjustments to it in light of changes in economic conditions and the risk characteristics of the underlying assets. To maintain or adjust the capital structure, we may attempt to issue new shares, issue new debt, and acquire or dispose of assets to facilitate the management of our capital requirements. We prepare annual expenditure budgets that are updated as necessary depending upon various factors, including successful capital deployment and general industry conditions. The annual and updated budgets are approved by the Board of Directors. As at December 31, 2013, we do not have any long-term debt and are not subject to any externally imposed capital requirements. With our current working capital, and pending gold sale receipts and successful completion of our private placement, we believe we will have sufficient funds for our current planned expenditures on our Projects, updating our feasibility study and funding our permitting activities as we advance the Brucejack Project to production.

Outstanding Share Data

At March 4, 2014, we had the following common shares and share purchase options outstanding.

	Number of securities	Exercise price (\$)	Weighted Average Remaining Life (years)
Common shares	105,051,050		
Share purchase options	9,841,950	\$5.85 - \$17.46	2.96
Fully diluted	114,893,000		

Risks and Uncertainties

Natural resources exploration and development involves a number of risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond our control. These risks and uncertainties include, without limitation, the risks discussed elsewhere in this MD&A and those identified in the Annual Information Form dated March 18, 2013 filed on the SEDAR website at www.sedar.com, which are incorporated by reference in this MD&A.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting and Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal controls over financial reporting and disclosure controls and procedures. Any system of internal control over financial reporting, no matter how well designed, has inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting under Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The Securities Exchange Act of 1934 defines this as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, our principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by our Board of Directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and includes those policies and procedures that:

-) Pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect our transactions and dispositions of assets;
-) Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors; and
-) Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of assets that may have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

Management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in Internal Control – Integrated Framework (1992). Based upon our assessment and those criteria, management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2013.

Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Information

In connection with the forward-looking statements contained in this MD&A, we have made certain assumptions about our business, including about our planned exploration and development activities; the accuracy of our mineral resource estimates; capital and operating cost estimates; production and processing estimates; the results, costs and timing of future exploration and drilling; timelines and similar statements relating to the economic viability of the Brucejack Project; timing and receipt of approvals, consents and permits under applicable legislation; and the adequacy of our financial resources. We have also assumed that no significant events occur outside of our normal course of business. Although we believe that the assumptions inherent in the forward-looking statements are reasonable as of the date of this MD&A, forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and, accordingly, undue reliance should not be put on such statements due to the inherent uncertainty therein.

This MD&A contains “forward-looking information” and “forward looking statements” within the meaning of applicable Canadian and United States securities legislation.

Forward-looking information may include, but is not limited to, risks related to satisfying conditions of the Offering, the preliminary mill results from processing the 426585E cross-cut, the estimated gold to be produced from the bulk sample program, the estimated contained gold in the 426585E cross-cut from the sample tower, information with respect to our planned exploration and development activities, the adequacy of our financial resources, the estimation of mineral resources and reserves, realization of mineral resource and reserve estimates, timing of development of the Brucejack Project, costs and timing of future exploration, results of future exploration and drilling, production and processing estimates, capital and operating cost estimates, timelines and similar statements relating to the economic viability of the Brucejack Project, timing and receipt of approvals, consents and permits under applicable legislation, our executive compensation approach and practice, and adequacy of financial resources. Wherever possible, words such as “plans”, “expects”, “projects”, “assumes”, “budget”, “strategy”, “scheduled”, “estimates”, “forecasts”, “anticipates”, “believes”, “intends” and similar expressions or statements that certain actions, events or results “may”, “could”, “would”, “might” or “will” be taken, occur or be achieved, or the negative forms of any of these terms and similar expressions, have been used to identify forward-looking statements and information.

Statements concerning mineral resource estimates may also be deemed to constitute forward-looking information to the extent that they involve estimates of the mineralization that will be encountered if the property is developed. Any statements that express or involve discussions with respect to predictions, expectations, beliefs, plans, projections, objectives, assumptions or future events or performance are not statements of historical fact and may be forward-looking information. Forward-looking information is subject to a variety of known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause actual events or results to differ from those expressed or implied by the forward-looking information, including, without limitation, risks related to:

-) the exploration, development and operation of a mine or mine property, including the potential for undisclosed liabilities on our mineral projects;
-) the fact that we are a relatively new company with no mineral properties in production or development and no history of production or revenue;
-) development of our Brucejack Project;

-) our ability to obtain adequate financing for our planned exploration and development activities and to complete further exploration programs;
-) dependency on our Brucejack Project for our future operating revenue;
-) our mineral reserve and resource estimates, including accuracy thereof and our ability to upgrade such mineral resource estimates and mineral reserve estimates;
-) uncertainties relating to the interpretation of drill results and the geology, grade and continuity of our mineral deposits;
-) commodity price fluctuations, including gold price volatility;
-) market events and general economic conditions;
-) availability of suitable, or damage to, existing infrastructure.
-) governmental regulations, including environmental regulations;
-) delay in obtaining or failure to obtain required permits, or non-compliance with permits that are obtained;
-) increased costs and restrictions on operations due to compliance with environmental laws and regulations;
-) compliance with emerging climate change regulation;
-) adequate internal control over financial reporting;
-) increased costs of complying with the Dodd-Frank Act;
-) potential opposition from non-governmental organizations
-) uncertainty regarding unsettled First Nations rights and title in British Columbia;
-) land reclamation requirements;
-) uncertainties related to title to our mineral properties and surface rights;
-) currency fluctuations;
-) increased costs affecting the mining industry;
-) increased competition in the mining industry for properties, qualified personnel and management;
-) our ability to attract and retain qualified management;
-) some of our directors' and officers' involvement with other natural resource companies;

-) potential inability to attract development partners or our ability to identify attractive acquisitions;
-) Silver Standard's share ownership, ability to influence our governance and possible market overhang;
-) uncertainty as to the outcome of legal proceedings including the current class action proceedings;
-) future sales or issuances of our equity securities; and
-) our being treated as a passive foreign investment company for U.S. Federal income tax purposes.

This list is not exhaustive of the factors that may affect any of our forward-looking information. Although we have attempted to identify important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in forward-looking information, there may be other factors that cause results not to be as anticipated, estimated or intended. There can be no assurance that such information will prove to be accurate, as actual results and future events could differ materially from those anticipated in such information. Forward-looking information involves statements about the future and is inherently uncertain, and our actual achievements or other future events or conditions may differ materially from those reflected in the forward-looking information due to a variety of risks, uncertainties and other factors, including, without limitation, those risks identified in our Annual Information Form dated March 18, 2013 filed on SEDAR at www.sedar.com and in the United States on Form 40-F through EDGAR at the SEC's website at www.sec.gov. Our forward-looking information is based on the beliefs, expectations and opinions of management on the date the statements are made and we do not assume any obligation to update forward looking information, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, other than as required by applicable law. For the reasons set forth above, prospective investors should not place undue reliance on forward looking information.

Consent of Independent Auditor

We hereby consent to the inclusion in this Annual Report on Form 40-F for the year ended December 31, 2013 of Pretium Resources Inc. of our report dated March 4, 2014, relating to the consolidated financial statements and the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, which appears in this Annual Report.

We also consent to the incorporation by reference in the Registration Statement on Form F-10 (file No. 333-179726) of Pretium Resources Inc. of our report dated March 4, 2014 referred to above.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Chartered Accountants
Vancouver, British Columbia

March 4, 2014

EXPERT CONSENT

I hereby consent to the use of my name and reference to my name and my “Mineral Resources Update Technical Report” dated December 19, 2013 evaluating Pretium Resources Inc.’s Brucejack Project, and the information contained in my Report as described or incorporated by reference in (i) Pretium Resources Inc.’s Annual Report on Form 40-F for the year ended December 31, 2013 and (ii) the incorporation by reference in Pretium Resources Inc.’s registration statement on Form F-10 (File No. 333-179726) filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission.

Very truly yours,

 /s/ IVOR W.O. JONES

Ivor W.O. Jones, M.Sc., CP, FAusIMM

March 31, 2014

EXPERT CONSENT

I, Ivor W.O. Jones, on behalf of Snowden Mining Industry Consultants (the "Company") hereby consent to the use of the Company's name and to references to the Company's name and the "Mineral Resources Update Technical Report" dated as of December 19, 2013 evaluating Pretium Resources Inc.'s Brucejack Project, and the information contained in the Report as described or incorporated by reference in (i) Pretium Resources Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 40-F for the year ended December 31, 2013 and (ii) the incorporation by reference in Pretium Resources Inc.'s registration statement on Form F-10 (File No. 333-179726) filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission.

Very truly yours,

/s/ IVOR W.O. JONES

Ivor W.O. Jones, M.Sc., CP, FAusIMM

March 31, 2014

EXPERT CONSENT

I hereby consent to the use of my name and reference to my name in (i) Pretium Resources Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 40-F for the year ended December 31, 2013 and (ii) the incorporation by reference in Pretium Resources Inc.'s registration statement on Form F-10 (File No. 333-179726) filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission.

Very truly yours,

 /s/ IAN I. CHANG

Ian I. Chang M.A.Sc., P.Eng.

March 31, 2014

EXPERT CONSENT

I hereby consent to the use of my name and reference to my name in (i) Pretium Resources Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 40-F for the year ended December 31, 2013 and (ii) the incorporation by reference in Pretium Resources Inc.'s registration statement on Form F-10 (File No. 333-179726) filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission.

Very truly yours,

/s/ KENNETH C. MCNAUGHTON

Kenneth C. McNaughton, M.A.Sc., P.Eng.

March 31, 2014

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO RULE 13a-14 OR 15d-14 OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Robert A. Quartermain, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 40-F of Pretium Resources Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the issuer as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The issuer's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the issuer and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the issuer, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the issuer's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the issuer's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by the annual report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the issuer's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The issuer's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the issuer's auditors and the audit committee of the issuer's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the issuer's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the issuer's internal control over financial reporting.

Dated: March 31, 2014

/s/ ROBERT A. QUARTERMAIN

Name: Robert A. Quartermain
Title: President, Chief Executive Officer & Director

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO RULE 13a-14 OR 15d-14 OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE
SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Peter de Visser, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 40-F of Pretium Resources Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the issuer as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The issuer's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the issuer and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the issuer, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the issuer's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the issuer's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by the annual report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the issuer's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The issuer's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the issuer's auditors and the audit committee of the issuer's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the issuer's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the issuer's internal control over financial reporting.

Dated: March 31, 2014

/s/ PETER DE VISSER

Name: Peter de Visser
Title: Chief Financial Officer

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED
PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Annual Report of Pretium Resources Inc. (the "Company") on Form 40-F for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Robert A. Quartermain, President, Chief Executive Officer & Director of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to the best of my knowledge:

1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: March 31, 2014

/s/ ROBERT A. QUARTERMAIN

Name: Robert A. Quartermain

Title: President, Chief Executive Officer & Director

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED
PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Annual Report of Pretium Resources Inc.(the "Company") on Form 40-F for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Peter de Visser, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to the best of my knowledge:

1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: March 31, 2014

/s/ PETER DE VISSER

Name: Peter de Visser
Title: Chief Financial Officer