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PRELIMINARY NOTES

Effective Date of Information

This AIF is dated March 12, 2012, and unless otherwise indicated, the information contained herein is current as of such date, other than certain financial information which is current as of December 31, 2011, being the date of the Company’s most recently audited financial year end.

Currency

All dollar amounts are expressed in Canadian dollars unless otherwise indicated.

Note Regarding Forward Looking Statements

This AIF contains “forward-looking information” and “forward looking statements” within the meaning of applicable Canadian and United States securities legislation. Forward-looking information may include, but is not limited to, information with respect to our planned exploration and development activities, the adequacy of our financial resources, the estimation of mineral resources, realization of mineral resource estimates, timing of development of the Brucejack Project (as defined below), costs and timing of future exploration, results of future exploration and drilling, production and processing estimates, capital and operating cost estimates, timelines and similar statements relating to the economic viability of the Brucejack Project, timing and receipt of approvals, consents and permits under applicable legislation, our executive compensation approach and practice, and adequacy of financial resources. Wherever possible, words such as “plans”, “expects”, “projects”, “assumes”, “budget”, “strategy”, “scheduled”, “estimates”, “forecasts”, “anticipates”, “believes”, “intends” and similar expressions or statements that certain actions, events or results “may”, “could”, “would”, “might” or “will” be taken, occur or be achieved, or the negative forms of any of these terms and similar expressions, have been used to identify forward-looking statements and information.

Statements concerning mineral resource estimates may also be deemed to constitute forward-looking information to the extent that they involve estimates of the mineralization that will be encountered if the property is developed. Any statements that express or involve discussions with respect to predictions, expectations, beliefs, plans, projections, objectives, assumptions or future events or performance are not statements of historical fact and may be forward-looking information. Forward-looking information is subject to a variety of known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause actual events or results to differ from those expressed or implied by the forward-looking information, including, without limitation, risks related to:

- the exploration, development and operation of a mine or mine property, including the potential for undisclosed liabilities on our mineral projects;
- the fact that we are a relatively new company with no mineral properties in production or development and no history of production or revenue;
- development of the Brucejack Project;
- our ability to obtain adequate financing for our planned exploration and development activities and to complete further exploration programs;
- dependency on the Brucejack Project for our future operating revenue;
- our mineral resource estimates, including accuracy thereof and our ability to upgrade such mineral resource estimates and establish mineral reserve estimates;
- uncertainties relating to the interpretation of drill results and the geology, grade and continuity of our mineral deposits;
- commodity price fluctuations, including gold price volatility;
- market events and general economic conditions;
- governmental regulations, including environmental regulations;
- delay in obtaining or failure to obtain required permits, or non-compliance with permits that are obtained;
- increased costs and restrictions on operations due to compliance with environmental laws and regulations;
- uncertainty regarding unsettled First Nations rights and title in British Columbia;
land reclamation requirements;
uncertainties related to title to our mineral properties and surface rights;
currency fluctuations;
increased costs affecting the mining industry;
increased competition in the mining industry for properties, qualified personnel and management;
at the end of our ability to attract and retain qualified management;
some of our directors’ and officers’ involvement with other natural resource companies;
potential inability to attract development partners or our ability to identify attractive acquisitions;
Silver Standard Resources Inc.’s ("Silver Standard") share ownership, ability to influence our governance and possible market overhang;
uncertainty as to the outcome of potential legal proceedings;
future sales or issuances of our equity securities;
or being treated as a passive foreign investment company for U.S. Federal income tax purposes; and

This list is not exhaustive of the factors that may affect any of our forward-looking information. Although we have attempted to identify important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in forward-looking information, there may be other factors that cause results not to be as anticipated, estimated or intended. There can be no assurance that such information will prove to be accurate, as actual results and future events could differ materially from those anticipated in such information. Forward-looking information involves statements about the future and is inherently uncertain, and our actual achievements or other future events or conditions may differ materially from those reflected in the forward-looking information due to a variety of risks, uncertainties and other factors, including, without limitation, those referred to in this AIF under the heading “Risk Factors” and elsewhere in this AIF. Our forward-looking information is based on the beliefs, expectations and opinions of management on the date the statements are made. In connection with the forward-looking statements contained in this AIF, we have made certain assumptions about our business, including about our planned exploration and development activities; the accuracy of our mineral resource estimates; capital and operating cost estimates; production and processing estimates; the results, costs and timing of future exploration and drilling; timelines and similar statements relating to the economic viability of the Brucejack Project; timing and receipt of approvals, consents and permits under applicable legislation; and the adequacy of our financial resources. We have also assumed that no significant events will occur outside of our normal course of business. Although we believe that the assumptions inherent in the forward-looking statements are reasonable as of the date of this AIF, forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and, accordingly, undue reliance should not be put on such statements due to the inherent uncertainty therein. We do not assume any obligation to update forward-looking information, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, other than as required by applicable law. For the reasons set forth above, prospective investors should not place undue reliance on forward-looking information.

Technical and Scientific Disclosure


The Brucejack PEA is preliminary in nature and includes inferred mineral resources that are considered too speculative geologically to have the economic considerations applied to them that would enable them to be
categorized as mineral reserves. Mineral resources that are not mineral reserves have no demonstrated economic viability. There is no certainty that the Brucejack PEA will be realized.

Technical information in this AIF not contained in the Brucejack PEA has been approved by Mr. Kenneth C. McNaughton, M.A.Sc., P.Eng., Pretivm’s Vice President and Chief Exploration Officer and Ian I. Chang M.A.Sc., P.Eng., Pretivm’s Vice President, Project Development, each of whom is a “qualified person” as defined in NI 43-101 and has reviewed and verified the disclosure of such information.

Reference should be made to the full text of the Brucejack PEA, which has been filed with certain Canadian securities regulatory authorities pursuant to NI 43-101 and is available for review under the Company’s profile on SEDAR at www.sedar.com. Alternatively, copies of the Brucejack PEA may be inspected during normal business hours at the Company’s head office and at the offices of our Canadian legal counsel, Fasken Martineau DuMoulin LLP.

National Instrument 43-101 Definitions

Canadian reporting requirements for disclosure of mineral properties are governed by NI 43-101. The definitions given in NI 43-101 are adopted from those given by the Canadian Institute of Mining Metallurgy and Petroleum.

Mineral Resource

The term “mineral resource” refers to a concentration or occurrence of diamonds, natural, solid, inorganic or fossilized organic material including base and precious metals, coal and industrial minerals in or on the Earth’s crust in such form and quantity and of such a grade or quality that it has reasonable prospects for economic extraction. The location, quantity, grade, geological characteristics and continuity of a mineral resource are known, estimated or interpreted from specific geological evidence and knowledge.

Measured Mineral Resource

The term “measured mineral resource” refers to that part of a mineral resource for which quantity, grade or quality, densities, shape and physical characteristics are so well established that they can be estimated with confidence sufficient to allow the appropriate application of technical and economic parameters, to support production planning and evaluation of the economic viability of the deposit. The estimate is based on detailed and reliable exploration, sampling and testing information gathered through appropriate techniques from locations such as outcrops, trenches, pits, workings and drill holes that are spaced closely enough to confirm both geological and grade continuity.

Indicated Mineral Resource

The term “indicated mineral resource” refers to that part of a mineral resource for which quantity and grade or quality can be estimated on the basis of geological evidence and limited sampling and reasonably assumed, but not verified, geological and grade continuity. The estimate is based on limited information and sampling gathered through appropriate techniques from locations such as outcrops, trenches, pits, workings and drill holes.

Inferred Mineral Resource

The term “inferred mineral resource” refers to that part of a mineral resource for which quantity and grade or quality can be estimated on the basis of geological evidence and limited sampling and reasonably assumed, but not verified, geological and grade continuity. The estimate is based on limited information and sampling gathered through appropriate techniques from locations such as outcrops, trenches, pits, workings and drill holes.
Qualified Person

The term “qualified person” refers to an individual who is an engineer or geoscientist with at least five years of experience in mineral exploration, mine development, production activities and project assessment, or any combination thereof, including experience relevant to the subject matter of the project or report and is a member in good standing of a self-regulating organization.

Cautionary Note to US Investors

Technical disclosure in this AIF has not been prepared in accordance with the requirements of United States securities laws and uses terms that comply with reporting standards in Canada with certain estimates prepared in accordance with NI 43-101. NI 43-101 is a rule developed by the Canadian Securities Administrators that establishes standards for all public disclosure an issuer makes of scientific and technical information concerning mineral projects. Unless otherwise indicated, all mineral reserve and mineral resource estimates contained in this material change report have been prepared in accordance with NI 43-101 and the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum Classification System.

Canadian standards, including NI 43-101, differ significantly from the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”), and mineral reserve and resource information contained or incorporated by reference in this material change report may not be comparable to similar information disclosed by U.S. companies. In particular, and without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the term “resource” does not equate to the term “reserves”. Under U.S. standards, mineralization may not be classified as a “reserve” unless the determination has been made that the mineralization could be economically and legally produced or extracted at the time the reserve determination is made. The SEC’s disclosure standards normally do not permit the inclusion of information concerning “measured mineral resources”, “indicated mineral resources” or “inferred mineral resources” or other descriptions of the amount of mineralization in mineral deposits that do not constitute “reserves” by U.S. standards in documents filed with the SEC. U.S. investors should also understand that “inferred mineral resources” have a great amount of uncertainty as to their existence and great uncertainty as to their economic and legal feasibility. It cannot be assumed that all or any part of an “inferred mineral resource” will ever be upgraded to a higher category. Under Canadian rules, estimated “inferred mineral resources” may not form the basis of feasibility or pre-feasibility studies except in rare cases. Investors are cautioned not to assume that all or any part of an “inferred mineral resource” exists or is economically or legally mineable. Disclosure of “contained ounces” in a resource is permitted disclosure under Canadian regulations; however, the SEC normally only permits issuers to report mineralization that does not constitute “reserves” by SEC standards as in-place tonnage and grade without reference to unit measures. Accordingly, information concerning mineral deposits set forth herein may not be comparable with information made public by companies that report in accordance with U.S. standards.
CORPORATE STRUCTURE

Name, Address and Incorporation

The Company was incorporated under the Business Corporations Act (British Columbia) (the “BCBCA”) on October 22, 2010. Our head office is located at 1600 – 570 Granville Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, V6C 3P1 and our registered office is at 2900 – 550 Burrard Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, V6C 0A3.

Intercorporate Relationships

We currently have two wholly-owned subsidiaries, Pretium Exploration Inc. and 0890696 B.C. Ltd, which hold our interests in the Brucejack Project and the assets related thereto, both of which were incorporated under the BCBCA.

GENERAL DEVELOPMENT OF THE BUSINESS

Overview

We are an exploration and development company that was formed for the acquisition, exploration and development of precious metal resource properties in the Americas. Our only material mineral project for the purposes of NI 43-101 is the Brucejack Project (the “Brucejack Project”), an advanced stage exploration project located in north-western British Columbia. We intend to focus our exploration and development efforts on the Brucejack Project, and in particular on expanding, and increasing the quality of, resources and advancing engineering studies on the higher grade underground opportunities at the Brucejack Project. We also have a 100% interest in the Snowfield Project (the “Snowfield Project”), which is also located in north-western British Columbia. We are not actively developing the Snowfield Project at this time and we consider it to be non-material to our business and for the purposes of NI 43-101.

As at November 28, 2011, measured mineral resources at the Brucejack Project were estimated at approximately 0.99 million ounces of gold and 32.1 million ounces of silver. Indicated mineral resources at the Brucejack Project were estimated at approximately 11.91 million ounces of gold and 99.3 million ounces of silver. Inferred mineral resources at the Brucejack Project were estimated at approximately 18.20 million ounces of gold and 201.2 million ounces of silver. All resource figures are at a cut-off grade of 0.30 grams/tonne ("g/t") gold equivalent ("AuEq"). See “Details of the Brucejack Project — Mineral Resource Estimates”.

Strategy and Objectives

Our strategy is to grow our business through the exploration and acquisition of quality precious metals projects. The Brucejack Project is our initial material project. We intend to continue exploration of this project with a focus on expanding and increasing the quality of resources and advancing engineering studies on the higher grade underground opportunity at the Brucejack Project.

A feasibility study has been commissioned on the high-grade gold opportunity at the Brucejack Project.

Acquisition of the Combined Project Assets

We acquired the Brucejack Project, along with the Snowfield Project and other associated assets (together, the “Project Assets”), pursuant to an acquisition agreement dated October 28, 2010, as amended with Silver Standard (the “Acquisition Agreement”), for an aggregate acquisition price of $450 million, consisting of a cash payment of $233,020,000 and the issuance of a total of 36,163,333 common shares (the “Common Shares”) in the capital of Pretivm (the “Acquisition”).
We entered into an investor rights agreement dated December 21, 2010 (the “Investor Rights Agreement”) with Silver Standard that provides that, as long as Silver Standard and its affiliates hold at least 10% of the issued and outstanding Common Shares:

- Silver Standard is entitled to nominate to serve as members of our board of directors (the “Board”) the number of nominees equal to the lesser of (i) one less than the number which constitutes a majority of the Board and (ii) the percentage of issued and outstanding Common Shares and securities convertible or exchangeable into Common Shares held by Silver Standard multiplied by the number of directors comprising the Board (rounded to the nearest whole number);
- Silver Standard and its affiliates have the right to maintain their proportionate ownership of our Common Shares by participating pro rata in issuances of Common Shares (save in respect of equity compensation plans); and
- Silver Standard and its affiliates have the right to sell Common Shares by participating pro rata in prospectus offerings by us (to a maximum of 20% of any such offering).

We also entered into a transition services agreement dated December 21, 2010 (the “Transition Services Agreement”) with Silver Standard pursuant to which Silver Standard provided certain administrative services for the purpose of transitioning the ownership of the Project Assets. The Transition Services Agreement terminated according to its terms on December 21, 2011.

NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF THE BUSINESS

Details of the Brucejack Project

Project Description and Location

The Brucejack Project consists of six mineral claims totalling 3,199.28 hectares and all claims are in good standing until January 31, 2022. Pretivm acquired its 100% outright interest in the Brucejack Project in December 2010, pursuant to the Acquisition Agreement with Silver Standard. The Brucejack Project is subject to a 1.2% net smelter returns royalty in favour of Black Hawk Mining Inc. (“Black Hawk”) on production in excess of 503,386 ounces of gold and 17,907,080 ounces of silver.

The majority of the Brucejack Project falls within the boundaries of the Cassiar-Iskut-Stikine Land and Resource Management Plan (the “LRMP”) area, with only a minor south-eastern segment of Mineral Claim No. 509506 falling outside this area. All claims located within the boundaries of the LRMP are considered as areas of general management direction, with none of the claims falling inside any protected or special management areas. At present, the land claims in the area are in review and subject to ongoing discussions between various First Nations and the Government of British Columbia.

The Brucejack Project is centred at approximately latitude 56°28’20”N by longitude 130°11’31”W, approximately 950 kilometres northwest of Vancouver, 65 kilometres north-northwest of Stewart, and 21 kilometres south-southeast of the Eskay Creek Mine.

The Brucejack Project is located in the Boundary Range of the Coast Mountain Physiographic Belt along the western margin of the Intermontane Tectonic Belt. The terrain is generally steep with local reliefs of 1000 metres from valleys occupied by receding glaciers, to ridges at elevations of 1200 metres above sea-level. Elevations within the Brucejack Project area range from 1366 metres along Brucejack Lake to 1650 metres at the Bridge Zone. However, within several areas, the relief is relatively low to moderate.

The climate is typical of north-western British Columbia with cool, wet summers, and relatively moderate but wet winters. Annual temperatures range from +20°C to -20°C. Precipitation is high with heavy snowfall accumulations ranging from 10 metres to 15 metres at higher elevations and 2 metres to 3 metres along the lower river valleys. Snow packs cover the higher elevations from October to May. The optimum field season is from late June to mid-October.
The area is easily accessible by helicopter from the town of Stewart, or seasonally from the settlement of Bell II. The flight time from Stewart is approximately 30 minutes. Pretivm has started construction on reopening the Newhawk Gold Mines Ltd. (“Newhawk”) access road to the Brucejack Project, rehabilitating stretches of the old road and building a new stretch of road that is expected to be completed by late 2012.

There are no local resources other than abundant water for drilling. The nearest infrastructure is the town of Stewart, approximately 65 kilometres to the south, which has limited supplies and personnel. The towns of Terrace and Smithers are also located in the same general region as the Brucejack Project. Both are directly accessible by daily air service from Vancouver.

The nearest railway is the Canadian National Railway Yellowhead route, which is located approximately 220 kilometres to the southeast. This line runs east-west and terminates at the deep water port of Prince Rupert on the west coast of British Columbia. The most northerly ice-free shipping port in North America, in Stewart, is accessible to store and ship concentrates.

A high voltage power line running parallel with Highway 37 is planned for construction. The plan calls for the new 287-kilovolt line to extend from the community of Terrace to the beginning of the Galore Creek access road at Bob Quinn Lake, providing access for the project to the BC Hydro electric grid. The final capacity of this transmission line has yet to be determined and may be increased due to projected demand.

**History**

The exploration history of the area dates back to the 1880s when placer gold was located at Sulphurets and Mitchell Creeks. Placer mining was intermittently undertaken throughout the early 1900s and remained the main focus of prospecting until the mid-1930s.

In 1935, prospectors discovered copper-molybdenum mineralization on the Sulphurets property in the vicinity of the Main Copper zone, approximately six kilometres northwest of Brucejack Lake; however, these claims were not staked until 1960. From 1935 to 1959, the area was relatively inactive with respect to prospecting; however, it was intermittently evaluated by a number of different parties and several small copper and gold-silver occurrences were discovered in the Sulphurets-Mitchell Creek area.

In 1960, Granduc and Alaskan prospectors staked the main claim group covering the known copper and gold-silver occurrences, which collectively became known as the Sulphurets property, starting the era of modern exploration. Various operators explored the area, and an underground program was completed on the West Zone between 1986 and 1991 by the Newcana Joint Venture among Granduc, Newhawk and Lacana Mining Corporation.

In 1999, Silver Standard acquired Newhawk and with it, Newhawk's 60% interest and control of the Brucejack Project. In 2001, Silver Standard acquired Black Hawk’s 40% direct interest in the Brucejack Project, resulting in 100% interest in the Brucejack Project.

Silver Standard began initial work on the Brucejack Project in 2009 with a large diamond drilling campaign and a resampling program on historical core. A total of 17,846 m of diamond drilling were completed in 37 holes during the 2009 field season. In 2010, a total of 33,400 m of diamond drilling was completed in 72 holes. The West Zone ramp was partially dewatered in late 2011 and early 2012. A geotechnical mapping program and updated survey was completed on the dewatered portion of the mine.

**Mineralized Zones**

The current resources as presented in this AIF are comprised of eight different zones on the Brucejack Project; the West Zone, Bridge Zone, Low Grade Halo Zone, Shore Zone, Galena Hill Zone, Gossan Hill Zone, SG Zone and Valley of Kings (“VOK”) Zone.
West Zone

The West Zone gold-silver deposit is hosted by a north-westerly trending band of intensely altered Lower Jurassic latitic to trachyandesitic volcanic and subordinate sedimentary rocks, as much as 400 metres to 500 metres thick, which passes between two more competent bodies of hornblende plagioclase hornblende phryic flows. The stratified rocks dip moderately to steeply to the northeast and are intensely altered, particularly in the immediate area of the precious metals mineralization.

The West Zone deposit itself comprises at least 10 quartz veins and mineralized quartz stockwork ore shoots, the longest of which has a strike length of ~250 metres and a maximum thickness of about ~6 metres. Most mineralized shoots have vertical extents that are greater than their strike lengths. Geometries of the main veins suggest they represent central and oblique shear veins which developed in response to transpressional strain. Crack-seal features shown by most of the veins are evidence of brittle deformation overlapping with crystallization of gangue minerals. Thus, at the West Zone, it appears as if localized ductile strain may have generated dilatant structures that served as conduits for the hydrothermal fluids, which deposited silica and precious metals, but hydrostatic overpressures within the conduits may have intermittently induced brittle failure along sub-parallel structures. In terms of hydrothermal alteration, the West Zone is marked by a central silicified Zone that passes outwards to a zone of sericite + quartz + carbonate and then an outer zone of chlorite + sericite + carbonate. The combined thickness of the alteration zones across the central part of the deposit is 100 to 150 metres.

Gold in the West Zone occurs principally as electrum in quartz veins and is associated with, in decreasing order of abundance, pyrite, sphalerite, chalcopyrite, and galena. Besides being found with gold in electrum, silver occurs in tetrahedrite, pyrargyrite, polybasite and, rarely, stephanite and acanthite. Gangue mineralogy of the veins is dominated by quartz, with accessory adularia, albite, sericite, and minor carbonate and barite.

Valley of Kings Zone

The VOK Zone is approximately 500 metres south of the West Zone, and was initially explored by Esso Minerals in 1981. It was later explored by Newhawk and named the Spine Zone, due to the presence of small, silicified and resistant relatively high-standing stockworks that outcrop in several places along its trend. This particular stockwork is topographically prominent but generally quite barren. The early exploration programs included surface grab and trench sampling, with many samples yielding weak to moderately anomalous gold values, but one outstanding sample which returned over 7,000 parts per million gold.

The first diamond drill program at VOK Zone was conducted by Silver Standard in 2009, which intersected a number of intervals of high-grade gold mineralization, including one of 16,948 g/t gold and 8,695 g/t silver over 1.5 metres in hole SU-012 and two additional 1.5 metres intersections between 40 and 80 g/t gold in that hole and four more spread between holes SU-034 and 035. Additional diamond drilling in 2010 included 12 drill holes totalling 4,871 meters. The results of this program were very encouraging, with five of the holes intersecting high-grade gold mineralization, including intersections in holes SU-040 and SU-084 which returned 5,850 g/t gold and 5,480 g/t gold, respectively. Pretivm’s drilling at the VOK Zone in 2011 totalled 101 holes and more than 43,100 meters.

The VOK Zone mineralized zone trends approximately west-northwest to east-southeast. Its orientation mirrors that of Electrum Ridge, a pronounced topographic feature near the southern margin of the zone, and drilling to date has extended its strike to over 450 meters. The zone is up to 150 meters wide and is bound to the west by the Brucejack fault but remains open at depth and to the east. Surface mapping and Pretivm’s extensive drilling defined a number of lithologic contacts which outline a broad syncline in which fragmental volcanic and clastic sedimentary rocks, along with minor flows of Upper Triassic to Lower Jurassic age appear to plunge moderately to the east. Variably altered hornblende feldspar phryic volcanic rocks of intermediate composition (most probably latite) are interpreted as forming the youngest rocks of the sequence at the VOK Zone, and are seen outcropping to the south, west and to the north-west, and broadly correlative coarse pyroclastic rocks, including common lapilli tuff and tuff breccia may occupy the core of the VOK Zone syncline. Underlying these are interbedded volcanic-derived immature sedimentary rocks, including common pebble and cobble conglomerate.
and pebbly sandstone. The sedimentary sequence is considered correlative with the basal Jack Formation of the Hazelton Group. Generally thin and likely discontinuous rhyolite flows, as well as local siliceous exhalites have been mapped on surface and logged in drill core in the vicinity of this contact. A preliminary Upper Triassic U-Pb date from the rhyolite suggests that it is derived from beneath the unconformable contact, and Pretivm’s tentative view is that the rhyolites may, in part, be large blocks resting on the unconformity surface. Beneath the rhyolite is a relatively thick and generally poorly stratified sequence of fine-grained concretion-bearing mudstone and siltstone with locally interbedded immature but locally-derived sandstone and pebble conglomerate. In the vicinity of VOK Zone, contacts and even the unconformity appear to have been folded, commonly tightly. The contacts outline a complex east-plunging syncline with a flanking east-plunging cuspate anticline to the south and what appears to be an even more complex antiformal structure to the north. The northern limb of the fold opens to the northeast, where it may eventually reverse trend and continue to the northwest into the area between the West Zone and Gossan Hill. Much of the complexity may reflect the fact that the east-west trending folds are refolding an earlier northeast-trending set of folds, but some of the complexity may also reflect the fact that there may have been relief on the unconformity surface.

High-grade gold and silver mineralization within the VOK Zone occurs as electrum, and it is generally hosted within quartz-carbonate and quartz-adularia veins and vein stockworks. While quartz veining and stockworks are common throughout the zone, the majority of gold intersections are confined to a 75 to 100 metres wide zone which closely parallels the axis of the syncline. Within that zone, the mineralization appears to have been concentrated in localized fold noses and along geologic contacts, in particular along the contact between the overlying pyroclastic rocks and the underlying conglomerate, as well as locally along the margins of flow-banded rhyolite. Significant intervals of gold mineralization, including several occurrences of visible gold, have been intersected to the west of the VOK Zone, at the Waterloo zone, suggesting the possibility that the mineralized trend may extend farther west, across the Brucejack fault. Gold to silver ratios within the VOK Zone are typically 2:1 or higher, but may vary greatly. This may be due in part to the relative abundance of electrum in the deposit, or to the local presence of silver sulphide minerals. Additional precious metal-bearing minerals found in the VOK Zone, typically in trace quantities, include silver sulphides, acanthite, pyargyrite and tetrahedrite, while base metal-bearing sulphides include sphalerite and galena.

As it is elsewhere on the Brucejack Project, alteration at the VOK Zone is believed to be Early Jurassic in age. It consists dominantly of quartz-sericite-pyrite, with lesser sericite-chlorite. The most pervasive of the intense alteration is observed within the sedimentary and fragmental volcanic rocks. Within them, the abundance of phyllosilicate minerals, and the subsequent deformational overprint, has resulted in the development of a pervasive east-west trending and steeply dipping foliation. In the VOK Zone, the foliation appears to be axial planar to the main fold trend.

Bridge Zone

The Bridge Zone is located about 1,500 metres south of the West Zone and is centred on a three hectare nunatak surrounded by ice of the eastern arm of the Sulphurets glacier. Geologists working for Newhawk and the Geological Survey of Canada had previously mapped and sampled this outcrop, recognizing that it displayed strong sericite-pyrite alteration and that it was transected by a number of discontinuous mineralized quartz veins. The first drill hole to test the zone (SU-10) was drilled in 2009, and was extended in 2010. It intersected 601 metres which averaged 0.76 g/t gold and 7.9 g/t silver, including 118 metres which averaged 0.99 g/t gold and 7.6 g/t silver.

Further and much more extensive drilling in 2010 showed that the gold mineralization at the Bridge Zone is hosted by plagioclase-hornblende phryic volcanic rocks that in general are moderately to strongly sericite-chlorite altered, with disseminated and stringer pyrite making up a few percent of the rock by volume. Quartz ± chlorite ± sericite veins, 20 centimetres to 200 centimetres in thickness, were intermittently intersected by the drill holes, and these commonly contain minor to trace amounts of pyrite, sphalerite, galena, molybdenite, and an unknown dark grey, silver-bearing sulfosalts. In 2010 it was observed that a number of holes in the Bridge Zone contained appreciable molybdenum. These were then analyzed for the strategic metal rhenium, with the further observation that the molybdenum to rhenium ratio was similar to that in mineralization to the north at the Snowfield Project.
A total of 47 drill holes now define the Bridge Zone, which not only includes broad intervals of bulk-tonnage style mineralization, but also numerous high-grade intersections. Drilling in 2011 targeted a few of the high-grade intersections and the suggestion is that they are structurally controlled. Intersections from this year’s drilling include 458 g/t gold in a one meter intersection in hole SU-125, and a 19.0 g/t gold intersection across 5.85 metres in hole SU-166.

**Galena Hill Zone**

The prospect known as Galena Hill is situated on a prominent hill southeast of the southern end of the West Zone and east of the VOK north zone. The hill is marked by widespread iron oxide staining of altered volcanic fragmental and reworked volcanic fragmental rocks and the slopes are commonly faced by quartz stockwork. The Galena Hill Zone was tested by Newhawk with 27 bore holes, with half of the holes being less than 100 metres in length. The historical work suggested that the Galena Hill system was an underlain by east-west and northeast-southwest trending sets of quartz veins and quartz stockworks within a zone of hydrothermal alteration and mineralization that was at least 460 metres long and 300 metres wide.

The host volcanic and volcaniclastic rocks are rich in lapilli-sized fragments and host local thin units of carbonaceous and cherty mudstone. A few holes intersected rhyolitic volcanic rocks and one hole (SU-05) yielded a 50 metres long intercept of quartz which was enriched in gold and silver along its margins; unfortunately, it is likely that the vein was intercepted at a low angle to the core axis and that the hole drilled down the dip of the vein.

As in the West Zone, gold mineralization at the Galena Hill Zone is preferentially associated with quartz veins, although the sericite-altered, intermediate composition host rocks are typically mineralized with disseminated pyrite and do host low- to medium-grade bulk tonnage style mineralization. In some veins, trace amounts of native gold and electrum are accompanied by veins which contain common trace to locally massive sphalerite, chalcopyrite, and galena. Galena Hill has produced a number of bonanza grade intersections, including intersections such as 1,025 g/t gold across 1.5 metres (hole SU-53), 2,490 g/t gold across 1.59 metres (hole SU-54), 5,480 g/t gold across 0.5 metres (hole SU-84) and 1,710 g/t gold across 0.69m (hole SU-106). These intersections may be structurally controlled and represent a significant high grade exploration target.

**Low Grade Halo Zone**

Drilling in 2011 filled the gap in drill data which existed between the Bridge Zone and the VOK Zone. This area, referred to as the Halo Zone, was shown to host bulk tonnage mineralization and, as a result, the low-grade halos for the Bridge Zone, VOK Zone and Galena Hill have been combined into a single zone which encompasses all the peripheral mineralization.

**Shore Zone**

A small gold-silver resource was identified by Newhawk along the north-eastern shore of the peninsula that extends into the west end of Brucejack Lake. Referred to as the Shore Zone, it is a zone of quartz veining hosted by foliated, sericite-altered trachyandesite that has a strike length of roughly 530 metres and a maximum width of 50 metres. The northwest-southeast trend of the zone is coincident with a pronounced lineament (likely a fault) which extends south-eastward from the Brucejack fault beneath Brucejack Lake.

Several discrete quartz veins and quartz stockworks were traced along the zone, with historical drilling being concentrated on the southern end of the zone. The veins occur as ‘stacked’, en echelon, sigmoidal lenses of up to 100 metres in length. The veins and vein stockworks consist predominantly of quartz with minor carbonate and barite, and they contain patchy sulphide mineralization consisting of variable quantities of pyrite, tetrahedrite, sphalerite, galena, and arsenopyrite. Electrum has been observed in trace amounts. Silver is present in some of the highest concentrations observed in the Brucejack Project area. Drilling in 2011 tested the northwest extension of the zone and returned modest results.
SG/Bonanza Zone

The SG/Bonanza Zone is located in the north-central part of the Brucejack Project. It is underlain by an area of a gossanous sericite-altered rock on the western side of the Brucejack fault. The zone is hosted in a series of quartz stockwork vein systems close to the fault as well as in an east-striking, 150 metres-long quartz stockwork. Host rocks appear mainly to be fragmental latitic to trachyandesitic rocks, likely somewhat re-worked tuff and lapilli tuff, that are intercalated with quartzo-feldspathic sandstone and minor siltstone.

The best intercept at the SG/Bonanza Zone was in hole SU-004, which returned an estimated 1.62 g/t gold across 75 metres, including 2.57 g/t gold across 27 metres. This intersection contained surprisingly little quartz veining; instead, the mineralized lapilli tuff hosts only local quartz-carbonate stockwork veinlets and trace amounts of fine, acicular arsenopyrite, in addition to 1-3% disseminated pyrite. Pretivm believes that the gold mineralization may be associated with anhedral pyrite, as is the case at the Snowfield deposit, approximately 3-4 kilometres to the north. Drilling in 2011 extended the zone 50 metres to the west, with hole SU-203 intersecting 24.5 metres grading approximately 1.14 g/t gold.

Gossan Hill Zone

The mineralized zone known as Gossan Hill is a circular area, about 400 metres in diameter, of intense quartz-sericite-pyrite alteration developed in Lower Jurassic volcanic rocks. The visually impressive alteration zone at Gossan Hill is host to at least eleven quartz vein and quartz vein stockwork structures, most of which trend east-west and dip steeply to the north. Individual structures are up to 250 metres long and 20 metres thick.

Historical work undertaken at Gossan Hill has included rock-chip sampling, hand trenching and limited diamond drilling, with a few +400 metres deep drill holes concentrated in the central part of the mineralized area. Precious metal mineralization at the Gossan Hill Zone occurs in two styles. As is the case elsewhere on the Brucejack Project, a bulk tonnage style of mineralization is associated with anhedral pyrite and a fine quartz stockwork. Higher-grade gold mineralization at Gossan Hill differs somewhat from other zones on the Brucejack Project in that it is associated with the larger quartz lenses, particularly where they contain local aggregates of pyrite, tetrahedrite, sphalerite, and galena. Electrum is observed in the bonanza grade intersections, while silver also occurs in tetrahedrite, pyragyrite, and polybasite.

Drilling in 2011 was focused on defining structural controls to mineralization within the larger lower grade halo. The program was very successful, with numerous holes intersecting very high grade gold mineralization; including 372.3 g/t gold across 7.1 metres in hole SU-136, 16.4 g/t gold across 7.9 metres in hole SU-147, 168.4 g/t gold over 1.5 metres in hole SU-201, and 44.2 g/t gold over 14.0 metres in hole SU-207.

Mineral Resource Estimates

1,182 drill holes were used to estimate the current resources, including 452 historical surface drill holes, 442 historical underground drill holes exclusively in the West Zone and 288 surface drill holes completed since 2009. Conceptual Lerchs-Grossman optimized pit shells were developed based on all available mineral resources (Measured, Indicated and Inferred). The results from the optimized pit-shells are used solely for the purpose of reporting mineral resources that have reasonable prospects for economic extraction.

All mineral resources were reported against a 0.30 g/t gold equivalent cut-off, as constrained within the optimized pit shell. Resources for three different pit shells were defined as shown below in Table 1 through Table 3. In addition, an underground sensitivity to the mineral resource estimate is presented in Table 4.
Table 1  
Brucejack Project Estimated Mineral Resources based on a Cut-Off Grade of 0.30 g/t AuEq\(^{(1)(2)(3)(4)}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Tonnes (millions)</th>
<th>Gold (g/t)</th>
<th>Silver (g/t)</th>
<th>Gold (million oz)</th>
<th>Silver (million oz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measured</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>81.6</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>32.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicated</td>
<td>293.0</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>11.91</td>
<td>99.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured + Indicated</td>
<td>305.3</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>12.89</td>
<td>131.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferred</td>
<td>813.7</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>18.20</td>
<td>201.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:

(1) Mineral resources which are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. The estimate of mineral resources may be materially affected by environmental, permitting, legal, marketing, or other relevant issues. These mineral resources were estimated using the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum (“CIM”) Standards on Mineral Resources and Reserves, Definitions and Guidelines prepared by the CIM Standing Committee on Reserve Definitions and adopted by CIM Council.

(2) The quantity and grade of reported Inferred resources in this estimation are uncertain in nature and there has been insufficient exploration to define these inferred resources as an Indicated or Measured mineral resources and it is uncertain if further exploration will result in upgrading them to an Indicated or Measured mineral resource category.

(3) Mineral resources are defined within a Whittle optimized pit shell that incorporates project metal recoveries, estimated operating costs and metals price assumptions. Parameters used in the estimate include metal prices (and respective recoveries) of US$1,200/oz. gold (71% recovery) and US$22.00/oz. silver (70% recovery). The pit optimization used the following cost parameters: Mining US$2.00/tonne, processing US$7.00/tonne and general and administrative expenses of US$1.25/tonne along with pit slopes of 45 degrees.

(4) Tonnage and grade measurements are in metric units.

Table 2  
Brucejack Project 5.00 g/t AuEq Mineral Resource Grade & Tonnage Estimate\(^{(1)(2)(3)(4)}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Tonnes (millions)</th>
<th>Gold (g/t)</th>
<th>Silver (g/t)</th>
<th>Gold (million oz)</th>
<th>Silver (million oz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measured</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>7.93</td>
<td>236.1</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicated</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>19.99</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured + Indicated</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>16.92</td>
<td>105.6</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>31.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferred</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>25.67</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:

(1) Mineral resources which are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. The estimate of mineral resources may be materially affected by environmental, permitting, legal, marketing, or other relevant issues. These mineral resources were estimated using the CIM Standards on Mineral Resources and Reserves, Definitions and Guidelines prepared by the CIM Standing Committee on Reserve Definitions and adopted by CIM Council.

(2) The quantity and grade of reported Inferred resources in this estimation are uncertain in nature and there has been insufficient exploration to define these inferred resources as an Indicated or Measured mineral resources and it is uncertain if further exploration will result in upgrading them to an Indicated or Measured mineral resource category.

(3) Mineral resources are defined within a Whittle optimized pit shell that incorporates project metal recoveries, estimated operating costs and metals price assumptions. Parameters used in the estimate include metal prices (and respective recoveries) of US$1,200/oz. gold (71% recovery) and US$22.00/oz. silver (70% recovery). The pit optimization used the following cost parameters: Mining US$2.00/tonne, processing US$7.00/tonne and general and administrative expenses of US$1.25/tonne along with pit slopes of 45 degrees.

(4) Tonnage and grade measurements are in metric units.
### Table 3
Brucejack Project 1.25 g/t AuEq Mineral Resource Grade & Tonnage Estimate\(^{(1)(2)(3)(4)}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Tonnes (millions)</th>
<th>Gold (g/t)</th>
<th>Silver (g/t)</th>
<th>Gold (million oz)</th>
<th>Silver (million oz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measured</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>102.20</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>30.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicated</td>
<td>64.8</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>23.70</td>
<td>7.53</td>
<td>49.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured + Indicated</td>
<td>74.1</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>33.55</td>
<td>8.46</td>
<td>80.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferred</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>16.30</td>
<td>6.76</td>
<td>41.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

(1) Mineral resources which are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. The estimate of mineral resources may be materially affected by environmental, permitting, legal, marketing, or other relevant issues. These mineral resources were estimated using the CIM Standards on Mineral Resources and Reserves, Definitions and Guidelines prepared by the CIM Standing Committee on Reserve Definitions and adopted by CIM Council.

(2) The quantity and grade of reported Inferred resources in this estimation are uncertain in nature and there has been insufficient exploration to define these inferred resources as an Indicated or Measured mineral resources and it is uncertain if further exploration will result in upgrading them to an Indicated or Measured mineral resource category.

(3) Mineral resources are defined within a Whittle optimized pit shell that incorporates project metal recoveries, estimated operating costs and metals price assumptions. Parameters used in the estimate include metal prices (and respective recoveries) of US$1,200/oz. gold (71% recovery) and US$22.00/oz. silver (70% recovery). The pit optimization used the following cost parameters: Mining US$2.00/tonne, processing US$7.00/tonne and general and administrative expenses of US$1.25/tonne along with pit slopes of 45 degrees.

(4) Tonnage and grade measurements are in metric units.

### Table 4
Combined West Zone and VOK Zone Underground Sensitivity to the Resource Estimate based on a 5.00 g/t AuEq Mineral Resource Grade & Tonnage Estimate\(^{(1)(2)(3)(4)}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Tonnes (millions)</th>
<th>Gold (g/t)</th>
<th>Silver (g/t)</th>
<th>Gold (million oz)</th>
<th>Silver (million oz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measured</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>7.29</td>
<td>241.2</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicated</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>24.13</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured + Indicated</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>19.35</td>
<td>106.7</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>29.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferred</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>25.73</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

(1) Mineral resources which are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. The estimate of mineral resources may be materially affected by environmental, permitting, legal, marketing, or other relevant issues. These mineral resources were estimated using the CIM Standards on Mineral Resources and Reserves, Definitions and Guidelines prepared by the CIM Standing Committee on Reserve Definitions and adopted by CIM Council.

(2) The quantity and grade of reported Inferred resources in this estimation are uncertain in nature and there has been insufficient exploration to define these inferred resources as an Indicated or Measured mineral resources and it is uncertain if further exploration will result in upgrading them to an Indicated or Measured mineral resource category.

(3) Mineral resources are defined within a Whittle optimized pit shell that incorporates project metal recoveries, estimated operating costs and metals price assumptions. Parameters used in the estimate include metal prices (and respective recoveries) of US$1,200/oz. gold (71% recovery) and US$22.00/oz. silver (70% recovery). The pit optimization used the following cost parameters: Mining US$2.00/tonne, processing US$7.00/tonne and general and administrative expenses of US$1.25/tonne along with pit slopes of 45 degrees.

(4) Tonnage and grade measurements are in metric units.

The Brucejack Project mineral resource estimate encompasses eight distinct modeled mineralization domains, viz. the West Zone, Shore Zone, Gossan Hill Zone, Galena Hill Zone, SG Zone, VOK Zone, Bridge Zone and a Low Grade Halo Zone. The VOK Zone has been further divided into three sub-domains.
**Geological Setting**

The Brucejack Project is largely underlain by Lower Jurassic rocks of western Stikine terrane, or Stikinia, an oceanic island arc terrane consisting of mid-Paleozoic to Middle Jurassic rocks which underlies much of western British Columbia. Stikinia may have been accreted to the western margin of North America as early as in the late Middle Jurassic, and it was likely consolidated with rocks of the North American margin, as well as with rocks of the outboard Insular terrane (Wrangellia and Alexander terranes) in latest Jurassic to mid-Cretaceous time.

The Sulphurtes mining camp and the Brucejack Project lie astride the eastern margin of the core of the McTagg anticlinorium, a major north-trending mid-Cretaceous structural culmination in the western Skeena Fold Belt. Coincident with the core of the anticlinorium is a prominent and very well mineralized trend which runs for at least 25 kilometres, from at least as far south as the Brucejack Project to Treaty glacier on the north. On the Brucejack Project itself, there is a spatial association of alteration and contained mineralized zones with the north-trending Brucejack fault, a late-tectonic brittle structure which probably follows an older structure whose history dates back at least to the Early Jurassic period. A number of lines of evidence, including facies changes within the local succession and variations in regional distribution and thickness of the host Hazelton Group rocks, support a long history for the Brucejack lineament and its precursor structures. It may, at least in part, have marked the boundary of a volcanic sub-basin, and judging by its general coincidence with mineralized and altered zones across the length of the Brucejack Project, it may have helped control emplacement of the mineralization and alteration at the Brucejack Project.

**Mineralization**

Mineralization on the Brucejack Project has previously been classified as an epithermal gold-silver-copper, low-sulphidation deposit (UBC deposit model No. H04). While there are certainly many features of the mineralized zones at the Brucejack Project with characteristics of low-sulphidation deposits, such as vein mineralogy (e.g., adularia and acanthite) and the common stockwork veining and breccia-veins, which may suggest a lower temperature and shallower level of emplacement, other features, including the general lack of evidence for colloform banding and open-space filling, have been taken to suggest deeper levels of emplacement for the veining. Furthermore, other qualities of the zones, such as the relatively high molybdenum content at the Bridge zone, and the fact that bulk tonnage style gold in some zones may be more closely correlated with disseminated anhedral pyrite than with veining, have been taken to suggest that at least some of the zones may be more closely allied to porphyry-style systems. Such a suggestion has some credence, particularly when one considers the common association of mineralization at the Brucejack Project with hornblende feldspar phyric flows and fragmental rocks which are rich in groundmass potassium feldspar. Previously, these rocks have been interpreted as intrusive and therefore the mineralized zones were considered to be broadly “intrusive-related.”

Until the property-scale geologic framework is better established, and until Pretivm has a better understanding of the controls on the formation of the mineralized zones, the Company is refraining from rigid adherence to, and acceptance of, a single deposit model. In the meantime, Pretivm is taking steps to provide tighter constraints on the possibilities of deposit formation in the form of ongoing geochronological, petrographic, and whole rock geochemical studies, and is planning further similar studies, as well as stable isotopic and fluid inclusion work. One of the goals of such work will be to better understand what the components of the hydrothermal system were, and where the metals, water, and sulphur in the system, or systems, were derived from.

**Drilling**

In 2011, a total of 72,805 metres of drilling was completed in holes SU-110 to SU-288. At the end of each drill shift, all core was transported by helicopter to the handling, logging, and storage facility on site. Prior to any geotechnical and geological logging, the entire drill core was photographed in detail with the digital colour photographic images for each interval of core filed with the digital geological logs.

A trained geo-technician recorded the core recovery and rock quality data for each measured drill run. All lithological, structural, alteration and mineralogical features of the drill core were observed and recorded during
the geological logging procedure. This information was later transcribed into the computer using a program that was compatible with Gemcom software.

The geologist responsible for logging assigned drill core sample intervals with the criteria that the intervals did not cross geologic contacts and the maximum sample length was two metres. Within any geologic unit, sample intervals of 1.5 metres long could be extended or reduced to coincide with any geologic contact. Sample lengths were rarely greater than two metres or less than 0.5 metres, and they averaged 1.52 metres long.

Upon completion of the geological logging, the samples were sawn in half lengthwise. One-half of the drill core was placed in a plastic sample bag and the other half was returned to its original position in the core box. The sample bags were consolidated into larger shipping containers and delivered to the assay laboratory.

It is the opinion P&E Mining Consultants Inc. ("P&E") that the core logging procedures employed are thorough and provide sufficient geotechnical and geological information. There is no apparent drilling or recovery factor that would materially impact the accuracy and reliability of the drilling results. P&E believes that drilling has been conducted using industry best practice guidelines.

**Sampling, Analysis and Data Verification**

**Sampling Method and Approach**

The 2011 program on the Brucejack Project used ALS Minerals Laboratories Ltd. ("ALS") as the principal laboratory. The samples that were originally sent to ALS in Terrace, British Columbia for sample preparation were then forwarded to the ALS facility in Vancouver, British Columbia, for analysis. ALS is an internationally recognized minerals testing laboratory operating in 16 countries and has an ISO 9001:2000 certification. The laboratory in Vancouver has also been accredited to ISO 17025 standards for specific laboratory procedures by the Standards Council of Canada. Samples at ALS were crushed to 70% passing 2 millimetres, (-10 mesh). Samples were riffle split and 500 grams were pulverized to 85% passing 75 micrometres (-200 mesh). The remaining coarse reject material was returned to Pretivm for storage in their Smithers warehouse for possible future use. Gold was determined using fire assay on a 30 gram aliquot with an atomic absorption finish. In addition, a 33 element package was completed using a four acid digest and ICP-AES analysis, which included the silver.

In P&E’s opinion, the sample preparation, security, and analytical procedures relating to the Brucejack Project are satisfactory.

**Data Verification**

The Brucejack Project was visited by Mr. Fred Brown, CPG, Pr.Sci.Nat. from September 13 to 15, 2011. Independent verification sampling was done on diamond drill core, with ten samples distributed in nine holes collected for assay. An attempt was made to sample intervals from a variety of low and high-grade material. The chosen sample intervals were then sampled by taking the remaining half-split core. The samples were then documented, bagged, and sealed with packing tape and were brought by Mr. Brown to ALS in Terrace, British Columbia for analysis.

At no time, prior to the time of sampling, were any employees or other associates of Pretivm advised as to the location or identification of any of the samples to be collected.

**Quality Control**

During 2011, data was entered into a data capture tool suited for import to a database. Data were then sent digitally to Caroline Vallat at GeoSpark Consulting Inc. ("GeoSpark"). Updated sampling data was provided regularly, including down hole primary sample details as well as reference to duplicate samples and standards and blanks inserted throughout the sampling. The drill hole header, survey, and down hole attribute data was also provided on a regular basis. To the best of Geospark’s knowledge, the sample data was provided in their original digital state, and there is no reason to suspect any data tampering.
Data provided was loaded to a relational database suited for quality assurance and quality control ("QAQC") on the analytical results reported by ALS in Vancouver, British Columbia. The database was reviewed regularly to ensure that the data remained functional for use by eliminating down hole interval overlaps, records beyond end of hole depths, addressing any data entry issues related to the down hole attribute codes, etc. Updates were also made to the sampling table wherever QAQC measures revealed data entry issues related to the sample identities or details. For example, there were some issues where the wrong standard identity was entered and the returned analytical results clearly revealed the correct standard identity. All updates to sample identities were tracked within the database.

All analytical results were entered directly from ALS and SGS Canada ("SGS") assay certificates to a database managed by Geospark. The analytical results were then provided to Pretivm personnel for use internally. The analytical records in the 2011 Brucejack Project database exist as they were provided from the labs. The data were provided as they were originally produced and there was no need to perform manual verification.

Ongoing review of the analytical results took place in order to remedy any suspect analytical results. Re-analyses were requested from the lab whenever there was a question of the accuracy of results reported. In addition, internal lab repeat and field duplicates were reviewed in order to monitor the repeatability or precision of results reported. Any re-analyses were further reviewed and the results were assigned to the primary samples which were denoted with a suffix of 'R', meaning re-run.

Duplicates, standards, and blanks were inserted at approximately every twentieth sample and amount to 5.86 percent, 5.76 percent, and 5.98 percent relative to the total number of primary samples submitted to ALS. In addition, a representative set of check samples was submitted to SGS for analysis using similar analytical methods and techniques. The check samples serve to define any bias in the primary results.

This amount of QAQC data is sufficient to represent the quality of the sample analytical results reported by ALS. Ongoing documentation of the analytical result QAQC was provided to Pretivm. A summary QAQC report relating to the 2011 Brucejack Project analytical results was written by Caroline Vallat and is dated December 22, 2011 (the "Vallat Report"). An excerpt from the Vallat Report concludes that, "With consideration of inhomogeneity within the Brucejack Project mineralization as a function of narrow high-grade vein mineralization and thorough review of the analytical results reported on field duplicates, a satisfactory level of precision has been inferred for the primary sample results. Additionally, initial review of the internal lab repeat results at the time of analysis reporting has increased the confidence in results reported by ALS. Mineral concentrations reported on standard and blank materials have been consistently monitored in order to remove any concern of local contamination or instrumentation issues. The detailed review of the standard and blank results has inferred that there is strong accuracy in the primary sample results reported by ALS. Check sampling has shown that there is no need for concern of bias in the primary sample results reported. The QAQC measures taken and addressed herein have allowed for overall confidence in the analytical results reported for the 2011 Brucejack Project data."

Upon finalization of the 2011 Brucejack Project database maintained by GeoSpark, the data were provided directly to the authors of the Brucejack Report.

**Mining Operations**

The Brucejack Project is planned to be mined as an underground operation. The two lodes targeted for underground mining are the West Zone and VOK Zone. The underground operation is based on contemporary rubber tired, diesel powered mobile equipment. Truck haulage of rock will be via a decline ramp system. Production will be achieved through implementation of the longhole open stoping method with a combination of rock and paste backfills.

The underground mine will operate for 26 years, including two years of preproduction development, producing a total of 11.8 million tonnes of mineralized material. The nominal production rate is 1,500 tonnes per day with a life of mine average mill feed grade of 18.9 grams per tonne gold and 59.3 grams per tonne silver. The
underground mine design and inventory for both the West Zone and VOK Zone lodes are based on a net smelter return cut-off of C$180 per tonne of mineralized material.

**Metallurgical Test Work Review**

Several testing programs have been conducted to investigate the metallurgical performance of the mineralized samples. These programs included test work conducted in 2009 and early 2011 by Metallurgical Division at Inspectorate America Corp, as well as historical test work conducted between 1988 and 1990 completed by Cominco Engineering Services Ltd. Currently, Pretivm is conducting a comprehensive metallurgical test program to further assess the metallurgical performance of the mineralization to support a feasibility study. The ongoing test results are not included in this test work review.

The 2009 and 2011 preliminary metallurgical test work investigated the metallurgical responses of the mineral samples from various mineralization zones to bulk flotation, gravity concentration and cyanidation. The testing programs include open circuit process condition optimization and variability tests. The test results showed that the mineralization was amenable to a combined flowsheet consisting of gravity separation, flotation and cyanidation (including intensive leaching), for the recovery of gold and silver. The variability test results showed that the combined flowsheet could recover approximately 89 to 99% of the gold from the head samples containing approximately 1.79 g/t gold to 73.3 g/t gold.

The test work and mineralogical study also indicated that there is a significant amount of the gold in the mineralization present as free gold with a wide range of grain sizes. The gravity concentration would recover approximately 30% of the gold from the variability test samples. The grindability test results showed that the mineralization is moderately hard with an average Bond ball mill work index of 16.0 kilowatt hour per tonne.

Further test work to optimize the flotation, gravity concentration and cyanidation conditions is ongoing.

**Mineral Processing**

According to the test results, the process flowsheet for the Brucejack Project mineralization will be a combination of conventional bulk sulphide flotation, gravity concentration and cyanidation with gold and silver recovery by the Merrill-Crowe process. The process is developed to produce gold-silver doré.

There will be two process plants; a flotation plant at the mine site to produce bulk gold-silver flotation concentrate/gravity concentrate and a leach plant (cyanidation and recovery) at the leach plant site to produce gold-silver doré. The leach plant will be located east of the proposed mine site, next to Highway 37. The proposed process rate is 1,500 tonnes per day with an availability of 92% (365 days per year).

The mine site process plant will consist of two stages of crushing, primary grinding, gravity concentration and flotation processes to produce a gravity concentrate and a bulk flotation concentrate containing gold and silver. The produced bulk rougher/scavenger concentrate and the gravity concentrate will be dewatered and trucked to the leach plant by 20-tonne trucks.

The leach plant will consist of the bulk concentrate regrinding and gravity concentration, cyanidation and gold and silver recovery by the Merrill-Crowe process. The conventional cyanidation process will leach the reground rougher concentrates (after gravity concentration) to recover gold and silver. An intensive leach process is proposed to recover gold and silver from the tailings of the gravity cleaner concentration. The extracted gold and silver from the leaching circuits together with the high grade gravity concentrate from the tabling process will be refined on site to produce gold-silver doré.

A part of the final flotation tailings will be used for the underground backfilling and the rest will be discharged to the Brucejack Lake. The leach residues will be sent to the tailing storage facility after the residual cyanide is destructed.
Tailings, Waste and Water Management

Two tailing streams will be produced from two separate process plants: rougher flotation tailings from the mine site at Brucejack Lake and leach tailings from the leach plant located near Bell Irving River and Highway 37. Approximately 9.4 million tonnes of flotation tailings will be generated over the mine life. Approximately half of the flotation tailings will be paste backfilled to the underground, while the remaining tailings will be deposited in Brucejack Lake.

The tailings distribution line to the lake will be located on the south side of the lake. The pipeline will extend to a depth of approximately 70 metres and tailings will be deposited at the bottom of the lake. The flotation tailings are not anticipated to be acid generating.

The concentrate will be trucked from the mine site at Brucejack Lake to the leach plant for secondary processing. Approximately 2.4 million tonnes of leach tailings will be deposited as a slurry in a fully double lined side-hill tailings storage facility (“TSF”) located adjacent to the leach plant. A starter dam to store two years of production will be constructed initially to a height of 11 metres above the downstream toe. The dam will be raised over the mine life to a height of 26 metres above the downstream toe. The leach tailings are anticipated to be acid generating and water from the tailing storage facility will be treated prior to discharge.

Approximately 2.4 million tonnes of waste rock will be produced throughout the mine life. The majority of the waste rock will be deposited underground; however some waste rock will be deposited in Brucejack Lake. Any waste rock put into the lake will have a water cover to limit acid generation. It is assumed that the water decanted from the lake will be suitable for discharge and that waste rock will not leach metals at neutral pH.

Environmental Considerations

An initial review of environmental conditions and planned Brucejack Project features indicates that proactive design and mitigation can successfully address environmental impacts associated with developing, operating, and closing the proposed Brucejack Project.

As with other projects in the northern Coast Range of British Columbia, water management is a key issue for the Brucejack Project. Water contained in the waste rock and mill tailings will report to the Brucejack Lake with disposal of these wastes at depth. Brucejack Lake appears to be a fishless lake, however, second season of sampling is necessary to confirm this information. A suitable location with a reasonably small catchment for the leach TSF, greatly aids in water management. Diversion channels upslope of the TSF will divert most clean run-off flows around the main dam.

Throughout the Brucejack Project, Pretivm plans to involve First Nations in environmental plans to gain from their knowledge of the region, as well as to keep them informed of Brucejack Project goals.

Infrastructure

The mine site will be located west of the leach plant and will be accessible by the rehabilitated Newhawk access road, as described above. The leach plant site will be accessible by a planned permanent road constructed between a junction with Highway 37 and the leach plant site. Highway 37 passes approximately 8 kilometres from the planned leach plant site. At the mine site, a crushing facility will be designed to crush the mineralized material from the proposed mine. The mill will produce bulk gold-silver concentrate.

The main facilities at the mine site will consist of the following:

- primary and secondary crushing;
- primary grinding and flotation;
- concentrate dewatering and handling;
- backfill paste plant;
- warehouse building;
- truck shop;
- permanent camp integrated with offices; and
• utilities and water services.

The tailings produced from the process plant at the mine site will be backfilled to underground stopes or deposited in Brucejack Lake, located approximately 1 kilometre north of the process plant. The main facilities at the leach plant site will consist of the following:

• cyanide leaching and gold recovery process plant;
• emergency response and vehicle storage building;
• warehouse;
• permanent camp integrated with offices; and
• utilities and water services.

The leach tailings will be deposited in the TSF, located approximately 1 kilometre south of the leach plant.

**Power Supply and Distribution**

At the production rate of 1,500 tonnes per day, the operation load is estimated to be approximately 8 megawatts ±10%. The load will be divided between the mine site located near Brucejack Lake and the leach plant site located near Highway 37. Power for the mine and mill site will be provided by an overhead power transmission line. Two diesel generators, each rated 1.5 megawatt will provide power for the leach plant. A heat recovery system will be installed to recover the heat generated from the diesel generators for building heating at the leach plant site.

**Capital Cost Estimate**

The initial capital cost for the Brucejack Project was estimated at US$436.26 million with an expected accuracy range of ±35%. The capital cost summary is shown in Table 5, below:

**Table 5  Capital Cost Summary**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Total Cost (US$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Direct Works</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Site</td>
<td>11,355,122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mine Underground</td>
<td>114,344,196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mine Surface Works</td>
<td>13,892,222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mine Site Process</td>
<td>35,411,786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mine Site Utilities</td>
<td>56,635,194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mine Site Buildings</td>
<td>16,412,370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tailings</td>
<td>17,619,560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary Facilities</td>
<td>3,917,160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant Mobile Equipment (Mine Site)</td>
<td>3,733,781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leach Area</td>
<td>28,195,726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leach Area Utilities</td>
<td>16,608,277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leach Mine Buildings</td>
<td>6,506,949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary Facilities</td>
<td>1,405,026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant Mobile Equipment (Leach Site)</td>
<td>2,278,693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Direct Works Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>328,316,062</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Indirect Works

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indirect</td>
<td>58,212,020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner’s Costs</td>
<td>11,904,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>37,827,393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indirect Works Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>107,943,413</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>436,259,475</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Operating Cost Estimate

The total operating cost for the Brucejack Project is estimated at Cdn$170.90 per tonne milled. The estimate includes operating costs for conventional underground mining, process, material rehandling and general and administration and surface services. Tailings and residue disposal operating costs are included in the sustaining capital costs for the Brucejack Project. On average, a total of 268 personnel are projected for the operation, including 114 personnel for mining, 111 personnel for process, and 43 personnel for general management and surface services.

#### Economic Evaluation

The Brucejack PEA is preliminary in nature and includes inferred mineral resources that are considered too speculative geologically to have the economic considerations applied to them that would enable them to be categorized as mineral reserves. Mineral resources that are not mineral reserves have no demonstrated economic viability. There is no certainty that the Brucejack PEA will be realized.

The economic evaluation of the Brucejack Project contained in the Brucejack PEA was prepared by Tetra Tech based on a pre-tax financial model. For the 24-year life of mine and 11.8 million tonnes of mine plan tonnage, the following pre-tax financial parameters were calculated using base case metal prices of US$1,100/oz gold and US$21.00/oz silver and an exchange rate of 0.93:1:00 (US$:Cdn$):

- **29.8% internal rate of return ("IRR")**
- 4.1-year payback on US$436.3 million capital
- US$2,262 million net present value ("NPV") at 5% discount rate.

The post-tax economic evaluation of the Brucejack Project, using the base case prices as set out above, was calculated after the applicable taxes were applied to the estimated annual cash-flows. The following post-tax financial parameters were calculated:

- **25.0% IRR**
- 4.2-year payback on US$436.3 million capital
- US$1,454 million NPV at 5% discount rate.

Using the spot prices as at February 17, 2012, of US$1,733.60/oz gold and US$33.46/oz silver and an exchange rate of 0.997:1:00 (US$:Cdn$) the following pre-tax financial parameters were calculated also based on the 24-year life of mine and 11.8 million tonnes of ore:

- **43.4% internal rate of return ("IRR")**
- 3.2-year payback on US$436.3 million capital
- US$4,330 million net present value ("NPV") at 5% discount rate.

The post-tax economic evaluation of the Brucejack Project, using the spot prices as at February 17, 2012 as set out above, was calculated after the applicable taxes were applied to the estimated annual cash-flows. The following post-tax financial parameters were calculated:

- **36.5% IRR**
- 3.3-year payback on US$436.3 million capital
- US$2,808 million NPV at 5% discount rate.
Metal revenues included in the Brucejack Project cash flow model are based on the average metal production, as presented in Table 6, below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>Average Annual Production</th>
<th>Total Production</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Years 1 to 12</td>
<td>LOM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold ('000 oz)</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver ('000 oz)</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>710</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sensitivity analyses were carried out on the following parameters:

- gold price
- silver price
- exchange rate
- operating cost
- capital cost.

**Brucejack Project Development Plan**

The period from construction to introduction of first material into the mill will be approximately two years from the time approval is received from Pretivm’s board of directors. A further four to six months is planned for commissioning and ramping up of production. The Brucejack Project execution schedule was developed to provide a high-level overview of all activities required to complete the Brucejack Project.

The Brucejack PEA is preliminary in nature and includes inferred mineral resources that are considered too speculative geologically to have the economic considerations applied that would enable them to be categorized as mineral reserves. Mineral resources that are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. There is no certainty that the plans in the Brucejack PEA will be realized.

**DIVIDENDS**

We have not, since the date of incorporation, declared or paid any dividends on our common shares, and do not currently have a policy with respect to the payment of dividends. For the foreseeable future, we anticipate that we will retain future earnings and other cash resources for the operation and development of our business. The payment of dividends in the future will depend on the earnings, if any, and our financial condition and such other factors as our directors consider appropriate.

**DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STRUCTURE**

Our authorized share capital consists of an unlimited number of Common Shares, without par value, and an unlimited number of Preferred Shares, without par value, issuable in series. 88,123,136 Common Shares and no Preferred Shares were issued and outstanding as at the date of this AIF.

All of the Common Shares rank equally as to voting rights, participation in a distribution of the assets of the Company on a liquidation, dissolution or winding-up of the Company and entitlement to any dividends declared by the Company. The holders of the Common Shares are entitled to receive notice of, and to attend and vote at, all meetings of shareholders (other than meetings at which only holders of another class or series of shares are entitled to vote). Each Common Share carries the right to one vote. In the event of the liquidation, dissolution or winding-up of the Company, the holders of the Common Shares will be entitled to receive, on a pro rata basis, all of the assets remaining after the payment by the Company of all of its liabilities. The holders of Common Shares are entitled to receive any dividends declared by the Company in respect of the Common Shares, subject to the rights of holders of other classes ranking in priority to the Common Shares with respect to the payment of dividends, on a pro rata basis. Any alteration of the rights attached to the Common Shares must be approved by at least two-thirds of the Common Shares voted at a meeting of our shareholders.
TRADING PRICE AND VOLUME

Our Common Shares trade on the TSX and the NYSE under the symbol “PVG”. Our Common Shares commenced trading on the NYSE on January 12, 2012. The following table sets out the price ranges (high, low and close) and trading volume of our Common Shares as quoted on the TSX for each month our most recently completed financial year:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>High ($)</th>
<th>Low ($)</th>
<th>Close ($)</th>
<th>Volume (Shares)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>December 2011</td>
<td>13.48</td>
<td>11.06</td>
<td>12.51</td>
<td>7,926,386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 2011</td>
<td>12.24</td>
<td>8.27</td>
<td>12.24</td>
<td>5,761,115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 2011</td>
<td>10.50</td>
<td>8.86</td>
<td>9.90</td>
<td>3,058,182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 2011</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>9.95</td>
<td>9.98</td>
<td>5,372,099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 2011</td>
<td>10.98</td>
<td>9.07</td>
<td>10.07</td>
<td>3,167,542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 2011</td>
<td>11.24</td>
<td>9.06</td>
<td>10.22</td>
<td>2,851,057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2011</td>
<td>9.64</td>
<td>8.49</td>
<td>9.16</td>
<td>2,084,817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2011</td>
<td>9.74</td>
<td>7.89</td>
<td>9.61</td>
<td>2,170,644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2011</td>
<td>10.52</td>
<td>9.17</td>
<td>9.74</td>
<td>4,744,819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 2011</td>
<td>11.49</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>10.41</td>
<td>5,161,555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 2011</td>
<td>6.60</td>
<td>6.01</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>3,962,915</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The closing price of the Common Shares on the TSX and the NYSE on March 9, 2012, the last trading day before the date hereof, was $17.54 and US$17.71 per Common Share, respectively.

PRIOR SALES

Except with respect to stock options issued under the Company’s stock option plan as set out in the table below, the Company did not issue any securities in our most recent financial year that are of a class that is not listed or quoted for trading on a marketplace.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Granted</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Exercise Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January 28, 2011</td>
<td>1,575,000</td>
<td>$6.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 10, 2011</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>$8.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 16, 2011</td>
<td>655,000</td>
<td>$11.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 11, 2011</td>
<td>125,000</td>
<td>$9.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 2, 2011</td>
<td>275,000</td>
<td>$9.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 15, 2011</td>
<td>1,435,000</td>
<td>$11.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS

Name and Occupation

The following table sets forth the name of each of our directors and executive officers, their province or state and country of residence, their position(s) with the Company, their principal occupation during the preceding five years and the date they first became a director of the Company and the number of Common Shares held or controlled, directly or indirectly by such officer or director as of the date of this AIF. Each director’s term will expire immediately prior to the first annual meeting of shareholders.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name and Residence</th>
<th>Position(s) with the Company</th>
<th>Principal Occupation During Past Five Years</th>
<th>Director Since</th>
<th>Number of Common Shares and Warrants Held&lt;sup&gt;(3)&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Robert A. Quartermain</td>
<td>President and Chief Executive Officer and Director</td>
<td>President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company October 2010 – present Retired – January 2010 to October 2010 Chief Executive Officer, Silver Standard – January 1985 – January 2010.</td>
<td>October 22, 2010</td>
<td>2,876,353 Common Shares</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British Columbia, Canada</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter J.A. de Visser</td>
<td>Chief Financial Officer</td>
<td>Chief Financial Officer of the Company October 2010 to present Partner of DeVisser Gray LLP Chartered Accountants 1987 to December 2011</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>56,000 Common Shares</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British Columbia, Canada</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British Columbia, Canada</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenneth McNaughton</td>
<td>Vice President, Chief Exploration Officer</td>
<td>Vice President, Chief Exploration Officer of the Company – January 2011 to Present Vice President, Exploration, Silver Standard, July 1991 – January 2011.</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>525,500 Common Shares</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British Columbia, Canada</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British Columbia, Canada</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7,500 Warrants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name and Residence</td>
<td>Position(s) with the Company</td>
<td>Principal Occupation During Past Five Years</td>
<td>Director Since</td>
<td>Number of Common Shares and Warrants Held(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
(1) Member of the Audit Committee.
(2) Member of the Compensation and Corporate Governance Committee.
(3) Warrants to purchase Common Shares owned by Silver Standard at a price of $12.50 per Common Share, expiring April 7, 2012 (the “Warrants”).

Shareholdings of Directors and Senior Officers

Our directors and executive officers, as a group, beneficially own, control or direct, directly or indirectly, 3,858,228 Common Shares representing approximately 4.4% of the issued and outstanding Common Shares and hold options and Warrants to acquire an additional 5,402,500 Common Shares, representing approximately 5.7% of the Common Shares on a fully-diluted basis.

Cease Trade Orders, Bankruptcies, Penalties or Sanctions

None of our directors or executive officers is, as at the date hereof, or was within 10 years before the date hereof, a director, chief executive officer or chief financial officer of any company (including the Company) that (a) was subject to a cease trade order, an order similar to a cease trade order or an order that denied the relevant issuer access to any exemption under securities legislation, that was in effect for a period or more than 30 consecutive days (a “Cease Trade Order”) that was issued while the director or executive officer was acting in the capacity as director, chief executive officer or chief financial officer of such issuer, or (b) was subject to a Cease Trade Order that was issued after the director or executive officer ceased to be a director, chief executive officer or chief financial officer and which resulted from an event that occurred while that person was acting in the capacity as director, chief executive officer or chief financial officer.
None of our directors or executive officers, nor, to our knowledge, any shareholder holding a sufficient number of our securities to affect materially the control of the Company (a) is, as at the date hereof, or has been within the 10 years before the date hereof, a director or executive officer of any company (including ours) that, while that person was acting in that capacity, or within a year of that person ceasing to act in that capacity, became bankrupt, made a proposal under any legislation relating to bankruptcy or insolvency or was subject to or instituted any proceedings, arrangement or compromise with creditors or had a receiver, receiver manager or trustee appointed to hold its assets, or (b) has, within the 10 years before the date hereof, become bankrupt, made a proposal under any legislation relating to bankruptcy or insolvency, or become subject to or instituted any proceedings, arrangement or compromise with creditors, or had a receiver, receiver manager or trustee appointed to hold the assets of such director, executive officer or shareholder.

None of our directors or executive officers, nor, to our knowledge, any shareholder holding a sufficient number of our securities to affect materially the control of the Company, has been subject to (a) any penalties or sanctions imposed by a court relating to securities legislation or by a securities regulatory authority or has entered into a settlement agreement with a securities regulatory authority, or (b) any other penalties or sanctions imposed by a court or regulatory body that would likely be considered important to a reasonable investor in making an investment decision.

Conflicts of Interest

To the best of our knowledge, there are no known existing or potential conflicts of interest between the Company and any of our directors or officers as a result of such individual’s outside business interests at the date hereof. However, certain of our directors and officers are, or may become, directors or officers of other companies, including in particular Silver Standard, with businesses which may conflict with the our business. Accordingly, conflicts of interest may arise which could influence these individuals in evaluating possible acquisitions or in generally acting on behalf of the Company. Pursuant to the BCBCA, directors are required to act honestly and in good faith with a view to the best interests of the Company. As required under the BCBCA and our Articles:

A director or executive officer who holds any office or possesses any property, right or interest that could result, directly or indirectly, in the creation of a duty or interest that materially conflicts with that individual’s duty or interest as a director or executive officer of the Company, must promptly disclose the nature and extent of that conflict.

A director who holds a disclosable interest (as that term is used in the BCBCA) in a contract or transaction into which the Company has entered or proposes to enter may generally not vote on any directors’ resolution to approve the contract or transaction.

Generally, as a matter of practice, directors or executive officers who have disclosed a material interest in any transaction or agreement that our Board is considering will not take part in any Board discussion respecting that contract or transaction. If on occasion such directors do participate in the discussions, they will abstain from voting on any matters relating to matters in which they have disclosed a material interest. In appropriate cases, we will establish a special committee of independent directors to review a matter in which directors, or management, may have a conflict.

AUDIT COMMITTEE INFORMATION

Under National Instrument 52-110 (“NI 52-110”) companies are required to provide disclosure with respect to their audit committee including the text of the audit committee’s charter, the composition of the audit committee and the fees paid to the external auditor. The text of the Company’s audit committee’s charter is attached as Appendix 1 to this AIF.

The Company’s current audit committee is comprised of Ross Mitchell (Chair), Christopher Noel Dunn and Tom S.Q. Yip, all of whom are independent and financially literate as such terms are defined in NI 52-110.
The education and experience of each Audit Committee member that is relevant to the performance of his responsibilities as a member of the Audit Committee are as follows:

Ross Mitchell is a Chartered Accountant who has over thirty years of experience holding senior finance positions in both mining and mineral exploration companies. He was Vice President, Finance of Westmin Resources Inc. from 1989 to 1995. In 1996, he became Vice President, Finance of Silver Standard and held this position until his retirement in 2007. He earned a Bachelor of Commerce degree from the University of British Columbia in 1971 and a Chartered Accountant designation from the Institute of Chartered Accountants of British Columbia in 1973.

Christopher Noel Dunn is currently a Managing Director of Liberty Mining & Metals, a subsidiary of Liberty Mutual Investments, focused on investing in early stage mining companies. He is a limited partner of Niantic Partners LLC, a private equity partnership investing in commercial real estate. Prior to these posts, Mr. Dunn was at JP Morgan from May 2008 to February 2009 and at Bear Stearns from May 2001 to May 2008, as a leader of their respective investment banking practices in mining and metals. From September 1988 to May 1999, Mr. Dunn was a Managing Director of Goldman Sachs, managing a capital underwriting business in London. Mr. Dunn holds a Master of Arts degree from the University of Edinburgh and a Master of Science degree from the University of Durham.

Tom S.Q. Yip has over 25 years of experience in all aspects of financial management with both private and publicly traded resource companies. Mr Yip is currently the Chief Financial Officer of International Tower Hill Mines Ltd. From 2007 to 2011, Mr. Yip served as the Chief Financial Officer for Silver Standard. Prior to that, he served as the Chief Financial Officer of Asarco, LLC, a copper mining, smelting and refining company, from 2006 to 2007. He began his career in the mining industry with Echo Bay Mines Ltd., where he worked for 20 years holding various financial roles of increasing responsibility, including Principal Accounting Officer and then Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Yip is a Chartered Accountant and holds a Bachelor of Commerce degree in Business Administration from the University of Alberta.

Pre-approval Policy

The Audit Committee meets with the CEO and CFO of the Company and the independent auditors to review and inquire into matters affecting financial reporting, the system of internal accounting and financial controls and procedures and the audit procedures and audit plans. The Audit Committee also recommends to the Board the auditors to be appointed, subject to shareholder approval. In addition, the Audit Committee reviews and recommends to the Board for approval the annual financial statements, the annual report and certain other documents required by regulatory authorities.

The chair of the Audit Committee is generally responsible for overseeing the Audit Committee in its responsibilities as outlined in the Audit Committee Charter. The chair’s duties and responsibilities include presiding at each meeting of the Audit Committee, referring specific matters to the Board in the case of a deadlock on any matter or vote, receiving and responding to all requests for information from the Company or the independent auditors, leading the Audit Committee in discharging its tasks and reporting to the Board on the activities of the Audit Committee.

External Auditor Service Fees

The aggregate fees billed by the Company’s external auditors in respect of the last two financial years are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Audit Fees(1)</td>
<td>$31,536</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audit-Related Fees</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>$44,625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Fees</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Other Fees</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
(1) Audits of the Company’s consolidated financial statements, meetings with the Audit Committee and management with respect to quarterly filings, consulting and accounting standards and transactions, issuance of consent in connection with Canadian and United States securities filings.
(2) Audit-related fees were paid for assurance and related services by the auditors that were reasonably related to the performance of the audit or the review of the Company’s financial statements that are not included in Audit Fees.

(3) Tax compliance, taxation advice and tax planning.

**RISK FACTORS**

*Investing in the securities is speculative and involves a high degree of risk due to the nature of our business and the present stage of exploration of our mineral properties. The following risk factors, as well as risks currently unknown to us, could materially adversely affect our future business, operations and financial condition and could cause them to differ materially from the estimates described in forward-looking information relating to the Company, or its business, property or financial results, each of which could cause purchasers of securities to lose part or all of their investment. You should carefully consider the following risk factors along with other risk factors included elsewhere in the AIF.*

**Risks Related to the Business of the Company**

*We have no mineral properties in production or under development and even if the development of any of our properties is found to be economically feasible, we will be subject to all of the risks associated with establishing new mining operations.*

We do not currently have mineral properties under development. Even if the future development of any of our properties is found to be economically feasible, and the development of which is approved by the Board, such development will require the construction and operation of mines, processing plants and related infrastructure. As a result, we are and will continue to be subject to all of the risks associated with establishing new mining operations, including:

- the timing and cost, which can be considerable, of the construction of mining and processing facilities;
- the availability and cost of skilled labour, mining equipment and principal supplies needed for operations;
- the availability and cost of appropriate smelting and refining arrangements;
- the need to obtain necessary environmental and other governmental approvals and permits and the timing of the receipt of those approvals and permits;
- the availability of funds to finance construction and development activities;
- potential opposition from non-governmental organizations, First Nations, environmental groups, local groups or other stakeholders which may delay or prevent development activities; and
- potential increases in construction and operating costs due to changes in the cost of fuel, power, materials and supplies.

The costs, timing and complexities of developing our projects may be greater than anticipated because the majority of such property interests are not located in developed areas, and, as a result, our property interests may not be served by appropriate road access, water and power supply and other support infrastructure. Cost estimates may increase as more detailed engineering work is completed on a project. It is common in new mining operations to experience unexpected costs, problems and delays during construction, development and mine start-up. Accordingly, we cannot provide assurance that our activities will result in profitable mining operations at our mineral properties.

*We are an exploration stage company that has no history of production and no revenue from operations. We cannot provide assurance that we will generate any operating revenues at our mineral properties in the future.*

We are an exploration company and all of our properties are in the exploration stage. We have a very limited history of operations and to date have generated no revenue from operations. As such, we are subject to many risks common to such enterprises, including under-capitalization, cash shortages, limitations with respect to personnel, financial and other resources and lack of revenues. We have not defined or delineated any proven or probable mineral reserves on any of our exploration stage properties. Mineral exploration involves significant risk, since few properties that are explored contain bodies of ore that would be commercially economic to develop into producing mines.
We anticipate that we will continue to incur exploration and development costs without realizing any revenues for the foreseeable future. We expect to continue to incur losses unless and until such time as one or more of our mineral properties enters into commercial production and generates sufficient revenues to fund our continuing operations. If we are unable to generate significant revenues at the Brucejack Project, we will not be able to earn profits or continue operations. We cannot provide investors with any assurance that we will ever develop a mine at the Brucejack Project.

*We may not have sufficient funds to develop our mineral properties or to complete further exploration programs.*

We are an exploration company with limited financial resources. We currently generate no operating revenue, and must primarily finance exploration activity and the development of mineral properties by other means. In the future, our ability to continue exploration, and development and production activities, if any, will depend on our ability to obtain additional external financing. Any unexpected costs, problems or delays could severely impact our ability to continue exploration and development activities.

The sources of external financing that we may use for these purposes include project or bank financing, or public or private offerings of equity and debt. In addition, we may enter into one or more strategic alliances or joint ventures, decide to sell certain property interests, or utilize one or a combination of all of these alternatives. The financing alternative we choose may not be available on acceptable terms, or at all. If additional financing is not available, we may have to postpone the further exploration or development of, or sell, one or more of our principal properties. Furthermore, even if we raise sufficient additional capital, there can be no assurance that we will achieve profitability or positive cash flow. In addition, any future equity offering will further dilute your equity interest in us and any future debt financing will require us to dedicate a portion of our cash flow to payments on indebtedness and will limit our flexibility in planning for or reacting to changes in our business.

*We are dependent on the Brucejack Project for our future operating revenue.*

Our only material property for the purposes of NI 43-101 is the Brucejack Project, which has a limited life based on mineral resource estimates. There are no established mineral reserve estimates with respect to the Brucejack Project. Mineral resources are not mineral reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability. In order to be able to develop a mine and commence production, we will be required to replace and expand our mineral resources and obtain mineral reserves. In the absence of additional mineral projects, the Company will be solely dependent upon the Brucejack Project for its revenue and profits, if any. In addition, development costs are difficult to predict and may render the development of the Brucejack Project financially unfeasible. Should the development of the Brucejack Project turn out to be not possible or practicable, for political, engineering, technical, economic, legal or other reasons, our business and financial position will be significantly and adversely affected.

*Mineral resource and reserve calculations are only estimates.*

Any figures presented for mineral resources in this AIF or in any document incorporated by reference herein, any figures for mineral resources which may be presented in the future or any figures for mineral reserves that may be presented by us in the future are and will only be estimates. There is a degree of uncertainty attributable to the calculation of mineral reserves and mineral resources. Until mineral reserves or mineral resources are actually mined and processed, the quantity of metal and grades must be considered as estimates only and no assurances can be given that the indicated levels of metals will be produced. In making determinations about whether to advance any of our projects to development, we must rely upon estimated calculations as to the mineral resources and grades of mineralization on our properties.

The estimating of mineral reserves and mineral resources is a subjective process that relies on the judgment of the persons preparing the estimates. The process relies on the quantity and quality of available data and is based on knowledge, mining experience, analysis of drilling results and industry practices. Valid estimates made at a given time may significantly change when new information becomes available. By their nature, mineral resource
estimates are imprecise and depend, to a certain extent, upon analysis of drilling results and statistical inferences that may ultimately prove to be inaccurate.

Estimated mineral reserves or mineral resources may have to be recalculated based on changes in mineral prices, further exploration or development activity or actual production experience. This could materially and adversely affect estimates of the volume or grade of mineralization, estimated recovery rates or other important factors that influence mineral reserve or resource estimates. The extent to which resources may ultimately be reclassified as proven or probable mineral reserves is dependent upon the demonstration of their profitable recovery. Any material changes in mineral resource estimates and grades of mineralization will affect the economic viability of placing a property into production and a property’s return on capital. We cannot provide assurance that mineralization can be mined or processed profitably.

Our mineral resource estimates have been determined and valued based on assumed future metal prices, cut-off grades and operating costs that may prove to be inaccurate. Extended declines in market prices for gold and silver may render portions of our mineralization uneconomic and result in reduced reported mineral resources, which in turn could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition. We cannot provide assurance that mineral recovery rates achieved in small scale tests will be duplicated in large scale tests under on-site conditions or in production scale. In addition, if our projects produce concentrate for which there is no market, specifically, with respect to concentrate containing rhenium, this may have an impact on the economic model for the Brucejack Project. A reduction in any resources that may be estimated by us in the future could have an adverse impact on our future cash flows, earnings, results of operations and financial condition.

No assurances can be given that any mineral resource estimates for the Brucejack Project will ultimately be reclassified as proven or probable mineral reserves. The failure to establish proven and probable mineral reserves could restrict our ability to successfully implement our strategies for long-term growth and may impact future cash flows, earnings, results of operation and financial condition.

Uncertainty exists related to mineral resources.

There is a risk that inferred mineral resources referred to in this AIF cannot be converted into measured or indicated mineral resources as there may be limited ability to assess geological continuity. In addition, there is no assurance that any mineral resources will, as a result of continued exploration, be determined to have sufficient geological continuity so as to be upgraded to constitute proven and probable mineral reserves.

Changes in the market price of gold and other metals, which in the past have fluctuated widely, may materially and adversely affect our revenues and the value of our mineral properties.

Our profitability and long-term viability will depend, in large part, on the market price of gold and silver. The market prices for these metals are volatile and are affected by numerous factors beyond our control, including:

- global or regional consumption patterns;
- the supply of, and demand for, these metals;
- speculative activities;
- the availability and costs of metal substitutes;
- expectations for inflation; and
- political and economic conditions, including interest rates and currency values.

We cannot predict the effect of these factors on metal prices. A decrease in the market price of gold and other metals could affect our ability to finance the exploration and development of any of our mineral properties. The market price of gold and other metals may not remain at current levels. In particular, an increase in worldwide supply, and consequent downward pressure on prices, may result over the longer term from increased gold production from mines developed or expanded as a result of current metal price levels. A sustained period of declining gold and other metal prices would adversely affect our financial performance, financial position and results of operations.
We may incur losses for the foreseeable future.

We expect to incur losses unless and until such time as our mineral projects generate sufficient revenues to fund continuing operations. The exploration and development of our mineral properties will require the commitment of substantial financial resources that may not be available. The amount and timing of expenditures will depend on a number of factors, including the progress of ongoing exploration and development, the results of consultants’ analyses and recommendations, the rate at which operating losses are incurred, the execution of any joint venture agreements with strategic partners and the acquisition of additional property interests, some of which are beyond our control. We cannot provide assurance that we will ever achieve profitability.

General market events and conditions may adversely affect our business and industry.

In 2007 and into 2008, the U.S. credit markets began to experience serious disruption due to a deterioration in residential property values, defaults and delinquencies in the residential mortgage market (particularly, sub-prime and non-prime mortgages) and a decline in the credit quality of mortgage-backed securities. These problems led to a slow-down in residential housing market transactions, declining housing prices, delinquencies in non-mortgage consumer credit and a general decline in consumer confidence. These conditions continued and worsened in 2008 and early 2009, causing a loss of confidence in the U.S. and global credit and financial markets and resulting in the collapse of, and government intervention in, major banks and other financial institutions and insurers, and creating a climate of greater volatility, less liquidity, widening of credit spreads, a lack of price transparency, increased credit losses and tighter credit conditions. Notwithstanding various actions by the U.S. and other governments, concerns about the general condition of the capital markets, financial instruments, banks, investment banks, insurers and other financial institutions caused the broader credit markets to further deteriorate and stock markets to decline substantially. Since such time, there has been no broad and consistent improvement in general economic indicators, including employment levels, announced corporate earnings, economic growth and consumer confidence. Any or all of these market events and conditions may adversely affect our business and industry.

General economic conditions may adversely affect our growth, profitability and ability to obtain financing.

The unprecedented events in global financial markets in the past several years have had a profound impact on the global economy. Many industries, including the gold mining industry, are impacted by these market conditions. Some of the key impacts of the current financial market turmoil include contraction in credit markets resulting in a widening of credit risk, devaluations, high volatility in global equity, commodity, foreign exchange and precious metal markets and a lack of market liquidity. A continued or worsened slowdown in the financial markets or other economic conditions, including but not limited to, consumer spending, employment rates, business conditions, inflation, fuel and energy costs, consumer debt levels, lack of available credit, the state of the financial markets, interest rates and tax rates, may adversely affect our growth and profitability. A number of issues related to economic conditions could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations, specifically:

- the global credit/liquidity crisis could impact the cost and availability of financing and our overall liquidity;
- the volatility of gold and other metal prices would impact our revenues, profits, losses and cash flow;
- continued recessionary pressures could adversely impact demand for our production;
- volatile energy, commodity and consumables prices and currency exchange rates would impact our production costs; and
- the devaluation and volatility of global stock markets would impact the valuation of our equity and other securities.

Mining is inherently risky and subject to conditions or events beyond our control.

The development and operation of a mine or mine property is inherently dangerous and involves many risks that even a combination of experience, knowledge and careful evaluation may not be able to overcome, including:

- unusual or unexpected geological formations;
metallurgical and other processing problems;
metal losses;
environmental hazards;
power outages;
labour disruptions;
industrial accidents;
periodic interruptions due to inclement or hazardous weather conditions;
flooding, explosions, fire, rockbursts, cave-ins and landslides;
mechanical equipment and facility performance problems;
avalanches; and
the availability of materials and equipment.

These risks could result in damage to, or destruction of, mineral properties, production facilities or other properties, personal injury or death, including to our employees, environmental damage, delays in mining, increased production costs, asset write downs, monetary losses and possible legal liability. We may not be able to obtain insurance to cover these risks at economically feasible premiums, or at all. Insurance against certain environmental risks, including potential liability for pollution and other hazards as a result of the disposal of waste products occurring from production, is not generally available to companies within the mining industry. We may suffer a material adverse impact on our business if we incur losses related to any significant events that are not covered by our insurance policies.

We cannot provide assurance that we currently hold or will successfully acquire commercially mineable mineral rights.

Exploration for and development of gold properties involves significant financial risks which even a combination of careful evaluation, experience and knowledge may not eliminate. While the discovery of an ore body may result in substantial rewards, few properties which are explored are ultimately developed into producing mines. Major expenses may be required to establish mineral reserves by drilling, constructing mining and processing facilities at a site, developing metallurgical processes and extracting gold from ore. We cannot ensure that our current exploration and development programs will result in profitable commercial mining operations.

The economic feasibility of development projects is based upon many factors, including the accuracy of mineral resource and mineral reserve estimates; metallurgical recoveries; capital and operating costs; government regulations relating to prices, taxes, royalties, land tenure, land use, importing and exporting and environmental management and protection; and gold prices, which are highly volatile. Development projects are also subject to the successful completion of feasibility studies, issuance of necessary governmental permits and availability of adequate financing.

Most exploration projects do not result in the discovery of commercially mineable ore deposits, and no assurance can be given that any anticipated level of recovery of ore reserves, if any, will be realized or that any identified mineral deposit will ever qualify as a commercially mineable (or viable) ore body which can be legally and economically exploited. Estimates of mineral reserves, mineral resources, mineral deposits and production costs can also be affected by such factors as environmental permitting regulations and requirements, weather, environmental factors, unforeseen technical difficulties, the metallurgy of the mineralization forming the mineral deposit, unusual or unexpected geological formations and work interruptions. If current exploration programs do not result in the discovery of commercial ore, we may need to write-off part or all of our investment in existing exploration stage properties.

Material changes in ore reserves, if any, grades, stripping ratios or recovery rates may affect the economic viability of any project. Our future growth and productivity will depend, in part, on our ability to develop commercially mineable mineral rights at our existing properties or identify and acquire other commercially mineable mineral rights, and on the costs and results of continued exploration and potential development programs. Mineral exploration is highly speculative in nature and is frequently non-productive. Substantial expenditures are required to:

- establish ore reserves through drilling and metallurgical and other testing techniques;
• determine metal content and metallurgical recovery processes to extract metal from the ore; and
• construct, renovate or expand mining and processing facilities.

In addition, if we discover ore, it would take several years from the initial phases of exploration until production is possible. During this time, the economic feasibility of production may change. As a result of these uncertainties, there can be no assurance that we currently hold or will successfully acquire commercially mineable (or viable) mineral rights.

We are subject to significant governmental regulations.

Our exploration activities are subject to extensive federal, provincial and local laws, regulations and policies governing various matters, including:

• environmental protection;
• the management and use of toxic substances and explosives;
• management of tailings and other wastes;
• the management of natural resources and land;
• the exploration and development of mineral properties;
• mine construction;
• mine production and post-closure reclamation;
• exports;
• price controls;
• taxation and mining royalties;
• labour standards and occupational health and safety, including mine safety; and
• historic and cultural preservation.

Failure to comply with applicable laws and regulations may result in civil or criminal fines or penalties or enforcement actions, including orders issued by regulatory or judicial authorities enjoining or curtailing operations or requiring corrective measures, installation of additional equipment or remedial actions, any of which could result in significant expenditures. We may also be required to compensate private parties suffering loss or damage by reason of a breach of such laws, regulations or permitting requirements. It is also possible that future laws and regulations, or more stringent enforcement of current laws and regulations by governmental authorities, could cause us to incur additional expense or capital expenditure restrictions or suspensions of our activities and delays in the exploration and development of our properties.

We require further rights and permits in order to conduct current and anticipated future operations, and delays in obtaining or failure to obtain such rights and permits, or a failure to comply with the terms of any such permits that we have obtained, could adversely affect our business.

Our current and anticipated future operations, including further exploration, development and commencement of production on our mineral properties, require permits from various governmental authorities. Obtaining or renewing governmental permits is a complex and time-consuming process. The duration and success of efforts to obtain and renew permits are contingent upon many variables not within our control. Shortages of personnel in various levels of government could result in delays or inefficiencies. Backlog within permitting agencies affected by the number of other large-scale projects currently in a more advanced stage of development could slow down the review process and adversely effect the permitting timeline of our projects. Negative public and stakeholder opinion is another factor that could affect the permitting timeline. As well, the specific permitting requirements that will ultimately apply to any project are difficult to correctly assess at the exploration and development stage. In addition, our future development plans may require us to obtain the necessary surface rights from the owners of such rights in order to complete the development of our projects.

We cannot provide assurance that all rights and permits that we require for our operations, including any for construction of mining facilities or conduct of mining, will be obtainable or renewable on reasonable terms, or at all. In particular, we will require environmental assessments under federal and provincial legislation and specific permits and authorizations, including for the disposal of tailings from the Brucejack Project into Brucejack Lake.
Delays or a failure to obtain such required permits, or the expiry, revocation or failure to comply with the terms of any such permits that we have obtained, would adversely affect our business.

**Our activities are subject to environmental laws and regulations that may increase our costs and restrict our operations.**

All of our exploration, development and production activities are subject to regulation by governmental agencies under various environmental laws. These laws address emissions into the air, discharges into water, management of waste, management of hazardous substances, protection of natural resources, antiquities and endangered species and reclamation of lands disturbed by mining operations. Environmental legislation is evolving and the general trend has been towards stricter standards and enforcement, increased fines and penalties for noncompliance, more stringent environmental assessments of proposed projects and increasing responsibility for companies and their officers, directors and employees. Compliance with environmental laws and regulations may require significant capital outlays on our behalf and may cause material changes or delays in our intended activities. Future changes in these laws or regulations could have a significant adverse impact on some portion of our business, requiring us to re-evaluate those activities at that time.

Environmental hazards may exist on our properties that are unknown to us at the present time and have been caused by previous owners or operators or that may have occurred naturally. We may be liable for remediating such damage.

Failure to comply with applicable environmental laws, regulations and permitting requirements may result in enforcement actions thereunder, including orders issued by regulatory or judicial authorities, causing operations to cease or be curtailed. Such enforcement actions may include the imposition of corrective measures requiring capital expenditure, installation of new equipment or remedial action.

**There is uncertainty related to unsettled First Nations rights and title in British Columbia and this may create delays in project approval or interruptions in project progress.**

The nature and extent of First Nations rights and title remains the subject of active debate, claims and litigation in British Columbia. First Nations in British Columbia have made claims of aboriginal rights and title to substantial portions of land and water in the province, including areas where the Company’s operations are situated, creating uncertainty as to the status of competing property rights. The Supreme Court of Canada has held that aboriginal groups may have a spectrum of aboriginal rights in lands that have been traditionally used or occupied by their ancestors. Such aboriginal rights and title are not absolute and may be infringed by government in furtherance of a legislative objective, subject to meeting a justification test. However, decisions of the Supreme Court of Canada and the British Columbia Supreme Court cast doubt on the provincial government’s ability to justify infringements of treaty rights and aboriginal title, respectively. The effect of such claims on any particular area of land will not be determinable until the exact nature of historical use, occupancy and rights to such property have been clarified by a decision of the Courts or definition in a treaty. First Nations in the province are seeking settlements including compensation from governments with respect to these claims, and the effect of these claims cannot be estimated at this time. The federal and provincial governments have been seeking to negotiate settlements with aboriginal groups throughout British Columbia in order to resolve many of these claims. Any settlements that may result from these negotiations may involve a combination of cash, resources, grants of conditional rights to undertake traditional pursuits (like hunting, gathering, trapping and fishing) on public lands, and some rights of self-government. The issues surrounding aboriginal title and rights are not likely to be resolved in the near future.

In a landmark decision in 2004, the Supreme Court of Canada determined that there is a duty on government to consult with and, where appropriate, accommodate First Nations where government decisions may impact on claimed, but as yet unproven, aboriginal rights or title. This decision also provided much needed clarification of the duties of consultation and accommodation. This decision was re-enforced in a 2010 decision of the Supreme Court of Canada, in which the Court re-affirmed and re-stated the test for determining when the duty to consult arises. The Court has made clear that third parties are not responsible for consultation or accommodation of aboriginal interests and that this responsibility lies with government. However, government permits, including...
environmental and mine permits, will not be granted by provincial and federal agencies unless they are satisfied that the duty to consult and accommodate has been fully met. In 2005, the Supreme Court of Canada confirmed that this duty exists with respect to claimed treaty rights.

A portion of the Brucejack Project lies within traditional First Nation territory and in the Nass Area, as defined in the final Agreement between the Nisga’a First Nation and the federal and provincial governments, which came into effect on May 11, 2000 (the “Final Agreement”). However, there may be overlapping claims by other First Nations. Given the unsettled nature of land claims and treaty rights in British Columbia, as well as the rights of the Nisga’a under the Nisga’a Final Agreement, there can be no guarantee that there will not be delays in project approval, unexpected interruptions in project progress, or additional costs to advance the Company’s projects.

In order to facilitate mine permitting, construction and the commencement of mining activities, the Company may deem it necessary and prudent to try to obtain the cooperation and approval of the local First Nations groups. Any cooperation and approval may be predicated on our committing to take measures to limit the adverse impacts on local First Nations groups and ensuring that some of the economic benefits of the construction and mining activity will be enjoyed by the local First Nations groups. There can be no guarantee that any of our efforts to secure such cooperation or approval would be successful or that the assertion of First Nations rights and title, or claims of insufficient consultation or accommodation, will not create delays in project approval or unexpected interruptions in project progress, or result in additional costs to advance our projects.

**Our properties may be subject to uncertain title.**

We cannot provide assurance that title to our properties will not be challenged. We hold mineral claims which constitute our property holdings. We may not have, or may not be able to obtain, all necessary surface rights to develop a mineral property. Title insurance is generally not available for mineral properties and our ability to ensure that we have obtained a secure claim to individual mining properties may be severely constrained. We have not conducted surveys of all of the claims in which we hold direct or indirect interests. A successful claim contesting our title to a property could cause us to lose our rights to explore and, if warranted, develop that property or undertake or continue production thereon. This could also result in our not being compensated for our prior expenditures relating to such property.

**Land reclamation requirements for our exploration properties may be burdensome.**

Land reclamation requirements are generally imposed on mineral exploration companies (as well as companies with mining operations) in order to minimize long term effects of land disturbance. Reclamation may include requirements to treat ground and surface water to drinking water standards, control dispersion of potentially deleterious effluent and reasonably re-establish pre-disturbance land forms and vegetation. In order to carry out reclamation obligations imposed on us in connection with exploration, development and production activities, we must allocate financial resources that might otherwise be spent on further exploration and development programs. The actual costs of reclamation and mine closure are uncertain and planned expenditures may differ from the actual expenditures required. Therefore, the amount that we are required to spend may be materially higher than our estimates. Any additional amounts we are required to spend on reclamation and mine closure may have a material adverse effect on our financial performance, financial condition and results of operations.

**We may fail to identify attractive acquisition candidates or may fail to successfully integrate acquired material properties.**

We may actively pursue the acquisition of exploration, development and production assets consistent with our acquisition and growth strategy. The identification of attractive candidates and integration of acquired properties, assets or entities involve inherent risks, including but not limited to:

- accurately assessing the value, strengths, weaknesses, contingent and other liabilities and potential profitability of acquisition candidates;
- ability to achieve identified and anticipated operating and financial synergies;
- unanticipated costs;
- diversion of management attention from existing business;
potential loss of our key employees or key employees of any business acquired;
• unanticipated changes in business, industry or general economic conditions that affect the assumptions underlying the acquisition; and
• decline in the value of acquired properties, companies or securities.

Any one or more of these factors or other risks could cause us not to realize the anticipated benefits of an acquisition of properties or companies, and could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition.

In connection with any future acquisitions, we may incur indebtedness or issue equity securities, resulting in increased interest expense or dilution of the percentage ownership of existing shareholders. Acquisition costs, additional indebtedness or issuances of securities in connection with such acquisitions, may adversely affect the price of our common stock and negatively affect our results of operations.

We may be adversely affected by future fluctuations in foreign exchange rates.

Our potential profitability is exposed to the financial risk related to the fluctuation of foreign exchange rates. The minerals that could be produced from our projects are priced in U.S. dollars but, since our only projects are located in Canada, the majority of our estimated expenditures are in Canadian dollars. A significant change in the currency exchange rates between the Canadian dollar relative to the U.S. dollar will have an effect on the potential profitability of our projects and therefore our ability to continue to finance our operations. To the extent that the actual Canadian dollar to U.S. dollar exchange rate is less than or more than the rate estimated in any future development plans, the profitability of our projects will be affected. Accordingly, our prospects may suffer due to adverse currency fluctuations.

High metal prices in recent years have encouraged increased mining exploration, development and construction activity, which has increased demand for, and cost of, exploration, development and construction services and equipment.

The relative strength of metal prices over the past five years has encouraged increases in mining exploration, development and construction activities around the world, which has resulted in increased demand for, and cost of, exploration, development and construction services and equipment. While recent market conditions have had a moderating effect on the costs of such services and equipment, increases in such costs may continue with the resumption of an upward trend in metal prices. Increased demand for services and equipment could result in delays if services or equipment cannot be obtained in a timely manner due to inadequate availability, and may cause scheduling difficulties due to the need to coordinate the availability of services or equipment, any of which could materially increase project exploration, development and/or construction costs.

The mining industry is very competitive.

We compete with other exploration and producing companies, many of which are better capitalized, have greater financial resources, operational experience and technical capabilities or are further advanced in their development or are significantly larger and have access to greater mineral reserves, for the acquisition of mineral claims, leases and other mineral interests as well as for the recruitment and retention of qualified employees and other personnel. If we require and are unsuccessful in acquiring additional mineral properties or qualified personnel, we will not be able to grow at the rate we desire, or at all.

Our competitors may be able to devote greater resources to the expansion and efficiency of their operations or respond more quickly to new laws and regulations or emerging technologies than we can. We may not be able to compete successfully against current and future competitors, and any failure to do so could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

We may experience difficulty attracting and retaining qualified management to grow our business.

We are dependent on the services of key executives and other highly skilled and experienced personnel to advance our corporate objectives as well as the identification of new opportunities for growth and funding. Robert A. Quartermain, Joseph J. Ovsenek, Kenneth McNaughton and Peter de Visser are currently our key
executives. It will be necessary for us to recruit additional skilled and experienced management and personnel. Our inability to do so, or the loss of Mr. Quartermain, or any of our key executives, or our inability to attract and retain suitable replacements for such executives or the additional highly skilled employees required for our activities, would have a material adverse effect on our business and financial condition.

**Some of our directors and officers have conflicts of interest as a result of their involvement with other natural resource companies.**

Certain of our directors and officers also serve as directors or officers, or have significant shareholdings in, other companies involved in natural resource exploration and development or mining-related activities, including, in particular, Silver Standard. To the extent that such other companies may participate in ventures that we may also participate in, or in ventures that we may seek to participate in, our directors and officers may have a conflict of interest in negotiating and concluding terms respecting the extent of such participation. In all cases where our directors and officers have an interest in other companies, such other companies may also compete with us for the acquisition of mineral property investments. Such conflicts of our directors and officers may result in a material and adverse effect on our profitability, results of operation and financial condition. As a result of these conflicts of interest, we may miss the opportunity to participate in certain transactions, which may have a material adverse effect on our financial position.

**We may be unable to attract development partners.**

The Company may seek to develop some or all of its projects in partnership with one or more third parties in a corporate or contractual joint venture, or otherwise, or to dispose of some part or of its project to another party, retaining a royalty interest therein. The Company may be unable to find such partners or to negotiate satisfactory terms therewith, in which case the Company will be obliged to either postpone development of such project or proceed alone with the costs of further development.

**We may be subject to claims and legal proceedings that could materially adversely impact our financial position, financial performance and results of operations.**

We may be subject to claims or legal proceedings covering a wide range of matters that arise in the ordinary course of business activities. These matters may result in litigation or unfavorable resolution which could materially adversely impact our financial performance, financial position and results of operations.

**Risks Related to the Acquisition**

**Potential liabilities associated with the Acquisition.**

We conducted due diligence with respect to the Brucejack Project and the other Project Assets prior to our acquisition of such assets in December 2010; however, there is no certainty that our due diligence procedures revealed all of the risks and liabilities associated with the Acquisition. Silver Standard provided limited representations in the Acquisition Agreement with respect to the Brucejack Project and other Project Assets and those representations were further limited by time and by the knowledge of the persons giving such representations. Also, under the Acquisition Agreement the Company agreed to assume all environmental liabilities with respect to the Brucejack Project and other Project Assets. There may be material environmental or other material liabilities that we are not aware of and, accordingly, the potential monetary cost of such liabilities is also unknown.

**Risks Related to our Securities**

**Silver Standard owns a significant number of Common Shares and is in a position to influence our governance and operations.**

Silver Standard holds approximately 27.86% of the Company’s outstanding Common Shares, to the best of Pretivm’s knowledge. For as long as Silver Standard maintains a significant interest in the Company, it may be in a position to affect our governance and operations. Pursuant to the Investor Rights Agreement, Silver Standard is
entitled to nominate to serve as members of our Board such number of nominees as is equal to the lesser of (i) one less than the number which constitutes a majority of the Board and (ii) the percentage of the Common Shares held by Silver Standard and securities convertible or exchangeable into Common Shares multiplied by the number of directors comprising the Board (rounded to the nearest whole number of nominees). In addition, Silver Standard may have significant influence over the passage of any resolution of our shareholders (such as would be required, to amend our constating documents or take certain other corporate actions) and may, for all practical purposes, be able to ensure the passages of any such resolution by voting for it or prevent the passage of any such resolution by voting against it. The effect of this influence by Silver Standard may be to limit the price that investors are willing to pay for our Common Shares. In addition, the potential that Silver Standard may sell its Common Shares in the public market (commonly referred to as “market overhang”), as well as any actual sales of such Common Shares in the public market, could adversely affect the market price of the Common Shares.

**Future sales or issuances of equity securities could decrease the value of any existing Common Shares, dilute investors’ voting power and reduce our earnings per share.**

We may sell additional equity securities in subsequent offerings (including through the sale of securities convertible into Common Shares) to finance our operations, exploration, development, acquisitions or other projects. We cannot predict the size of future sales and issuances of equity securities or the effect, if any, that future sales and issuances of equity securities will have on the market price of the Common Shares. Sales, including any possible sales by Silver Standard, or issuances of a substantial number of equity securities, or the perception that such sales could occur, may adversely affect prevailing market prices for the Common Shares. With any additional sale or issuance of equity securities, investors will suffer dilution of their voting power and may experience dilution in the Company’s earnings per share.

**We do not intend to pay any cash dividends in the foreseeable future.**

We have not declared or paid any dividends on our Common Shares. We intend to retain future earnings, if any, to finance the growth and development of our business and do not intend to pay cash dividends on the Common Shares in the foreseeable future. Any return on an investment in the securities will come from the appreciation, if any, in the value of the Common Shares. The payment of future cash dividends, if any, will be reviewed periodically by the Board and will depend upon, among other things, conditions then existing including earnings, financial condition and capital requirements, restrictions in financing agreements, business opportunities and conditions and other factors. See “Dividend Policy”.

**We may be treated as a “passive foreign investment company” under the U.S. Internal Revenue Code, which could result in adverse tax consequences for investors in the United States.**

Generally unfavourable U.S. federal income tax rules apply to U.S. persons owning stock of a passive foreign investment company (a “PFIC”). A foreign corporation will be considered a PFIC for any taxable year in which (i) 75% or more of its gross income is passive income, or (ii) 50% or more of the average value (or, if elected, the adjusted tax basis) of its assets are considered “passive assets” (generally, assets that generate passive income). The Company believes that it was a PFIC in 2011. We may be treated as a PFIC for U.S. federal income tax purposes in some or all subsequent years. If we were classified as a PFIC for any taxable year during which you hold our equity securities, any gain recognized on the sale of securities and any excess distributions paid on the securities must be rateably allocated to each day in a U.S. taxpayer’s holding period for the securities and any excess distributions paid on the securities must be rateably allocated to each day in a U.S. taxpayer’s holding period for the securities. The amounts allocated to the taxable year of disposition and to years before we became a PFIC would be taxed as ordinary income. The amount allocated to each other taxable year would be subject to tax at the highest rate applicable to ordinary income in effect for that taxable year for individuals or corporations, as appropriate, and an interest charge would be imposed on the tax attributable to the allocated amount, calculated as if such tax liability had been due in each such prior year. Investors should consult their own tax advisors as to the tax consequences of an investment in our securities.
LEGAL PROCEEDINGS AND REGULATORY ACTIONS

The Company is not aware of any material legal proceedings to which we are a party or to which our property is subject, nor are we aware that any such proceedings are contemplated. During the last financial year, we have not been subject to any penalties or sanctions imposed by a regulatory body in respect of securities legislation or regulatory requirements. We have not entered into any settlement agreement in respect of securities legislation or regulatory requirements.

PROMOTER

Robert A. Quartermain, our President and Chief Executive Officer, may be considered to have been a promoter of the Company within the meaning of relevant Canadian securities legislation in 2010. As of the date of this AIF, Mr. Quartermain beneficially owns 2,876,353 Common Shares, representing 3.3% of our issued and outstanding Common Shares.

Mr. Quartermain has received $1,914,172 in remuneration in his personal capacity as President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company since October 22, 2010, and has received grants of an aggregate of 2,000,000 options under our Stock Option Plan.

INTEREST OF MANAGEMENT AND OTHERS IN MATERIAL TRANSACTIONS

Other than disclosed elsewhere in this AIF, no director, executive officer or shareholder that beneficially owns, or controls or directs, directly or indirectly, more than 10% of the issued Common Shares, or any of their respective associates or affiliates, has any material interest, direct or indirect, in any transaction which has materially affected or is reasonably expected to materially affect the Company within the three years preceding the date of this AIF.

AUDITORS, TRANSFER AGENT AND REGISTRAR

Our auditors are PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, Chartered Accountants, having an address at 250 Howe Street, Suite 700, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6C 3S7.

The transfer agent and registrar for the Common Shares in Canada is Computershare Investor Services Inc., at its principal offices in Vancouver, British Columbia and Toronto, Ontario. The transfer agent and registrar for the Common Shares in the United States is Computershare Trust Company, N.A, at its principal offices in Golden, Colorado.

MATERIAL CONTRACTS

Except for contracts entered into in the ordinary course of business, as of date of this AIF, the only material contracts which the Company has entered into are set out below. Copies of such agreements are available under the Company’s profile on SEDAR at www.sedar.com.

1. the Warrant Indenture, dated April 8, 2011, between the Company and Computershare Trust Company of Canada with respect to certain Warrants issued by the Company;
2. the Delivery Agreement, dated April 8, 2011, among the Company, Silver Standard and Computershare Investor Services Inc., with respect to the delivery of Common Shares owned by Silver Standard in connection with warrants issued in a secondary offering of Units;
3. the Acquisition Agreement; and
4. the Investor Rights Agreement.
INTEREST OF EXPERTS

Pretivm’s auditors, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, report that they are independent of the Company in accordance with the rules of professional conduct of the Institute of Chartered Accountants of British Columbia and with the rules and regulations of the SEC as at the date of their audit report.

None of the following companies, partnerships or persons, each of whom are named in this AIF as having prepared reports or having been responsible for reporting exploration results relating to our mineral properties and whose profession or business gives authority to such reports, or any director, officer, partner, or employee thereof, as applicable, received or has received a direct or indirect interest in our property or of any of our associates or affiliates. As at the date hereof, and except as noted below, such persons, and the directors, officers, partners and employees, as applicable, of each of the following companies and partnerships beneficially own, directly or indirectly, in the aggregate, less than one percent of the securities of the Company:

2. Pierre Pelletier, P.Eng., of Rescan;
6. Peter Mokos, MAusIMM (CP), of AMC;
7. Ian I Chang M.A.Sc., P.Eng.; and

None of such persons, or any director, officer or employee, as applicable, of any such companies or partnerships, is currently expected to be elected, appointed or employed as a director, officer or employee of the Company or of any of our associates or affiliates, except for Kenneth C. McNaughton, who is our Vice President and Chief Exploration Officer and who holds 525,000 Common Shares, 1,100,000 options to purchase Common Shares and 50,000 Warrants, and Ian I Chang, who is our Vice President Project Development.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Additional information relating to the Company is available on SEDAR at www.sedar.com. Additional information with respect to directors’ and officers’ remuneration and indebtedness, principal holders of the Company’s securities and securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans is contained in the management information circular for our most recent meeting of shareholders. Additional financial information is provided in the Company's financial statements and MD&A for its most recently completed financial, also filed on SEDAR. In addition, copies of these documents may be obtained from the Company by contacting the Company at #1600 – 570 Granville Street, Vancouver, British Columbia V6C 3P1, telephone: (604) 558-1784, fax: (604) 558-4784.
APPENDIX 1

PRETIVM RESOURCES INC.
AUDIT COMMITTEE CHARTER

As Adopted by the Board of Directors on December 9, 2010

I. Purpose of Audit Committee of Pretivm Resources Inc. (the “Company”)

The purpose of the Audit Committee (the “Committee”) is to:

1. Assist the Board of Directors of the Company (the “Board”) in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities relating to:
   (a) the quality and integrity of the Company’s financial statements, financial reporting process and systems of internal controls and disclosure controls regarding risk management, finance, accounting, and legal and regulatory compliance;
   (b) the independence and qualifications of the Company’s independent accountants and review of the audit efforts of the Company’s independent accountants; and
   (c) the development and implementation of policies and processes regarding corporate governance matters.

2. Provide an open avenue of communication between the independent accountants, the Company’s financial and senior management and the Board.

3. Prepare any reports required to be prepared by the Committee pursuant to the rules of any stock exchange on which the Company’s shares are listed and pursuant to the rules of any securities commission or other regulatory authority having jurisdiction, whether for inclusion in the Company’s annual proxy statement or otherwise.

The Committee will primarily fulfill these responsibilities by carrying out the activities enumerated in Section VII below of this Charter.

While the Committee has the responsibilities and powers set forth in this Charter, it is not the duty of the Committee to plan or conduct audits, or to determine that the Company’s financial statements are complete and accurate or are in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, accounting standards, or applicable laws and regulations. This is the responsibility of management of the Company and the Company’s independent accountants, as well as any advisors employed by the Committee. Because the primary function of the Committee is oversight, the Committee shall be entitled to rely on the expertise, skills and knowledge of management and the Company’s independent accountants and the integrity and accuracy of information provided to the Committee by such persons in carrying out its oversight responsibilities. Nothing in this Charter is intended to change the responsibilities of management and the independent accountants.

II. Composition

The Committee shall be composed of at least three directors, each of whom the Board has determined has no material relationship with the Company which could, in the view of the Board, be reasonably expected to interfere with the exercise of such director’s independent judgement, and who otherwise satisfies the definition of “independent” as set forth by National Instrument 52-110 – Audit Committees (“NI 52-110”) and any other applicable securities laws, rules or requirements of any stock exchange upon which the Company’s securities are listed as in effect from time to time.

All members of the Committee must be financially literate, meaning that he or she has the ability to read and understand a set of financial statements that present a breadth and level of complexity of accounting issues that are generally comparable to the breadth and complexity of the issues that can reasonably be expected to be raised by the Company’s financial statements or must become. A director committee who is not financially literate may be appointed to the committee provided that such director becomes financially literate within a reasonable period of time following such appointment.
If any member of the Committee ceases to be “independent”, as defined by the applicable securities laws and exchange requirements, including NI 52-110, for reasons outside that member’s reasonable control, that person may remain an audit committee member until the earlier of the next annual meeting of the shareholders or the date that is six months from the occurrence of the event that caused the member to no longer be independent.

III. Authority

The Committee shall have the authority to (i) retain (at the Company’s expense) its own legal counsel, accountants and other advisors that the Committee believes, in its sole discretion, are needed to carry out its duties and responsibilities; (ii) conduct investigations that it believes, in its sole discretion, are necessary to carry out its responsibilities; and (iii) take whatever actions that it deems appropriate to foster an internal culture that is committed to maintaining quality financial reporting, sound business risk practices and ethical behaviour within the Company. In addition, the Committee shall have the authority to request any officer, director, employee or consultant of the Company, the Company’s outside legal counsel and the independent accountants to meet with the Committee and any of its advisors and to respond to their inquiries. The Committee shall have full access to the books, records and facilities of the Company in carrying out its responsibilities. Finally, the Board shall adopt resolutions which provide for appropriate funding, as determined by the Committee, for (i) services provided by the independent accountants in rendering or issuing an audit report, (ii) services provided by any adviser employed by the Committee which it believes, in its sole discretion, are needed to carry out its duties and responsibilities, or (iii) ordinary administrative expenses of the Committee that are necessary or appropriate in carrying out its duties and responsibilities.

The Committee shall be responsible for establishing procedures for (i) the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints received by the Company regarding accounting, internal accounting controls, or auditing matters and (ii) the confidential, anonymous submissions by employees of the Company regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters.

The Committee shall review the reports of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer (in connection with their required certifications for the Company’s filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission) regarding any significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in the design of operation of internal controls and any fraud that involves management or other employees of the Company who have a significant role in managing or implementing the Company’s internal controls. During this review, the Committee should evaluate whether the internal control structure, as created and as implemented, provides reasonable assurances that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit the Company’s external auditors to reconcile the Company’s financial statements in accordance with applicable securities laws.

The Committee, in its capacity as a committee of the Board, is directly responsible for the appointment, compensation, retention and oversight of the work of the independent accountants engaged (including resolution of disagreements between the Company’s management and the independent accountants regarding financial reporting) for the purpose of preparing and issuing an audit report or performing other audit, review or attest services for the Company.

The independent accountants shall submit to the Audit Committee annually a formal written statement delineating all relationships between the independent accountants and the Company and its subsidiaries, addressing the non-audit services provided to the Company or its subsidiaries and the matters set forth in or required by the rules and regulations of all relevant regulatory authorities.

The independent accountants shall submit to the Audit Committee annually a formal written statement of the fees billed for each of the following categories of services rendered by the independent accountants: (i) the audit of the Company’s annual financial statements for the most recent fiscal year and any reviews of the financial statements; (ii) information technology consulting services for the most recent fiscal year, in the aggregate and by each service (and separately identifying fees for such services relating to financial information systems design and implementation); and (iii) all other services rendered by the independent accountants for the most recent fiscal years, in the aggregate and by each service.

IV. Appointing Members

The members of the Committee shall be appointed or re-appointed by the Board on an annual basis. Each member of the Committee shall continue to be a member thereof until such member’s successor is appointed, unless such member shall resign or be removed by the Board or such member shall cease to be a director of the Company.
Where a vacancy occurs at any time in the membership of the Committee, it may be filled by the Board and shall be filled by the Board if the membership of the Committee is less than three directors as a result of the vacancy or the Committee no longer has a member who is an “audit committee financial expert” as a result of the vacancy.

V. Chairperson

The Board, or in the event of its failure to do so, the members of the Committee, must appoint a Chairperson from the members of the Committee. If the Chairperson of the Committee is not present at any meeting of the Committee, an acting Chairperson for the meeting shall be chosen by majority vote of the Committee from among the members present. In the case of a deadlock on any matter or vote, the Chairperson shall refer the matter to the Board. All requests for information from the Company or the independent accountants shall be made through the Chairperson.

VI. Meetings

The time and place of meetings of the Committee and the procedure at such meetings shall be determined from time to time by the members thereof provided that:

1. A quorum for meetings shall be two members, present in person or by telephone or other telecommunication device that permit all persons participating in the meeting to speak and hear each other;

2. The Committee shall meet at least quarterly (or more frequently as circumstances dictate); and

3. Notice of the time and place of every meeting shall be given in writing or facsimile communication to each member of the Committee and the external auditors of the Company at least 48 hours prior to the time of such meeting.

While the Committee is expected to communicate regularly with management, the Committee shall exercise a high degree of independence in establishing its meeting agenda and in carrying out its responsibilities. The Committee shall submit the minutes of all meetings of the Committee to, or discuss the matters discussed at each Committee meeting with, the Board.

VII. Specific Duties

In meeting its responsibilities, the Committee is expected to:

1. Select and recommend to the Board the independent accountants for the Company, considering independence and effectiveness, approve all audit and non-audit services in advance of the provision of such services and the fees and other compensation to be paid to the independent accountants, and oversee the services rendered by the independent accountants (including the resolution of disagreements between management and the independent accountants regarding preparation of financial statements) for the purpose of preparing or issuing an audit report or related work, and the independent accountants shall report directly to the Committee;

2. To pre-approve any non-audit services to be provided to the Company by the external auditor and the fees for those services;

3. Review the performance of the independent accountants, including the lead partner of the independent accountants, and, in its sole discretion, approve any proposed discharge of the independent accountants when circumstances warrant, and appoint any new independent accountants;

4. Periodically review and discuss with the independent accountants all significant relationships the independent accountants have with the Company to determine the independence of the independent accountants, including a review of service fees for audit and non-audit services;

5. Review and approve the issuer’s hiring policies from time to time regarding partners, employees and former partners and employees of the present and former external auditor of the issuer;

6. Inquire of management and the independent accountants and evaluate the effectiveness of the Company’s process for assessing significant risks or exposures and the steps management has taken to monitor, control and minimize such risks to the Company. Obtain annually, in writing, the letters of the independent accountants as to the adequacy of such controls;
Consider, in consultation with the independent accountants, the audit scope and plan of the independent accountants;

Review with the independent accountants the coordination of audit effort to assure completeness of coverage, and the effective use of audit resources;

Consider and review with the independent accountants, out of the presence of management:

(a) the adequacy of the Company’s internal controls and disclosure controls including the adequacy of computerized information systems and security;

(b) the truthfulness and accuracy of the Company’s financial statements; and

(c) any related significant findings and recommendations of the independent accountants together with management’s responses thereto;

Following completion of the annual audit, review with management and the independent accountants:

(a) the Company’s annual financial statements and related footnotes;

(b) the independent accountants’ audit of the financial statements and the report thereon;

(c) any significant changes required in the independent accountants’ audit plan; and

(d) other matters related to the conduct of the audit which are to be communicated to the committee under generally accepted auditing standards;

Following completion of the annual audit, review separately with each of management and the independent accountants any significant difficulties encountered during the course of the audit, including any restrictions on the scope of work or access to required information;

Establish regular and separate systems of reporting to the Committee by each of management and the independent accountants regarding any significant judgments made in management’s preparation of the financial statements and the view of each as to appropriateness of such judgments;

In consultation with the independent accountants, review any significant disagreement among management and the independent accountants in connection with the preparation of the financial statements, including management’s responses;

Consider and review with management:

(a) significant findings during the year and management’s responses thereto; and

(b) any changes required in the planned scope of their audit plan;

Review, prior to publication, all filings with regulatory authorities and any other publicly disclosed information containing the Company’s financial statements, including Management’s Discussion & Analysis, any certification, report, opinion or review rendered by the independent accountants, any press releases announcing earnings (especially the use of “pro forma” or “adjusted” information not prepared in compliance with generally accepted accounting principles) and all financial information and earnings guidance intended to be provided to analysts and the public or to rating agencies, and consider whether the information contained in these documents is consistent with the information contained in the financial statements;

Facilitate the preparation and inclusion of any report from the Committee or other disclosures as required by applicable laws and regulations in the Company’s annual proxy statement or other filings of all regulatory authorities having jurisdiction;

Review with management the adequacy of the insurance and fidelity bond coverages, reported contingent liabilities, and management’s assessment of contingency planning. Review management’s plans regarding any changes in accounting practices or policies and the financial impact of such changes, any major areas in management’s judgment that have a significant effect
18. Review with management and the independent accountants each annual, quarterly and other periodic report prior to its filing with the relevant regulators or prior to the release of earnings;

19. Review policies and procedures with respect to officers’ expense accounts and perquisites, including their use of corporate assets, and consider the results of any review of these areas by the independent accountants;

20. Review, with the Company’s counsel, any legal, tax or regulatory matter that may have a material impact on the Company’s financial statements, operations, related Company compliance policies, and programs and reports received from regulators;

21. Evaluate and review with management the Company’s guidelines and policies governing the process of risk assessment and risk management;

22. Meet with the independent accountants and management in separate executive sessions to discuss any matters that the Committee or these groups believe should be discussed privately with the Committee;

23. Report Committee actions to the Board with such recommendations as the Committee may deem appropriate;

24. Maintain, review and update the procedures for (i) the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints received by the Company regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters and (ii) the confidential, anonymous submission by employees of the Company of concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters, as set forth in Annex A attached to this Charter;

25. Review, assess and update this Charter on an annual basis and recommend any proposed changes to the Board for approval, in accordance with the requirements of the all applicable laws; and

26. Perform such other functions consistent with this Charter, the Company’s Articles and governing law, as the Committee deems necessary or appropriate.
ANNEX A

PROCEDURES FOR THE SUBMISSION OF COMPLAINTS AND CONCERNS REGARDING ACCOUNTING, INTERNAL ACCOUNTING CONTROLS OR AUDITING MATTERS

1 Pretivm Resources Inc. (the “Company”) has designated its Audit Committee of its Board of Directors (the “Committee”) to be responsible for administering these procedures for the receipt, retention, and treatment of complaints received by the Company or the Committee directly regarding accounting, internal accounting controls, or auditing matters.

2 Any employee or consultant of the Company may on a confidential and anonymous basis submit concerns regarding questionable accounting controls or auditing matters to the Committee by setting forth such concerns in a letter addressed directly to the Committee with a legend on the envelope such as “Confidential” or “To be opened by Committee only”. If an employee or consultant would like to discuss the matter directly with a member of the Committee, the employee or consultant should include a return telephone number in his or her submission to the Committee at which he or she can be contacted. All submissions by letter to the Committee can be sent to:

Pretivm Resources Inc.
c/o Audit Committee
Attn: Lead Director
Christopher Dunn

3 Any complaints received by the Company that are submitted as set forth herein will be forwarded directly to the Committee and will be treated as confidential if so indicated.

4 At each meeting of the Committee, or any special meetings called by the Chairperson of the Committee, the members of the Committee will review and consider any complaints or concerns submitted by employees as set forth herein and take any action it deems necessary in order to respond thereto.

5 All complaints and concerns submitted as set forth herein will be retained by the Committee for a period of seven (7) years.